

CALIFORNIA DEBT ADVISORY COMMISSION	CA	LIFORN	JIA [DEBT	ADV	SORY	COMMISSI	10
-------------------------------------	----	--------	-------	------	-----	------	----------	----

STATE AND LOCAL TAX AND

BOND BALLOT MEASURES

Results of the 1992 Primary and General Elections

KATHLEEN BROWN

State Treasurer and Chair



CALIFORNIA DEBT ADVISORY COMMISSION

915 CAPITOL MALL, ROOM 400 P.O. BOX 942809 SACRAMENTO, CA 94209-0001 TELEPHONE: (916) 653-3269

FAX: (916) 654-7440

Steve Juarez Executive Director

March 22, 1993

MEMBERS

Kathleen Brown

Pete Wilson

Gray Davis
State Controller

Robert G. Beverly State Senator

Lucy Killes State Senator

Jim Costa State Assemblyman

Patrick J. Nolan
State Assemblymen

Donald W. Merz
Treasurer/Tax Collector
County of Sonome

Phyllis E. Currie
Chief Financial Officer
Los Angeles City Department
of Water and Power

To All Interested Parties:

On behalf of the California Debt Advisory Commission (CDAC), I am pleased to present State and Local Tax and Bond Ballot Measures: Results of the 1992 Primary and General Elections. This report covers the results of the elections held on June 2, 1992 and November 3, 1992. This is the fifth Elections report issued by the Commission, but the first one to combine the results of the state's Primary and General Elections.

The report indicates that 19 of 53 tax and bond measures (36 percent) were approved at the June 1992 election, and 35 of the 116 measures (30 percent) were passed as part of the General Election in November 1992. The volume of bond and tax measures on the ballot and the rates of passage for these measures represent declines from prior elections. In addition, local officials once again encountered significant difficulty in generating the necessary two-thirds voter approval for local general obligation (G.O.) bonds. The 1992 Primary Election witnessed the approval of six of 21 local G.O. bond measures (29 percent passing). The success rate was better in November when 13 of 30 (43 percent) local G.O. bond measures garnered the necessary two-thirds approval.

The rate of success for local general obligation bonds could improve significantly if the State's electorate approves ACA 6 on the June 1994 ballot. ACA 6 would permit permit local general obligation bonds for school facilities to be passed with a majority vote. In fact, had such a provision been in place for all local G.O. bonds placed before the voters at Primary and General Elections since 1986, 88 percent (127 of 144) of these measures would have been approved. Instead, only 38 percent (55 of 144) of these measures were able to achieve the two-thirds approval necessary for passage.

In closing, the California Debt Advisory Commission and its staff would like to acknowledge the assistance of the Secretary of State's office and the election departments of the 58 County Clerks' offices in the preparation of this report.

KATHLEEN BROWN

State Treasurer

Since cely.

Chair, California Debt Advisory Commission



STATE AND LOCAL TAX AND BOND BALLOT MEASURES SUMMARY OF PRIMARY AND GENERAL ELECTION RESULTS JUNE 2, 1992 AND NOVEMBER 3, 1992

March 1993

CALIFORNIA DEBT ADVISORY COMMISSION
P.O. Box 942809
Sacramento, CA 94209-0001
(916) 653-3269

California Debt Advisory Commission

The California Debt Advisory Commission is the state's clearinghouse for public debt issuance information. The Commission was created by the California Legislature in 1981 to assist state and local government agencies with the monitoring, issuance, and management of public debt.

