

State and Local Tax and Bond Ballot Measures

Summary of Primary Election June 5, 1990

> Thomas W. Hayes California State Treasurer and Chairman



CALIFORNIA DEBT ADVISORY COMMISSION

September 1, 1990

915 CAPITOL MALL, ROOM 400 P.O. BOX 942809 SACRAMENTO, CALIFORNIA 94209-0001 TELEPHONE: (916) 324-2585 MEMBERS

Thomas W. Hayes

George Deukmejian

Gray Davis State Controller

Robert G. Beverly State Senator

Newton R. Russell

Jim Costa State Assemblyman

Patrick J. Nolan State Assembluman

Thomas C. Rupert
Treasurer, City of Torrance

Richard B. Dixon
Chief Administrative Officer
County of Los Angeles

I am pleased to release this report, prepared by the California Debt Advisory Commission, summarizing the 72 bond and tax measures considered by the voters at the Primary Election on June 5, 1990.

Although California voters approved all eight of the State's June bond and tax measures, including \$5.1 in general obligation bonds, I continue to have reservations about the escalating levels of debt the taxpayers are assuming. The State's debt service costs will exceed \$1 billion next year--almost double last year's costs.

As State Treasurer, I have consistently sold bonds when the programs are ready and I will continue to do so. I also urged the Legislature to implement a plan for debt financing such as that embodied in SB 1825 by Senator Robert Beverly. The enactment of these bond measures further highlights that such a plan is essential to the State's long term fiscal health.

It is interesting to note that the plurality on the State's general obligation bonds averaged 55 percent which is significantly lower than the prior election results which averaged 60 percent.

Roughly half of the local bond and tax measures were approved by the voters. This is generally consistent with previous election results. It is important to know that a two-thirds vote is required at the local level to approve general obligation bonds, while a majority vote suffices at the State level. All but one of the 16 local general obligation bond measures achieved a majority vote.

The information presented in this report was provided by the Secretary of State's office and the election departments of 58 County Clerks' offices. The Commission appreciates the assistance they provided.

Sincerely,

THOMAS W. HAYES
California State Treasurer

Thomas W. Hayes

Chairman, California Debt Advisory Commission

STATE AND LOCAL TAX AND BOND BALLOT MEASURES SUMMARY OF PRIMARY ELECTION JUNE 5, 1990

August 1990

CALIFORNIA DEBT ADVISORY COMMISSION P.O. Box 942809 Sacramento, California 94209-0001 (916)324-2585

CALIFORNIA DEBT ADVISORY COMMISSION

The California Debt Advisory Commission is the State's clearinghouse for public debt issuance information. The Commission was created by the California Legislature in 1981 to assist State and local government agencies with the monitoring, issuance, and management of public debt.

The California Debt Advisory Commission members include:

Thomas W. Hayes
California State Treasurer
and Chairman

George Deukmejian
Governor
or
Jesse R. Huff
Director, Department of Finance

Gray Davis
State Controller

Robert Beverly State Senator

Newton R. Russell State Senator

Jim Costa
State Assemblyman

Patrick Nolan State Assemblyman

Richard B. Dixon
Chief Administrative Officer
Los Angeles County

Thomas C. Rupert
Treasurer, City of Torrance

Additional information concerning this report or the program of the California Debt Advisory Commission may be obtained by contacting:

Harriet Kiyan Executive Secretary (916)324-2585

CONTENTS

I.	Introd	luction1
II.	Summ	ary of State and Local Measures by Purpose2
III.	Bonds	4
	Α.	State General Obligation Bonds4
	В.	Local General Obligation Bonds4
IV.	Tax N	Measures6
	Α.	State Tax Measure6
	В.	Local Tax Measures6
		1. Use/Transaction (Sales) Tax Measures6
		2. Special Tax Measures6
		3. General Tax Measures7
		4. Other Tax Measures8
TABLE		
	ble I	Local General Obligation Bonds5
SUMMA	RY TAB	LES
Ta	ble A-1	State General Obligation Bond MeasuresA-1
Ta	ble A-2	Local Government General Obligation Bond MeasuresA-2
Ta	ble A-3	Local Special Tax Measures
Ta	ble A-4	Local General Tax MeasuresA-4
Ta	ble A-5	Other Local Tax MeasuresA-5
Ta	ble A-6	State Tax, Local Use/Transaction Tax MeasuresA-6
Та	ble A-7	State and Local Bond and Tax Measures: Summary of Election Results
Ta	ble A-8	State and Local Bond and Tax Measures Summary of Types and Purposes

STATE AND LOCAL TAX AND BOND BALLOT MEASURES

Summary of the Primary Election June 5, 1990

I. INTRODUCTION

A survey of the counties in California by the California Debt Advisory Commission indicates that voters approved all of the State of California ballot measures and about half of all local bond and tax measures in the June 5, 1990 Primary Election. The survey, one of several performed by the Commission in the past several years, is designed to provide information on statewide voter decisions. The Commission has previously published State Bond Measures and County Tax and Bond Ballot Measures: Summary of General Election, November 4, 1986, and State and County Tax and Bond Ballot Measures: Summary of General Election, November 8, 1988, and plans a report on the 1990 General Election.

This report contains information on a total of 72 bond and tax issues presented to the voters in the June Primary election. Eight State of California measures and 32 local measures were approved. Voters defeated 32 local measures. There were local measures presented in 25 of the State's 58 counties. Butte County and the City and County of San Francisco were the only agencies to present two measures to their voters.

The information contained in this report on the State of California bond measures was obtained from the Statement of Vote, June 5, 1990 Primary Election published by the California Secretary of State. Local agency bond and tax measure information was obtained from the county clerks' departments in the State's 58 counties. The accuracy and completeness of local election data presented depends wholly on sample ballots and voter results provided by the county clerks' offices.

The following sections present a discussion of the purposes and types of bond and tax measures and complete tables of the measures surveyed.

II. SUMMARY OF STATE AND LOCAL MEASURES BY PURPOSE

Voters considered State and local ballot measures that provided for infrastructure, public facilities, and public services. Infrastructure issues included bonds or taxes for rehabilitation for seismic safety, public transit, and water and flood control facilities. There were also measures to build public facilities such as recreational and educational facilities, prisons, housing, a hospital, and a civic center. Ballot measures contained taxes to provide services for general government, library and educational programs, city beautification, and fire, police, and ambulance services.

Seismic Safety

The Loma Prieta earthquake in northern California in October 1989 triggered several ballot measures. San Francisco passed both a general obligation bond for rehabilitation and seismic safety for city buildings and bridges and a Mello-Roos special tax for seismic safety and rebuilding of schools in the City. Los Angeles also approved a general obligation bond for seismic safety. These issues combined with the passage of the State of California's general obligation bond for earthquake safety and public building rehabilitation provided over \$1 billion in earthquake-related funds. Only one seismic safety measure, a special tax proposed by Santa Clara County, was defeated.