The California Debt Advisory Commission members include:

Kathleen Brown
California State Treasurer and Chair

Pete Wilson
Governor
or
Thomas W. Hayes
Director
Department of Finance

Gray Davis
State Controller

Robert G. Beverly State Senator

> Lucy Killea State Senator

Jim Costa
State Assemblyman

Patrick J. Nolan State Assemblyman

Donald W. Merz
Treasurer-Tax Collector
Sonoma County

Phyllis E. Currie
Chief Financial Officer
Los Angeles Department of
Water and Power

Additional information concerning this report or the program of the California Debt Advisory Commission may be obtained by contacting:

Steve Juarez

Executive Secretary

California Debt Advisory Commission
(916) 653-2369

CONTENTS

I.	Introduction		1
	June 2, 1992 Primary Election		
II.	Summary of State and Local Bond Measur	es by Purpose	3
	A. Education	·	3
	B. Capital Improvements and Public V		
	C. Life Support		
	D. Miscellaneous Purposes		
III.	Bonds		4
	A. State General Obligation Bonds		4
	B. Local General Obligation Bonds		
	C. Local Revenue Bonds		
IV.	Tax Measures		5
	A. Use and Transactions (Sales Tax)		5
•	B. Special Taxes		
	C. General Tax Measures		
	D. Other Tax Measures		
V.	Counties Reporting No Local Bond and Ta	ax Measures	6
	November 3, 1992 General Election		
VI.	Summary of State and Local Bond Measur	es by Purpose	7
	A. Education		7
	B. Capital Improvements and Public V	Vorks	7
	C. Life Support		7
	D. Miscellaneous Purposes		8
VII.	Bonds		8
	A. State General Obligation Bonds		8
	B. Local General Obligation Bonds		
	C. Revenue Bond Measures		9
III.	Tax Measures		9
	A. Use and Transactions (Sales Tax)		
	B. Special Taxes		
	C. General Tax Measures		
	D. Other Tax Measures	1	1
IX.	Counties Reporting No Local Bond and Ta	ıx Measures1	1

SUMMARY TABLES

June	2.	1992	Primary	Election
------	----	------	---------	----------

Table A-1	State General Obligation Bond Measures	A-1
Table A-2	Local Government General Obligation Bond Measures	A-2
Table A-3	Local Government Revenue Bond Measure	A-3
Table A-4	Local Government Use/Transactions Tax Measure	A-3
Table A-5	Local Government Special Tax Measures	A-4
Table A-6	Local Government General Tax Measures	A-5
Table A-7	Other Local Government Tax Measures	A-6
Table A-8	State and Local Bond and Tax Measures Summary of Election Results	A-7
Table A-9	State and Local Bond and Tax Measures Summary of Types and Purposes	A-10
November 3,	1992 General Election	
Table B-1	State General Obligation Bond Measures	B-1
Table B-2	Local Government General Obligation Bond Measures .	B-2
Table B-3	Local Government Revenue Bond Measures	B-4
Table B-4	Local Government Use/Transactions Tax Measures	B-4
Table B-5	Local Government Special Tax Measures	B-5
Table B-6	Local Government General Tax Measures	B-8
Table B-7	Other Local Government Tax Measures	В-9
Table B-8	State and Local Bond and Tax Measures Summary of Election Results	B-10
Table B-9	State and Local Bond and Tax Measures Summary of Types and Purposes	B-16

STATE AND LOCAL TAX AND BOND BALLOT MEASURES

Summary of Primary and General Election Results June 2, 1992 and November 3, 1992

I. INTRODUCTION

This report is a summary of the bond and tax measures which were decided at the June 2, 1992 Primary Election and the November 3, 1992 General Election in California. The California Debt Advisory Commission has been reporting on the results of bond and tax elections since 1986. This marks the first time, however, that the results from both the Primary and General Elections have been incorporated into one report.

Primary Election Results. At the June 1992 Primary Election, there were 53 local bond and tax measures on the ballot, with 19 measures passing and 34 failing (a passage rate of 36 percent). At the same election, two State general obligation bond (G.O.) measures in support of education facilities (Propositions 152 and 153) passed with slight majorities. The total number of issues proposed as part of the June 1992 Primary Election was down from the 1990 Primary Election when the Commission reported on 64 local measures and eight State bond and tax measures. The declining number of measures in 1992 likely reflects the downturn in the economy and, at least at the State level, caution imposed by the massive defeat of State general obligation bonds in November 1990.

The rates of passage for various types of bonds and tax measures decided during the 1992 Primary election were also down slightly from figures posted in the previous statewide Primary held in June 1990. Notably, local general obligation bond measures (29 percent of the proposed measures passing) and special tax measures (14 percent rate of approval) faced a much more difficult time in June 1992 than was the case two years earlier. While local G.O. bond measures and special tax proposals have historically encountered low rates of approval, the June 1992 statistics were especially grim for these local financing tools.

General Election Results. A canvass of the 116 bond and tax measures from the November 3, 1992 General Election revealed that only 30 percent of all measures on the ballot were successful. This represents the lowest rate of passage since the Commission began its coverage of bond and tax elections in 1986. Of the 116 measures considered in November 1992, the voters approved 34 local bond and tax measures, defeated 80 other local measures, and split their vote on the two State general obligation (G.O.) bond measures. (There were two measures for the Shoreline Unified School District; one each in Marin and Sonoma County. The two measures will count as one for reporting purposes, although the results show a split vote in the summary.)

Based on Commission data, the November 1992 General Election results continued a downward trend that began with the 1988 General Election. Not only were fewer bond and tax measures being proposed in this General Election than in the previous two, but measures were passed at a much lower rate than was the case in either 1990 or 1988. For instance, there were 173 measures considered in November 1988, with 91 receiving approval (53 percent). By November 1990, the number of State and local

bond and tax measures on the ballot had dropped to 147, with 54 winning approval (a passage rate of 37 percent). Thus, the drop to 116 measures and declining passage rate of 30 percent for this General Election must be viewed as decidedly negative omens for public finance in California.

Forty-one of California's 58 counties had local measures on the November ballot. Marin County voters faced the greatest number of ballot measures (15), including eight special tax measures submitted by county service areas and fire protection districts to recover lost revenues as a result of State budget reductions. All but one of the special tax measures in Marin County were defeated.

Report Methodology. This is the California Debt Advisory Commission's fifth report on bond and tax measures. Prior publications reported the results of the 1986, 1988, and 1990 November General Elections and the 1990 June Primary Election. The State of California bond measure results were taken from the California Secretary of State's data. Local agency bond and tax measure information was received from county clerk departments of the state's 58 counties. The accuracy and completeness of local election results depends wholly on sample ballots and voter results provided by the county departments.

For purposes of this report, each election will be discussed separately. Summary tables for the various types of bond and tax measures for the June Primary Election begin on page A-1 in Appendix A while similar tables for the November General Election are contained in Appendix B beginning on page B-1. A complete list of all ballot measures for each election is contained in Tables A-8 and A-9, beginning on page A-7, for the Primary Election and Tables B-8 and B-9, page B-10, for the November Election.

JUNE 2, 1992 PRIMARY ELECTION

II. SUMMARY OF STATE AND LOCAL BOND MEASURES BY PURPOSE

A. Education

Of the 21 education measures considered in June 1992, two State of California and five local measures were approved (33 percent rate of passage). Statewide, voters approved Proposition 152 to provide \$1.9 billion for K-12 school facilities, and Proposition 153 to provide \$900 million for higher education facilities. The approval marks for these two general obligation bond measures were 53 percent and 51 percent, respectively. In June 1990, California voters approved two smaller bond measures (\$800 million for K-12 schools and \$450 million for higher education) by slightly higher margins (57 percent and 55 percent, respectively).

Voters also approved K-12 school facilities in the El Centro, Farmersville Union, Robla, Rim of the World Unified, and San Diego Unified School Districts. However, fourteen other education measures for K-12 school facilities and educational programs were defeated. The June 1992 passage rate of 26 percent for local education measures is slightly below the approval rate from the June 1990 election when 29 percent of local education measures passed (four of 14).