Education

Six of 16 education measures passed. Santa Paula Union High School District and Cotati-Rohnert Park Unified School District both approved general obligation bonds for school facilities by over 70 percent. Seven other districts received from 51 percent to 65 percent affirmative votes for general obligation bonds or Mello-Roos special taxes, but the issues were defeated. (Both types of measures must have a two-thirds vote to pass.)

The two State education measures passed with a majority vote; and the San Francisco special tax for school rehabilitation, discussed previously, was approved. One measure to provide expanded educational programs passed in Desert Sands Unified School District while three others for the same purpose in other districts failed.

Life Support/General Government

There were 18 local agency measures to approve taxes for increased police, fire and/or ambulance services. Eight of those measures passed and 10 failed. General taxes for government were approved in six ballots and lost in five.

Prisons and Jails

Of the two issues for prison and jail construction which appeared in this election, the State's general obligation bond for \$450 million passed, but Butte County's \$38 million issue failed.

Recreation and Sports Facilities

There were three issues for recreation and sports facilities. Voters in the Truckee-Donner Recreation and Park District approved a special tax on residential units for park improvements, but measures in Union City and Twentynine Palms were defeated.

Water Facilities

Water bonds were approved for the Mojave Water Agency and the South Coast Water District.

III. BONDS

A. State General Obligation Bonds

All seven of the State of California general obligation bond measures were approved by the voters. New authorizations totalled \$5.1 billion. State general obligation bond measures need only a simple majority for approval and the statewide election results show that the measures received from 52 to 58 percent of the vote.

There were two transit measures totalling over \$2.9 billion approved by the voters. Bonds were also approved for school facilities (\$800 million) and higher education facilities (\$450 million). The remaining measures were for housing and homeless, prison construction, and earthquake safety and public building rehabilitation.

State general obligation bond results are contained in Table A-1.

B. Local General Obligation Bonds

Local agency general obligation bonds are secured by a pledge of the full faith and credit and the taxing power of the agency. They are primarily payable from ad valorem property taxes and are approved by a two-thirds vote of the electorate. The California Constitution contains several provisions governing the issuance of general obligation bonds. Article XIIIA (Proposition 13 passed in June 1978) provided that the maximum amount of any ad valorem tax on real property not to exceed one percent of the value of property. This impaired the ability of local governments to present general obligation measures to the voters that would top the ad valorem ceiling. An exemption to this provision (Proposition 46 passed in June 1986) added Section 1.(b) to Article XIIIA and provided that this one percent tax limit would not apply if the bonded indebtedness was approved for the acquisition or improvement of real property, thereby permitting local agencies to submit general obligation bond measures to their voters.

In this Primary election, voters approved eight general obligation bond measures for a total of \$905 million and defeated eight measures totalling \$163 million. The electorate in the cities of Los Angeles, San Francisco, and San Diego approved over 80 percent (\$734 million) of the total amount approved. Los Angeles and San Francisco accounted for \$708 million for seismic safety (previously discussed) and San Diego voters approved a \$26 million bond measure for a police and fire communications system. Voters also approved bonds for two water agencies and two school districts, as well as a civic center/public services center for Healdsburg.

TABLE 1 JUNE 1990 PRIMARY ELECTION LOCAL GENERAL OBLIGATION BONDS

	TOTAL NO	% OF TOTAL	AMOUNT
PASSED FAILED	8 <u>8</u>	50 <u>50</u>	\$905,000,000 _163,250,000
TOTAL	16	100	\$1,068,250,000

In analyzing the defeated issues, it appears that only one received less than a simple majority vote--a Butte County measure for a prison. The other defeated issues received from 51 to 65 percent approval. Of these failed measures, five were for school facilities, one for a hospital in Inyo County, and one for recreational facilities in Union City.

Local general obligation bond results are contained in Table A-2.

IV. TAX MEASURES

A. State Tax Measure

Only one State tax measure appeared on the June ballot. Proposition 111, the Traffic Congestion Relief and Spending Limitation Act of 1990, was approved by 52 percent of the voters. This measure provided a statewide traffic congestion relief program and updates the state and local governments spending limit. It provides a 55 percent increase in truck weight fees and a five cent per gallon increase in the fuel tax as of August 1, 1990, and an additional one cent per gallon increase on January 1 of each of the next four years. This is a companion measure to the Passenger Rail and Clean Air Bond Act of 1990 (Proposition 108) which also passed.

The results of this measure are contained in Table A-6.

B. Local Tax Measures

1. Use/Transaction (Sales) Tax Measures

One use/transaction (sales) tax measure was placed on the Primary ballot. Voters in Tehama County defeated decisively by 17 percent to 83 percent a one-half percent countywide sales tax increase to provide additional funds for general government.

Inyo County is the only county to have approved this tax since the 1987 legislation allowed counties with a population of 350,000 or less, by a majority vote, to adopt a sales tax for general county services.

The results of the Tehama County measure are contained in Table A-6.

2. Special Tax Measures

Special tax measures are proposed primarily to provide a service or improvement to benefit a specific parcel or an area of land. Most special taxes require a two-thirds vote of the electorate for approval, but in this election, there was only a simple majority approval required for Salyer Community Services District's (Trinity County), and the Rural Fire Protection District's (San Diego County) fire protection measures and the Desert Sands Unified School District's educational programs.

Twenty-eight special tax measures were considered in 19 counties. Of that total, 11 passed and 17 failed. Six of the issues that passed were to provide life support services, i.e., police, fire, ambulance services; one each for library services, educational programs, recreation and sports facilities, community promotion, and seismic safety for school facilities.

Over half of the failing issues were for life support services. The other issues that failed proposed library and educational services, school and recreation facilities and programs, and rehabilitation and repairs for seismic safety.

Several measures came within a fraction of a percentage point of the two-thirds requirement for passage, but were defeated. Beverly Hills Unified School District received 66.62 percent of the total vote for a special tax for educational programs and Redlands received 66.3 percent approval for a utility users' tax to provide additional life support services. Nine of the failing special tax issues received a simple majority of the vote.

Mello-Roos Community Facilities Districts

Three community facilities districts (CFDs) proposed bond and tax measures to their electorate. Only San Francisco Unified School District's tax for rehabilitation and renovation of the District facilities for seismic safety passed. The two other issues--a \$30 million issue for Perris School District and \$1.3 million issue for Twentynine Palms Park and Recreation District-failed.

Transit Occupancy Tax

Most transit occupancy taxes (TOTs) require a simple majority for passage. (There were seven TOTs on the ballot, the remaining six are discussed in the following section on General Tax Measures.) In the case of Weed, the two-thirds majority vote was required to approve an additional two percent (from six to eight percent) TOT because the tax was for a specific, not general government, purpose--community promotion and beautification. The issue passed with a 78 percent approval.