B. Capital Improvements and Public Works

Six of 17 capital improvement and public works measures were approved in local elections held on June 2, 1992 (a passage rate of 35 percent). Of the six, two measures were for water supply and storage facilities, two were for parks and recreation facilities and programs; and two were approvals for the use of motor vehicle license fees for transit and guideway projects in Santa Barbara and Imperial Counties.

C. Life Support

Only four of 10 life support measures (i.e. police, fire, or emergency medical services) on local ballots were approved. They included services in Cloverdale Hospital District, Dulzura Community Rural Fire Protection District, Marin County Service Area No. 28, and an advisory vote in San Diego County. The 40 percent passage rate for life support measures at the 1992 Primary Election was slightly below that witnessed in June 1990 when eight of 18 such measures were adopted (44 percent).

D. Miscellaneous Purposes

This umbrella category includes measures for seismic safety, hospitals, and general fund support. Both seismic safety measures proposed for Oakland and the Berkeley Unified School District passed. Hospital measures on the ballot met different fates, however, as the Tehachapi Valley Hospital District voters approved a special tax measure, while Palo Verde Hospital District voters defeated a similar proposal. A proposal for general tax increase in the City of Piedmont was approved, while two other general tax measures in Shasta County and the City of Morgan Hill were defeated.

III. BONDS

A. State General Obligation Bonds

As previously noted, the electorate approved two State of California general obligation bond measures. Proposition 152 provides \$1.9 billion for K-12 school facilities, and Proposition 153 targets \$900 million for higher education facilities. The placement of only two State G.O. bond measures on the Primary Election ballot undoubtedly was influenced by the results of the November 1990 election, when voters defeated 12 of the 14 State general obligation bond measures proposed on the ballot.

B. Local General Obligation Bonds

The Commission's survey indicates that 21 local general obligation bond measures, totalling \$841 million, were on the June ballot. Of the 21 local G.O. measures, six issues passed and 15 were defeated, an approval rate of 29 percent. There were two successful bond measures in Alameda County where voters approved \$158 million in school earthquake and seismic safety bonds for Berkeley Unified School District and a \$50 million issue for seismic safety and emergency response for the City of Oakland.

Less successful were G.O. bonds in the City and County of San Francisco, where only one of four general obligation bond issues passed. San Francisco voters approved a \$76 million issue for renovations to Golden Gate Park, but rejected three measures for Civic Center rehabilitation. Finally, three school districts passed G.O. bond measures for their K-12 schools: El Centro School District (\$8 million); Robla School District (\$32 million); and Farmersville Union School District (\$4 million).

As has been the case in previous elections in California, several of the defeated local G.O. bond measures came within percentage points of achieving the two-thirds vote needed for passage. For example, both Clovis Unified School District and Windsor Union School District received over 63 percent voter approval, falling just short of the two-thirds required. Of the 15 measures that failed in June 1992, eight received at least 50 percent of the vote. In June 1990, eight of 16 local G.O. bond proposals were approved, with seven of the eight defeated measures realizing at least 50 percent voter approval.

C. Local Revenue Bonds

Elections to approve revenue bonds have become less common as local governments have turned to other forms of indebtedness to finance enterprise facilities. Consistent with this trend, only one revenue bond proposal was before a local electorate at the June 1992 election. The measure, a \$100,000 revenue bond measure for water supply and storage facilities in Fort Jones, was overwhelmingly approved by 92 percent of those casting votes.

A summary of State and Local General Obligation Bonds is in Table A-1 and A-2 beginning on page A-1, and the sole Local Revenue Bond measure in Table A-3 page A-3.

IV. TAX MEASURES

A. Use and Transactions (Sales) Tax

Shasta County placed a transactions and use (sales) tax measure on the ballot and it was soundly defeated with only 24 percent voting in favor of the measure, which required majority approval. It would have provided funds for county general government purposes and would have increased the sales tax by 0.5 percent. Since 1987, when counties were authorized to pass sales tax measures for general purposes by majority vote, only Inyo County has been able to muster the 50 percent needed.

B. Special Taxes

Voters approved special taxes in the Tehachapi Valley Hospital District for hospital improvements, and in Marin County Service Area No. 28 and in the Cloverdale Hospital District for life support services. The tax measures ranged from \$18 per year for Cloverdale Hospital District to \$25 per year for Tehachapi Valley Hospital District and the Marin County Service Area.

Twelve other measures proposing local tax increases were defeated, reflecting a passage rate of 20 percent. (Pursuant to Proposition 13 in 1978, special tax measures must receive two-thirds of the vote in order to be approved.) Two Mello-Roos district tax measures failed (Kern County's Lakeside Union School District and Santa Barbara County's Solvang Elementary School District), as did other special tax measures for expanded educational services, life support services, a hospital, library services, and parks and open space projects.

The passage rate of 20 percent represents a significant drop from the 1990 Primary Election in California when 11 of 28 local sales tax measures were passed, a success rate of 39 percent.

C. General Tax Measures

General tax measures, which require a majority vote of the electorate for approval, passed in two areas and failed in two others. These taxes are ordinarily assessed against parcels or are in the form of utility or business taxes. Voters in Piedmont approved a general tax for general government expenses by a margin of 75-25 percent, and Dulzura Community Rural Fire Protection District in San Diego County approved a fire zone and tax for life support. Conversely, voters in the City of Morgan Hill and the Hesperia Fire Protection District defeated general tax measures in those communities.

As with other types of local tax and bond measures, the four general tax measures proposed at the 1992 Primary Election reflected a significant drop from the 1990 Primary Election when there were 13 such measures. At that election, voters considered six transit occupancy taxes (four approved), three business tax measures (two approved), two utility user's tax (both were defeated), and two advisory measures on general tax increases (one approved).

D. Other Tax Measures

There were 11 miscellaneous tax-related measures on local ballots covering a variety of purposes. Issues winning approval included: (1) authorization for Imperial and Santa Barbara Counties to spend motor vehicle license fees for public transit and guideways; (2) a measure allowing the Paradise Irrigation District to enter into a Department of Water Resources loan for water supply and storage; and (3) proposals allowing the Rim of the World Unified School District and Santa Clara County to use existing taxes for educational and parks/open space purposes, respectively. Also approved was an advisory vote in San Diego County to allow a portion of the tax dollars collected by the Regional Justice Facility sales tax to be used for police protection services.

Measures that failed included El Dorado County's proposal to use motor vehicle license fees for county transit and guideways; establishment of a citywide benefit assessment district for flood control and storm drainage in Lancaster; and advisory votes to allow general fund money to be spent for a sports stadium in San Jose and to renovate Babcock Court in Coronado.

Summary tables for all the tax measures begin on page A-3 of Appendix B.

V. COUNTIES REPORTING NO LOCAL BOND AND TAX MEASURES

Thirty of the 58 counties in California reported at least one local bond or tax measure on their Primary ballot. The following counties reported no local bond or tax measures at the June 2, 1992 Primary Election: Alpine, Amador, Calaveras, Colusa, Del Norte, Glenn, Kings, Lake, Lassen, Madera, Merced, Modoc, Mono, Napa, Nevada, San Benito, San Joaquin, San Luis Obispo, Santa Cruz, Sierra, Solano, Stanislaus, Sutter, Tehama, Trinity, Tuolumne, Ventura, and Yuba.

NOVEMBER 3, 1992 GENERAL ELECTION

VI. SUMMARY OF STATE AND LOCAL BOND MEASURES BY PURPOSE

A. Education

Although the results from the November 1992 General Election were bleak on most fronts, education financing provided one bright spot, with 14 of the 25 proposed education measures winning passage (an approval rate of 56 percent). The winning measures included a State of California general obligation bond for K-12 school facilities, two local G.O. bonds for community college facilities in Alameda and Los Angeles Counties, and ten bond or special tax measures for K-12 public school facilities and programs. A measure to allow additional educational uses for an existing tax in the Gilroy Unified School District was also approved.