Advisory Measures

There were two advisory measures. Voters in the Sacramento County's Sloughhouse Fire Protection District defeated (by a 20 percent to 80 percent margin) a measure which would have directed their governing board to rescind continuation of the existing benefit assessment tax for life support services. In El Dorado County, County Service Area No. 3 voters defeated an advisory measure to increase fees to provide additional ambulance service in the Tahoe area. These results are merely an indication of voter preference and do not become law until further action by the legislative body.

Local special tax measure results are contained in Table A-3.

3. General Tax Measures

General tax measures require a simple majority vote for approval and are primarily for general government and district purposes. Proposition 62, passed in 1986, requires ratification by voters of local general tax measures.

The following are the general tax measures reported:

- -- Of the six transit occupancy tax proposals proposed, four passed and two failed.
- Two business tax measures were approved--a one percent business tax in San Francisco to create a Neighborhood Beautification and Graffiti Clean-up Fund and 14 cents per ton on rock, sand and gravel in Upland for general government services. A two percent tax on new construction in Riverbank was defeated.

- -- A utility users' tax for general government was defeated in Oxnard.
- There were also two advisory votes: Bolinas Community
 Public Utility District voters favored, by 57 percent, an
 increase in a tax to provide a recycling program; but voters in
 Paradise advised that they would not support a utility users'
 tax for life support services.

Local general tax measure results are contained in Table A-4.

4. Other Tax Measures

The following ballot measures discussed redirect or reaffirm an existing tax.

Voters in Merced, Solano, Stanislaus, and Yolo counties approved measures directing a portion of their motor vehicle taxes back to the counties to be used for transportation and transit projects.

There were two districts--Santa Clara Valley Water and Central Fire Protection--which received approval to continue their existing taxes for flood control/storm drainage and fire protection services, respectively.

Other local tax measure results are contained in Table A-5.

TABLE A-1
SUMMARY
STATE GENERAL OBLIGATION BOND MEASURES

JUNE 5, 1990 PRIMARY ELECTION

				AMOUNT	
BALLOT TITLE	MEASURE	YES	<u>NO</u>	AUTHORIZED (\$)	<u>PURPOSE</u>
		7 MEASURI	ES PASSED		
HOUSING AND HOMELESS BOND ACT OF 1990	107	52.4 %	47.6%	150,000,000	SINGLE/MULTIFAMILY HOUSING
PASSENGER RAIL AND CLEAN AIR BOND ACT OF 1990	108	56.3%	43.7%	1,000,000,000	PUBLIC TRANSIT
RAIL TRANSPORTATION BOND ACT	116	53.3%	46.7%	1,990,000,000	PUBLIC TRANSIT
NEW PRISON CONSTRUCTION BOND ACT OF 1990	120	56.0%	44.0%	450,000,000	PRISONS/JAILS
HIGHER EDUCATION FACILITIES BOND ACT OF JUNE 1990	121	55.0%	45.0%	450,000,000	COLLEGE/UNIVERSITY FACILITIES
EARTHQUAKE SAFETY AND PUBLIC BUILDING REHABILITATION	122	55.0%	45.0%	300,000,000	EARTHQUAKE/SEISMIC SAFETY
1990 SCHOOL FACILITIES BOND ACT	123	57.5%	42.5%	800,000,000	K-12 SCHOOL FACILITIES
			TOTAL	5,140,000,000	

SOURCE: CALIFORNIA SECRETARY OF STATE

TABLE A-2 SUMMARY

LOCAL GOVERNMENT GENERAL OBLIGATION BOND MEASURES JUNE 5, 1990 PRIMARY ELECTION

COUNTY	AGENCY	MEASURE	YES	<u>NO</u>	AMOUNT AUTHORIZED (\$)	PURPOSE
<u>555.17.7</u>	MOCNO!	FILASORE	11.3	<u></u>	MOTHORIZED (#)	PORPOSE
			8 MEAS	URES PASSEI	•	
LOS ANGELES	LOS ANGELES	G	72%	28%	376,000,000	EARTHQUAKE/SEISHIC SAFETY
ORANGE	SOUTH COAST WATER DISTRICT	F	82%	18%	8,500,000	WATER SUPPLY/STORAGE
SAN BERNARDINO	MOJAVE WATER AGENCY	0	80%	20%	66,500,000	WATER SUPPLY/STORAGE
SAN DIEGO	SAN DIEGO	E	68%	32%	25,500,000	LIFE SUPPORT
SAN FRANCISCO -	SAN FRANCISCO CITY AND COUNTY	A	78%	22%	332,400,000	EARTHQUAKE/SEISMIC SAFETY
SONOMA	COTATI-ROHNERT PARK UNIFIED SCHOOL DISTRIC	ГА	73%	27%	85,000,000	K-12 SCHOOL FACILITIES
SONOMA	HEALDSBURG	С	74%	26%	6,100,000	PUBLIC BUILDING
VENTURA	SANTA PAULA UNION HIGH SCHOOL DISTRICT	A	79%	21%	5,000,000	K-12 SCHOOL FACILITIES
				TOTAL	905,000,000	
			8 MEASI	URES FAILED	,	
ALAMEDA	UNION CITY	G	54 %	46%	40,000,000	RECREATION/SPORTS FACILITIES
BUTTE	BUTTE COUNTY	E	39%	61%	38,000,000	PRISONS/JAILS
INYO	NORTHERN INYO COUNTY HOSPITAL DISTRICT	В	60%	40%	12,000,000	HOSPITAL
KERN	MCFARLAND UNIFIED SCHOOL DISTRICT	D	51%	49%	18,000,000	K-12 SCHOOL FACILITIES
MADERA	BASS LAKE SCHOOL DISTRICT	Α	61%	39%	4,000,000	K-12 SCHOOL FACILITIES
MERCED	LE GRAND UNION HIGH SCHOOL DISTRICT	В	59%	41%	1,250,000	K-12 SCHOOL FACILITIES
SAN BERNARDINO	CENTRAL SCHOOL DISTRICT	I	64%	36 %	15,000,000	K-12 SCHOOL FACILITIES
SAN DIEGO	FALLBROOK UNION HIGH SCHOOL DISTRICT	N	65%	35 %	35,000,000	K-12 SCHOOL FACILITIES
				TOTAL	163,250,000	