The number of education measures receiving voter approval at the November 1992 General Election represents a partial reversal from the results of the General Election in November 1990. At that time, only 9 of 23 education measures (39 percent) were approved. It also marked an improvement over the results from the June 1992 Primary Election when only 33 percent of the measures for education passed.

B. Capital Improvements and Public Works

There were nine successful local measures concerning capital improvement and public works projects, with eight of these measures providing added funding and one measure removing support for solid waste services. Increased funding was provided in the Marin Municipal Water District and the Nevada Irrigation District for water supply and storage facilities; in Santa Clara, Mendocino, and Humboldt Counties for transit-related purposes; in Los Angeles County for wastewater treatment facilities; in the City and County of San Francisco for a public building, and in Rincon Ranch Community Services District for a street construction project. In addition, voters approved a measure in Amador County to rescind a tax for solid waste disposal services.

The November 1992 General Election did not offer any good news for those persons interested in libraries or parks, open space, and recreational facilities. All 11 issues that would have provided financing for libraries or library services were defeated. Likewise, all 12 measures intended to provide either recreational, open space, or park facilities were defeated. The results were much better at the prior General Election in November 1990 when two of four library proposals were approved and none of the 26 measures (35 percent) for parks, open space, and recreational facilities were passed.

C. Life Support

Overall, only six of 30 life support measures (20 percent) were approved by voters. Life support refers to police, fire and emergency medical services. The winning life support measures included those in Emeryville, Moraga Fire Protection District, Fieldbrook Community Services District, City of Los Angeles, Marin County Service Area No. 13, and Post Mountain Public Utilities District. The rate of approval for these types of measures in November 1992 was significantly down from the results of the November 1990 General Election, when over 45 percent of life support measures (nine of 20) were approved by voters.

D. Miscellaneous Purposes

A mixed picture emerged for the miscellaneous tax and bond proposals on the November 1992 ballot. One of three hospital measures (Seneca Hospital District) was approved. Of 11 measures to provide additional funding for general government services, only three proposals (a transit occupancy tax increase in the City of Oroville, a general sales tax increase in Del Norte County, and retention of a utility users tax in the City of Pacifica) won the electorate's approval. Various fees and taxes to remove graffiti (City of Moreno Valley), assist mobilehome development (City of Yucaipa), and to pay for animal control services (Butte County) were all defeated. Finally, two seismic safety proposals in the City of Berkeley and the City and County of San Francisco were approved.

VII. BONDS

A. State General Obligation Bonds

There were only two State of California G.O. bond measures on the November 1992 ballot. Proposition 155, a \$1.9 billion bond for public school facilities, passed by a narrow margin of 51.8 percent. Not so fortunate was Proposition 156, a \$1 billion G.O. bond proposal for transit and transportation programs, which was defeated by a margin of 48.1 percent to 51.9 percent. Unlike local G.O. bonds, the State's general obligation bond proposals need only a majority of the electorate's approval for passage.

Again, the relatively small number of State general obligation bonds on the ballot appears to be in response to the high number of bond proposals which have been on previous ballots and the dismal results from the November 1990 election, when 12 of the 14 State G.O. bond measures were defeated. The Legislature has exercised caution in placing measures on the ballot and the initiative process has not placed a bond measure on the ballot since 1990.

B. Local General Obligation Bonds

There were 30 local general obligation bond measures on the ballot which proposed over \$1.1 billion in financing for schools, parks, libraries, seismic safety projects, and health facilities. Thirteen measures, with a total dollar volume of \$676 million, passed. The remaining 17 issues, with a proposed dollar volume of \$448 million, failed to generate the two-thirds necessary for passage.