SOURCE: COUNTY CLERKS' DEPARTMENTS

TABLE A-3 SUMMARY

LOCAL SPECIAL TAX MEASURES

JUNE 5, 1990 PRIMARY ELECTION

COUNTY	AGENCY	MEASURE	YES	<u>NO</u>	SPECIAL TAXES	<u>PURPOSE</u>					
			11 MEAS	SURES PA	SSED						
EL DORADO	RESCUE FIRE PROTECTION DISTRICT	L	78.2%	21.8%	\$50 PER PARCEL/PER YR	LIFE SUPPORT					
MARIN	COUNTY SERVICE AREA NO 19	F	74.9%	25.1%	\$.08 PER SQ FT RES/\$20 PER AC/4 YR	LIFE SUPPORT/CONTINUE TAX					
MARIN	COUNTY SERVICE AREA NO 17	E	82.8%	17.2%	\$150 PER UNIT/PER YR/4 YR	LIFE SUPPORT					
MARIN	BOLINAS FIRE PROTECTION DISTRICT	В	75.1%	24.9%	\$79 RESI, \$98 BUS, \$20 UNIMP	LIFE SUPPORT					
NEVADA	TRUCKEE-DONNER RECREATION AND PARK DISTRICT	₽	69.6%	30.4%	\$3 RESI/MULTIFAM/MOBILE HOME	RECREATION/SPORTS FACILITIES					
RIVERSIDE	DESERT SANDS UNIFIED SCHOOL DISTRICT	0	66.4%*	33.6%	\$9.75 PER \$100 AV	EDUCATIONAL PROGRAMS					
SAN DIEGO	RURAL FIRE PROTECTION DISTRICT	0	59.5%*	40.5%	\$50 SINGLE FAM/\$70 APT/COMMERCIAL	LIFE SUPPORT/JACUMBA SERVICE AREA					
SAN FRANCISCO	SAN FRANCISCO UNIFIED SCHOOL DISTRICT CFD NO 90-1	В	67.4%	32.6%	\$46 PER RESI/\$23 PER UNIT MULTI/6 YR	K-12 SCHOOL FAC/SEISMIC SAFETY					
SANTA BARBARA	COUNTY SERVICE AREA NO 3	L	82.0%	18.0%	\$12 SINGLE FAM	LIBRARY SERVICES					
SISKIYOU	WEED	E	77.7%	22.3%	INC TOT FROM 6% TO 8%	COMM PROMOTION/BEAUTI/ADD 2%					
TRINITY	SALYER COMMUNITY SERVICES DISTRICT	A	65.8%	34.2%	\$65 RESI/\$35 MOBILE/\$130 COMM/PER YR	LIFE SUPPORT					
17 MEASURES FAILED											
BUTTE	BUTTE COUNTY	A	42.9%	57.1%	\$36.50 PER UNIT	LIBRARY SERVICES					
CONTRA COSTA	COUNTY SERVICE AREA P-2 ZONE A	E	47.9%	52.1%	\$190 RESI/\$950 COMM/INDUS/INST	LIFE SUPPORT/BLACKHAWK AREA					
EL DORADO	COUNTY SERVICE AREA NO 3	A	45.6%	54.4%	RAISE FROM \$4.96 TO \$9.96 PER PARCEL	LIFE SUPPORT					
LOS ANGELES	WISEBURN SCHOOL DISTRICT	x	54.5%	45.5%	\$100 PER YR/4 YR	EDUCATIONAL PROGRAMS					
LOS ANGELES	LONG BEACH CFD NO 2	E	50.9%	49.1%	\$34-\$64 RESI/\$.041 SQ FT	LIFE SUPPORT					
LOS ANGELES	HAWTHORNE	D	65.9%	34.1%	WEIGHTED PROPERTY TAX	LIFE SUPPORT					
LOS ANGELES	BEVERLY HILLS UNIFIED SCHOOL DISTRICT	В	66.6%	33.4%	\$250 CONDO/\$350-\$650 RESI/\$650-\$750/5 YE	R EDUCATIONAL PROGRAMS					
MENDOCINO	GREATER WILLITS AMBULANCE DISTRICT	С	62.5%	37.5%	\$30 PER UNIT PER YR	LIFE SUPPORT					
RIVERSIDE	PERRIS SCHOOL DISTRICT CFD NO 1	P	53.9%	46.1%	\$30,000,000	K-12 SCHOOL FAC/APPROVE BONDS					
RIVERSIDE	LA QUINTA	Q	47.7%	52.3%	\$10 UNIT/PER MO	LIFE SUPPORT					
SACRAMENTO	SLOUGHHOUSE FIRE PROTECTION DISTRICT (AD)	R	20.1%	79.9%	RESCIND EXISTING TAX	LIFE SUPPORT					
SAN BENITO	HOLLISTER	H	39.3%	60.7%	\$150 PER HOME	LIFE SUPPORT					
SAN BERNARDINO	REDLANDS	M	66.3%	33.7%	2 1/2% UTILITY USERS	LIFE SUPPORT/EXEMPT 65/LOW INC					
SAN BERNARDINO	TWENTYNINE PALMS PARK & RECREATION DIST CFD NO 90-	1 P	46.9%	53.1%	\$1,300,000	RECREATION/SPORTS FAC/APPROVE BONDS					
SANTA CLARA	SANTA CLARA COUNTY	A	48.7%	51.3%	\$5 PER YR/5 YR	EARTHQUAKE/SEISMIC SAFETY					
SANTA CRUZ	PACIFIC ELEMENTARY SCHOOL DISTRICT	A	56.3%	43.7%	\$96 PER YR/7 YR	EDUCATIONAL PROGRAMS					
TULARE	RICHGROVE SCHOOL DISTRICT	A	64.9%	35.1%	\$55 PER YR/5 YR	K-12 SCHOOL FACILITIES					

*SIMPLE MAJORITY REQUIRED FOR PASSAGE

SOURCE: COUNTY CLERKS' ELECTION DEPARTMENTS

TABLE A-4
SUMMARY
LOCAL GENERAL TAX MEASURES

JUNE 5, 1990 PRIMARY ELECTION

COUNTY	AGENCY	MEASURE	YES	<u>NO</u>	TYPE OF GENERAL TAX	<u>PURPOSE</u>	
			7 MEASU	IRES PASSI	ED		
MARIN	BOLINAS COMMUNITY PUBLIC UTILITY DIST (AD)	С	56.5%	43.5%	\$2.50 HOUSEHOLD/PER MO	SOLID WASTE RECOVERY	
MENDOCINO	UKIAH	D	54.3%	45.7%	TOT FROM 6% TO 8%	GENERAL GOVERNMENT	
SAN BERNARDINO	UPLAND	N	72.5%	27.5%	BUS TAX/\$.14 PER TON/ROCK, SAND, GRAVEL	GENERAL GOVERNMENT	
SAN DIEGO	IMPERIAL BEACH	G	68.0%	32.0%	TOT 8% TO 10%	GENERAL GOVERNMENT	
SAN FRANCISCO	SAN FRANCISCO CITY AND COUNTY	D	53.5%	46.5%	1% BUS	GENERAL GOVERNMENT	
SISKIYOU	MT SHASTA	F	82.6%	17.4%	INCR TOT FROM 4% TO 8%	GENERAL GOVERNMENT	
SISKIYOU	SISKIYOU COUNTY	D	55.1%	44.9%	INCR TOT FROM 4% TO 8%	GENERAL GOVERNMENT	
			6 MEASU	RES FAILI	ED		
BUTTE	COUNTY SERVICE AREA 121	D	29.2%	70.8%	\$4.95 PER PARCEL	ANIMAL CONTROL SERVICE	
BUTTE	PARADISE (AD)	F	44.8%	55.2%	4% UTILITY USERS	LIFE SUPPORT	
KERN	KERN COUNTY	С	48.1%	51.9%	TOT FROM 6% TO 10%	GENERAL GOVERNMENT	
LOS ANGELES	HAWAIIAN GARDENS	С	42.1%	57.9%	TOT 10%	GENERAL GOVERNMENT	
STANISLAUS	RIVERBANK	A	36.8%	63.2%	2% NEW CONSTRUCTION	GENERAL GOVERNMENT	
VENTURA	OXNARD	Ċ	26.9%	73.1%	5% UTILITY USERS	GENERAL GOVERNMENT	

SOURCE: COUNTY CLERKS' DEPARTMENTS

TABLE A-5 SUMMARY

OTHER LOCAL TAX MEASURES JUNE 5, 1990 PRIMARY ELECTION

COUNTY	AGENCY	MEASURE	<u>YES</u>	<u>NO</u>	TYPE OF TAX MEASURE	<u>PURPOSE</u>							
	6 MEASURES PASSED												
MERCED	HERCED COUNTY	A	60.6%	39.4%	DIRECT MOTOR VEHICLE FEE TO CO	PUBLIC TRANSIT							
SANTA CLARA	SANTA CLARA VALLEY WATER DISTRICT	D	52.8X	47.2%	CONTINUE \$14.06 PER UNIT/10 YR	FLOOD CONTROL/STORM DRAINAGE							
SANTA CRUZ	CENTRAL FIRE PROTECTION DISTRICT	8	85.8%	14.2%	EXTEND AND INCREASE GANN LIMIT	LIFE SUPPORT							
SOLANO	SOLANO COUNTY	T	75.9%	24.1%	DIRECT MOTOR VEHICLE FEE TO CO	PUBLIC TRANSIT							
STANISLAUS	STANISLAUS COUNTY	Z	60.7%	39.3%	DIRECT MOTOR VEHICLE FEE TO CO	PUBLIC TRANSIT							
YOLO	YOLO COUNTY	A	78.4%	21.6%	DIRECT MOTOR VEHICLE FEE TO CO	PUBLIC TRANSIT							

SOURCE: COUNTY CLERKS' DEPARTMENTS

TABLE A-6 SUMMARY

STATE TAX AND LOCAL USE/TRANSACTION TAX MEASURES

JUNE 5, 1990 PRIMARY ELECTION

COUNTY	STATE BALLOT MEASURE/LOCAL AGENCY	MEASURE	YES	<u>NO</u>	TYPE OF TAX	AMOUNT OF TAX	<u>PURPOSE</u>				
			1 MEA	SURE PASSE	ED						
STATE MEASURE	THE TRAFFIC CONGESTION RELIEF AND SPENDING LIMITATION ACT OF 1990	111	52.4%	47.6 %	SPECIAL TAX	5 CENTS PER GAL/INCREASE 1 CENT EACH JAN FOR 4 YR	PUBLIC TRANSIT				
	1 MEASURE FAILED										
TEHAMA	TEHAMA COUNTY	В	17.1%	82.9%	USE/TRANSACTION	1/2% SALES TAX	GENERAL GOVERNMENT				

TABLE A-7
STATE AND LOCAL BOND AND TAX MEASURES
SUMMARY OF ELECTION RESULTS
JUNE 5, 1990 PRIMARY ELECTION

							TOTAL	PASS/	VOTE
COUNTY	STATE BALLOT MEASURE/LOCAL AGENCY	MEASURE	<u>YE</u>	<u>s</u>	Ñ	<u>0</u>	VOTE	FAIL	REQUIRED
STATE	HOUSING AND HOMELESS BOND ACT OF 1990	107	2,613,414	52.4%	2,369,377	47.6%	4,982,791	PASS	MAJORITY
	PASSENGER RAIL AND CLEAN AIR BOND ACT OF 1990	108	2,795,092	56.3%	2,170,876	43.7%	4,965,968	PASS	MAJORITY
	TRAFFIC CONGESTION RELIEF/SPENDING LIMITATION ACT OF 1990	111	2,621,022	52.4%	2,378,028	47.6%	4,999,050	PASS	MAJORITY
	RAIL TRANSPORTATION BOND ACT	116	2,579,810	53.3%	2,263,573	46.7%	4,843,383	PASS	MAJORITY
	NEW PRISON CONSTRUCTION BOND ACT OF 1990	120	2,714,145	56.0%	2,133,995	44.0%	4,848,140	PASS	MAJORITY
	HIGHER EDUCATION FACILITIES BOND ACT OF JUNE 1990	121	2,687,831	55.0%	2,195,888	45.0%	4,883,719	PASS	MAJORITY
	EARTHQUAKE SAFETY AND PUBLIC BUILDING REHABILITATION	122	2,679,875	55.0%	2,190,057	45.0%	4,869,932	PASS	MAJORITY
	1990 SCHOOL FACILITIES BOND ACT	123	2,781,973	57.5%	2,054,385	42.5%	4,836,358	PASS	MAJORITY
ALAMEDA	UNION CITY	G	3,102	54.3%	2,610	45.7%	5,712	FAIL	2/3RDS
BUTTE	COUNTY SERVICE AREA 121	D	7,901	29.2%	19,113	70.8%	27,014	FAIL	MAJORITY
	PARADISE (AD)	F	3,664	44.8%	4,513	55.2%	8,177	FAIL	MAJORITY
	BUTTE COUNTY	A	18,697	42.9%	24,845	57.1%	43,542	FAIL	2/3RDS
	BUTTE COUNTY	Ε	17,792	39.3%	27,430	60.7%	45,222	FAIL	2/3RDS
CONTRA COSTA	COUNTY SERVICE AREA P-2 ZONE A	E	656	47.9%	713	52.1%	1,369	FAIL	2/3RDS
EL DORADO	COUNTY SERVICE AREA NO 3 (AD)	A	2,192	45.6%	2,618	54.4%	4,810	FAIL	MAJORITY
	RESCUE FIRE PROTECTION DISTRICT	Ĺ	1,078	78.2%	300	21.8%	1,378	PASS	2/3RDS
INYO	NORTHERN INYO COUNTY HOSPITAL DISTRICT	В	2,872	60.0%	1,911	40.0%	4,783	FAIL	2/3RDS
KERN	KERN COUNTY	С	40,675	48.1%	43,930	51.9%	84,605	FAIL	MAJORITY
	MCFARLAND UNIFIED SCHOOL DISTRICT	D	338	51.4%	319	48.6%	657	FAIL	2/3RDS
LOS ANGELES	HAWAIIAN GARDENS	С	294	42.1%	405	57.9%	699	FAIL	MAJORITY
	BEVERLY HILLS UNIFIED SCHOOL DISTRICT	В	6,817	66.62%	3,416	33.38%	10,233	FAIL	2/3RDS
	LONG BEACH CFD NO 2	E	32,373	50.9%	31,256	49.1%	63,629	FAIL	2/3RDS
	HAWTHORNE	D	4,898	65.9%	2,537	34.1%	7,435	FAIL	2/3RDS
	LOS ANGELES	G	290,453	72.1%	112,439	27.9%	402,892	PASS	2/3RD\$
	WISEBURN SCHOOL DISTRICT	x	1,420	54.5%	1,186	45.5%	2,606	FAIL	2/3RDS

TABLE A-7
STATE AND LOCAL BOND AND TAX MEASURES
SUMMARY OF ELECTION RESULTS
JUNE 5, 1990 PRIMARY ELECTION

COUNTY	STATE BALLOT MEASURE/LOCAL AGENCY	MEASURE	<u>YE</u> :	<u>s</u>	<u>Ni</u>	<u>0</u>	TOTAL VOTE	PASS/ FAIL	VOTE REQUIRED
MADERA	BASS LAKE SCHOOL DISTRICT	A	1,234	61.2%	781	38.8%	2,015	FAIL	2/3RDS
MARIN	BOLINAS FIRE PROTECTION DISTRICT	B	373	75.1%	124	24.9%	497	PASS	2/3RDS
	BOLINAS COMMUNITY PUBLIC UTILITY DISTRICT (AD)	С	248	56.5%	191	43.5%	439	PASS	MAJORITY
	COUNTY SERVICE AREA NO 17	E	524	82.8%	109	17.2%	633	PASS	2/3RDS
•	COUNTY SERVICE AREA NO 19	F	1,231	74.9%	413	25.1%	1,644	PASS	2/3RDS
MENDOCINO	UKIAH	D	1,739	54.3%	1,466	45.7%	3,205	PASS	MAJORITY
	GREATER WILLITS AMBULANCE DISTRICT	С	1,905	62.5%	1,145	37.5%	3,050	FAIL	2/3RDS
MERCED	LE GRAND UNION HIGH SCHOOL DISTRICT	В	465	59.0%	323	41.0%	788	FAIL	2/3RDS
	MERCED COUNTY	A	12,846	60.6%	8,350	39.4%	21,196	PASS	MAJORITY
NEVADA	TRUCKEE-DOWNER RECREATION AND PARK DISTRICT	P	1,324	69.6%	578	30.4%	1,902	PASS	2/3RDS
ORANGE	SOUTH COAST WATER DISTRICT	F	3,343	81.9%	738	18.1%	4,081	PASS	2/3RDS
RIVERSIDE	PERRIS SCHOOL DISTRICT CFD NO 1	P	1,330	53.9%	1,138	46.1%	2,468	FAIL	2/3RDS
	LA QUINTA	Q	661	47.7%	726	52.3%	1,387	FAIL	2/3RDS
	DESERT SANDS UNIFIED SCHOOL DISTRICT	0	8,245	66.4%	4,176	33.6%	12,421	PASS	MAJORITY
SACRAMENTO	SLOUGHHOUSE FIRE PROTECTION DISTRICT (AD)	R	225	20.1%	895	79.9%	1,120	FAIL	MAJORITY
SAN BENITO	HOLLISTER	н	1,300	39.3%	2,004	60.7%	3,304	FAIL	2/3RDS
SAN BERNARDIN	D UPLAND	N	6,946	72.5%	2,630	27.5%	9,576	PASS	MAJORITY
	CENTRAL SCHOOL DISTRICT	1	2,224	64.0%	1,253	36.0%	3,477	FAIL	2/3RDS
	TWENTYNINE PALMS PARK AND RECREATION DISTRICT CFD NO 90-1	P	992	46.9%	1,123	53.1%	2,115	FAIL	2/3RDS
	REDLANDS	M	8,076	66.3%	4,111	33.7%	12,187	FAIL	2/3RDS
	MOJAVE WATER AGENCY	0	6,392	79.5%	1,646	20.5%	8,038	PASS	2/3RDS

TABLE A-7
STATE AND LOCAL BOND AND TAX MEASURES
SUMMARY OF ELECTION RESULTS
JUNE 5, 1990 PRIMARY ELECTION

							TOTAL	PASS/	VOTE
COUNTY	STATE BALLOT MEASURE/LOCAL AGENCY	MEASURE	YE:	<u>s</u>	NO	2	VOTE	<u>FAIL</u>	REQUIRED
SAN DIEGO	FALLBROOK UNION HIGH SCHOOL DISTRICT	N	6,307	64.8%	3,420	35.2X	9,727	FAIL	2/3RDS
JAN DIEGO	SAN DIEGO	E	121,203	68.4%	56,060	31.6%	177,263	PASS	2/3RDS
	IMPERIAL BEACH	G	2,369	68.0%	1,115	32.0%	3,484	PASS	MAJORITY
	RURAL FIRE PROTECTION DISTRICT	0	78	59.5%	53	40.5%	131	PASS	MAJORITY
SAN FRANCISCO	SAN FRANCISCO CITY AND COUNTY	D	71,076	53.5%	61,812	46.5%	132,888	PASS	MAJORITY
	SAN FRANCISCO UNIFIED SCHOOL DISTRICT CFD NO 90-1	В	88,252	67.4%	42,721	32.6%	130,973	PASS	2/3RDS
	SAN FRANCISCO CITY AND COUNTY	A	105,865	78.2%	29,447	21.8%	135,312	PASS	2/3RDS
SANTA BARBARA	COUNTY SERVICE AREA NO 3	L	12,085	82.0%	2,646	18.0%	14,731	PASS	2/3RDS
SANTA CLARA	SANTA CLARA VALLEY WATER DISTRICT	D	33,680	52.8%	30,067	47.2%	63,747	PASS	MAJORITY
	SANTA CLARA COUNTY	A	119,152	48.7%	125,682	51.3%	244,834	FAIL	2/3RDS
SANTA CRUZ	CENTRAL FIRE PROTECTION DISTRICT	8	9,414	85.8%	1,561	14.2%	10,975	PASS	MAJORITY
	PACIFIC ELEMENTARY SCHOOL DISTRICT	A	147	56.3%	114	43.7%	261	FAIL	2/3RDS
SISKIYOU	MT SHASTA	F	779	82.6%	164	17.4%	943	PASS	MAJORITY
	SISKIYOU COUNTY	D	3,708	55.1%	3,016	44.9%	6,724	PASS	MAJORITY
	WEED	E	665	77.7%	191	22.3%	856	PASS	2/3RDS
SOLANO	SOLANO COUNTY	τ	39,739	75.9%	12,614	24.1%	52,353	PASS	MAJORITY
SONOMA	COTATI-ROHNERT PARK UNIFIED SCHOOL DISTRICT	A	6,879	73.5%	2,484	26.5%	9,363	PASS	2/3RDS
	HEALDSBURG	С	2,038	74.2%	707	25.8%	2,745	PASS	2/3RDS
STANISLAUS	RIVERBANK	A	299	36.8%	514	63.2%	813	FAIL	MAJORITY
	STANISLAUS COUNTY	Z	28,290	60.7%	18,346	39.3%	46,636	PASS	MAJORITY
TEHAMA	TEHAMA COUNTY	В	2,089	17.1%	10,104	82.9%	12,193	FAIL	MAJORITY
TRINITY	SALYER COMMUNITY SERVICES DISTRICT	A	123	65.8%	64	34.2%	187	PASS	MAJORITY

TABLE A-7
STATE AND LOCAL BOND AND TAX MEASURES
SUMMARY OF ELECTION RESULTS
JUNE 5, 1990 PRIMARY ELECTION

COUNTY	STATE BALLOT MEASURE/LOCAL AGENCY -	MEASURE	<u>Y</u> I	<u>ES</u>	<u>N6</u>	<u>0</u>	TOTAL VOTE	PASS/ <u>Fail</u>	VOTE REQUIRED
TULARE	RICHGROVE SCHOOL DISTRICT	A	63	64.9%	34	35.1%	97	FAIL	2/3RDS
VENTURA	OXNARD SANTA PAULA UNION HIGH SCHOOL DISTRICT	C A	4,309 3,368	26.9% 79.2%	11,684 883	73.1% 20.8%	15,993 4,251	FAIL PASS	MAJORITY 2/3RDS
AOFO	YOLO COUNTY	A	24,484	78.4%	6,729	21.6%	31,213	PASS	MAJORITY

TABLE A-8 STATE AND LOCAL BOND AND TAX MEASURES SUMMARY OF TYPES AND PURPOSES JUNE 5, 1990 PRIMARY ELECTION

TYPE OF

		ITPE UF		
COUNTY	STATE BOND MEASURE/LOCAL AGENCY	DEBT	AMOUNT OF BOND OR TAX	PURPOSE
STATE	HOUSING AND HOMELESS BOND ACT OF 1990	GO BONDS	\$150,000,000	SINGLE/MULTIFAMILY HOUSING
	PASSENGER RAIL AND CLEAN AIR BOND ACT OF 1990	GO BONDS	\$1,000,000,000	PUBLIC TRANSIT
	TRAFFIC CONGESTION RELIEF/SPENDING LIMITATION ACT OF 1990	TAX	5 CENTS PER GAL/RISE 1 CENT EACH JAN/4 YR	PUBLIC TRANSIT
	RAIL TRANSPORTATION BOND ACT	GO BONDS	\$1,990,000,000	PUBLIC TRANSIT
	NEW PRISON CONSTRUCTION BOND ACT OF 1990	GO BONDS	\$450,000,000	PRISONS/JAILS
	HIGHER EDUCATION FACILITIES BOND ACT OF JUNE 1990	GO BONDS	\$450,000,080	COLLEGE/UNIVERSITY FACILITIES
•	EARTHQUAKE SAFETY AND PUBLIC BUILDING REHABILITATION	GO BONDS	\$300,000,000	EARTHQUAKE/SEISMIC SAFETY
	1990 SCHOOL FACILITIES BOND ACT	GO BONDS	\$800,000,000	K-12 SCHOOL FACILITIES
ALAMEDA	UNION CITY	GO BONDS	\$40,000,000	RECREATION/SPORTS FACILITIES
BUTTE	COUNTY SERVICE AREA 121	GENERAL TAX	\$4.95 PER PARCEL	ANIMAL CONTROL SERVICE
	PARADISE (AD)	GENERAL TAX	4% UTILITY USERS	LIFE SUPPORT
	BUTTE COUNTY	SPECIAL TAX	\$36.50 PER DWELLING UNIT	LIBRARY SERVICES
	BUTTE COUNTY	GO BONDS	\$38,000,000	PRISONS/JAILS
CONTRA COSTA	COUNTY SERVICE AREA P-2 ZONE A	SPECIAL TAX	\$190 RESIDEN, \$950 COMM/INDUS/INSTITU	LIFE SUPPORT
EL DORADO	COUNTY SERVICE AREA NO 3 (AD)	SPECIAL TAX	RAISE FROM \$4.96 TO \$9.96 PER PARCEL	LIFE SUPPORT
	RESCUE FIRE PROTECTION DISTRICT	SPECIAL TAX	\$50 PER PARCEL/PER YR	LIFE SUPPORT
INYO	NORTHERN INYO COUNTY HOSPITAL DISTRICT	GO BONDS	\$12,000,000	HOSPITAL
KERN	KERN COUNTY	GENERAL TAX	TOT FROM 6% TO 10%	GENERAL GOVERNMENT
	MCFARLAND UNIFIED SCHOOL DISTRICT	GO BONDS	\$18,000,000	K-12 SCHOOL FACILITIES
LOS ANGELES	HAWAIIAN GARDENS	GENERAL TAX	TOT 10%	GENERAL GOVERNMENT
	BEVERLY HILLS UNIFIED SCHOOL DISTRICT	SPECIAL TAX	\$250 CONDO, \$350-\$650 RESIDEN, \$650-\$750/5 YR	EDUCATIONAL PROGRAMS
	LONG BEACH CFD NO 2	SPECIAL TAX	\$34-\$64 RESIDEN, \$.041 SQ FT	LIFE SUPPORT
	HAWTHORNE	SPECIAL TAX	WEIGHTED PROPERTY TAX	LIFE SUPPORT
	LOS ANGELES	GO BONDS	\$376,000,000	EARTHQUAKE/SEISMIC SAFETY
	WISEBURN SCHOOL DISTRICT	SPECIAL TAX	\$100 PER YEAR/4 YR	EDUCATIONAL PROGRAMS

TABLE A-8

STATE AND LOCAL BOND AND TAX MEASURES SUMMARY OF TYPES AND PURPOSES

JUNE 5, 1990 PRIMARY ELECTION

		TIPE UF		
COUNTY	STATE BOND MEASURE/LOCAL AGENCY	DEBT	AMOUNT OF BOND OR TAX	PURPOSE
MADERA	BASS LAKE SCHOOL DISTRICT	GO BONDS	\$4,000,000	K-12 SCHOOL FACILITIES
MARIN	BOLINAS FIRE PROTECTION DISTRICT	SPECIAL TAX	\$79 RESIDEN, \$98 BUS, \$20 UNIMP	LIFE SUPPORT
	BOLINAS COMMUNITY PUBLIC UTILITY DISTRICT (AD)	GENERAL TAX	\$2.50 HOUSEHOLD/PER MO	SOLID WASTE RECOVERY
	COUNTY SERVICE AREA NO 17	SPECIAL TAX	\$150 PER LIVING UNIT/PER YR/4 YR	LIFE SUPPORT
	COUNTY SERVICE AREA NO 19	SPECIAL TAX	\$.08 PER SQ FT RESIDEN, \$20 PER AC/4 YR	LIFE SUPPORT
MENDOCINO	UKIAH	GENERAL TAX	TOT FROM 6% TO 8%	GENERAL GOVERNMENT
	GREATER WILLITS AMBULANCE DISTRICT	SPECIAL TAX	\$30 PER UNIT PER YEAR	LIFE SUPPORT
MERCED	LE GRAND UNION HIGH SCHOOL DISTRICT	GO BONDS	\$1,250,000	K-12 SCHOOL FACILITIES
	MERCED COUNTY	OTHER		PUBLIC TRANSIT
NEVADA	TRUCKEE-DONNER RECREATION AND PARK DISTRICT	SPECIAL TAX	\$3 RESIDEN/MULTIFAM RESIDEN/MOBILE HOM	RECREATION/SPORTS FACILITIES
ORANGE	SOUTH COAST WATER DISTRICT	GO BONDS	\$8,500,000	WATER SUPPLY/STORAGE
RIVERSIDE	PERRIS SCHOOL DISTRICT CFD NO 1	SPECIAL TAX	\$30,000,000	K-12 SCHOOL FACILITIES
	LA QUINTA	SPECIAL TAX	\$10 DWELLING UNIT/PER MO	LIFE SUPPORT
	DESERT SANDS UNIFIED SCHOOL DISTRICT	SPECIAL TAX	\$9.75 PER \$100 AV	EDUCATIONAL PROGRAMS
SACRAMENTO	SLOUGHHOUSE FIRE PROTECTION DISTRICT (AD)	SPECIAL TAX	RESCIND EXISITING TAX	LIFE SUPPORT
SAN BENITO	HOLLISTER	SPECIAL TAX	\$150 PER HOME	LIFE SUPPORT
SAN BERNARDIN	D UPLAND	GENERAL TAX	BUS TAX/\$.14 PER TON/ROCK, SAND, GRAVEL	GENERAL GOVERNMENT
	CENTRAL SCHOOL DISTRICT	GO BONDS	\$15,000,000	K-12 SCHOOL FACILITIES
	TWENTYNINE PALMS PARK AND RECREATION DISTRICT CFD NO 90-1	SPECIAL TAX	\$1,300,000	RECREATION/SPORTS FACILITIES
	REDLANDS	SPECIAL TAX	2 1/2% UTILITY USERS	LIFE SUPPORT
	MOJAVE WATER AGENCY	GO BONDS	\$66,500,000	WATER SUPPLY/STORAGE
				•

TABLE A-8

STATE AND LOCAL BOND AND TAX MEASURES SUMMARY OF TYPES AND PURPOSES

JUNE 5, 1990 PRIMARY ELECTION

COUNTY	STATE BOND MEASURE/LOCAL AGENCY	TYPE OF DEBT	AMOUNT OF BOND OR TAX	PURPOSE
			THOUSE OF BOND OR 1794	· SKI OSE
SAN DIEGO	FALLBROOK UNION HIGH SCHOOL DISTRICT	GO BONDS	\$35,000,000	K-12 SCHOOL FACILITIES
	SAN DIEGO	GO BONDS	\$25,500,000	LIFE SUPPORT
	IMPERIAL BEACH	GENERAL TAX	TOT 8% TO 10%	GENERAL GOVERNMENT
	RURAL FIRE PROTECTION DISTRICT	SPECIAL TAX	\$50 SINGLE FAM/\$70 APT/COMMERCIAL	LIFE SUPPORT
SAN FRANCISCO	SAN FRANCISCO CITY AND COUNTY	GENERAL TAX	1% BUS	GENERAL GOVERNMENT
	SAN FRANCISCO UNIFIED SCHOOL DISTRICT CFD NO 90-1	SPECIAL TAX	\$46 PER RESI/\$23 PER UNIT MULTI/6 YR	K-12 SCHOOL FACILITIES
	SAN FRANCISCO CITY AND COUNTY	GO BONDS	\$332,400,000	EARTHQUAKE/SEISMIC SAFETY
SANTA BARBARA	COUNTY SERVICE AREA NO 3	SPECIAL TAX	\$12 SINGLE FAM DWELLING UNIT	LIBRARY SERVICES
SANTA CLARA	SANTA CLARA VALLEY WATER DISTRICT	OTHER	\$14.06 PER UNIT/10 YR	FLOOD CONTROL/STORM DRAINAGE
	SANTA CLARA COUNTY	SPECIAL TAX	\$5 PER YR/5 YR	EARTHQUAKE/SEISMIC SAFETY
SANTA CRUZ	CENTRAL FIRE PROTECTION DISTRICT	OTHER	EXTEND AND INCREASE GANN LIMIT	LIFE SUPPORT
	PACIFIC ELEMENTARY SCHOOL DISTRICT	SPECIAL TAX	\$96 PER YR/7 YR	EDUCATIONAL PROGRAMS
SISKIYOU	MT SHASTA	GENERAL TAX	INCREASE TOT FROM 4% TO 8%	GENERAL GOVERNMENT
	SISKIYOU COUNTY	GENERAL TAX	INCREASE TOT FROM 4% TO 8%	GENERAL GOVERNMENT
	WEED	SPECIAL TAX	INCREASE TOT FROM 6% TO 8%	COMM PROMOTION/BEAUTIFICATION
SOLAND	SOLANO COUNTY	OTHER		PUBLIC TRANSIT
SONOMA	COTATI-ROHNERT PARK UNIFIED SCHOOL DISTRICT	GO BONDS	\$85,000,000	K-12 SCHOOL FACILITIES
	HEALDSBURG	GO BONDS	\$6,100,000	PUBLIC BUILDING
STANISLAUS	RIVERBANK	GENERAL TAX	2% ON NEW CONSTRUCTION	GENERAL GOVERNMENT
	STANISLAUS COUNTY	OTHER		PUBLIC TRANSIT
TEHAMA	TEHAMA COUNTY	USE/TRANSACTIO	N1/2% SALES	GENERAL GOVERNMENT
TRINITY	SALYER COMMUNITY SERVICES DISTRICT	SPECIAL TAX	\$65 RESIDEN, \$35 MOBILE/UNDEVELOP, \$13	LIFE SUPPORT

TABLE A-8

STATE AND LOCAL BOND AND TAX MEASURES

SUMMARY OF TYPES AND PURPOSES

JUNE 5, 1990 PRIMARY ELECTION

TYPE OF

COUNTY	STATE BOND MEASURE/LOCAL AGENCY	DEBT	AMOUNT OF BOND OR TAX	PURPOSE
TULARE	RICHGROVE SCHOOL DISTRICT	SPECIAL TAX	\$55 PER YR/5 YR	K-12 SCHOOL FACILITIES
VENTURA	OXNARD SANTA PAULA UNION HIGH SCHOOL DISTRICT	GENERAL TAX GO BONDS	5% UTILITY USERS \$5,000,000	GENERAL GOVERNMENT K-12 SCHOOL FACILITIES
YOLO	YOLO COUNTY	OTHER		PUBLIC TRANSIT