As with past elections, the two-thirds requirement for local general obligation bonds proved to be a significant hurdle for local officials to overcome. Of the 17 defeated measures, 11 received at least 50 percent of the popular vote. This is up slightly from June 1992 when only eight of 15 defeated measures mustered a majority

of the local vote. In November 1990, by contrast, 19 of 21 defeated measures sustained majority approval, only to fall short of the two-thirds needed.

Ten of the 13 measures that did pass will provide funds for local public school and community college district facilities. The other three issues were for a public building in the City and County of San Francisco and seismic safety projects in Berkeley and San Francisco.

C. Revenue Bond Measures

Both the City of Los Angeles and the Marin Municipal Water District proposed revenue bond measures in the November 1992 election. Los Angeles voters approved \$1.5 billion for wastewater treatment facilities, while Marin Municipal Water district voters approved \$37.5 million for a water supply and storage project. Revenue bond measures placed before the voters only require majority approval for passage.

Summary tables for State and Local General Obligation Bond Results and Local Revenue Bond Measures begin on page B-1 of Appendix B.

VIII. TAX MEASURES

A. Use and Transaction (Sales) Taxes

Since 1978, local sales tax measures proposed in California have been approved according to a majority vote doctrine. However, a 1991 California Supreme Court decision (i.e. Rider vs. County of San Diego) has raised the possibility that certain types of sales tax measures are, in fact, special taxes which require two-thirds voter approval. Because the court was somewhat vague as to the applicability of the Rider decision to other sales tax measures, the voting threshold for each sales tax measure proposed will likely be decided on a case-by-case basis.

Of the five local sales tax measures proposed, just two measures received a majority of the votes needed for approval. Del Norte County passed a sales tax for general government purposes with 59 percent of the vote, and 54 percent of the voters in Santa Clara County approved a measure that extends their existing sales tax for transportation purposes, due to expire in 1994, for 20 more years. The local sales tax measures that failed included a general government sales tax for Imperial County (29 percent in favor); a parks and open space measure for the Napa County Regional Open Space District (29 percent aye); and a measure to allow San Diego County to use sales tax revenues, which had previously been collected but disallowed under the Rider case, for jail facilities (41 percent).

B. Special Taxes

By far, the most prevalent type of proposal on the November 1992 ballot were measures to raise special taxes. There were a total of 55 special tax measures, and of that number, eight passed (the two Shoreline issues counted as one) and 47 failed (an approval rate of 15 percent). As with local general obligation bonds, the two-thirds

voter approval requirement for special taxes proved to be a formidable obstacle to passage.

While it has been historically difficult for local governments to generate two-thirds vote support for special tax measures, the results of the 1992 General and Primary Elections were especially gloomy. As previously noted, the 1992 Primary Election saw only three of 15 special tax measures succeed (20 percent passage rate), while the November election results showed no improvement with an approval rate of 15 percent. (If the Amador County measure to repeal their solid waste disposal fee is excluded, the actual approval rate is only 13 percent.) By contrast, the rates of passage for special tax measures in the 1990 General and Primary Elections were 32 and 39 percent, respectively.

Special tax increases were approved for life support services in the Contra Costa County Moraga Fire Protection District, the Humboldt County Fieldbrook Community Services District, the City of Los Angeles, and Marin County Service Area No. 13. Voters in the Seneca Hospital District approved a special tax for improvements to their hospital. In the San Diego County Rincon Ranch Community Services District special taxes will be used for street construction and repair, while the voters in the Shoreline Unified School District authorized special taxes for educational programs in that district. And as noted, a measure to repeal a fee and abolish County Service Area No. 7 for solid waste disposal was passed in Amador County.

Twenty of the defeated special tax measures were for life support services and proposed increases needed to replenish tax funds that were lost as a result of the 1992-93 reduction in State support for these districts. Other measures would have provided funds for libraries and library service, recreation and park facilities, educational programs, prisons and jails, and animal control services. There was also a measure in Moreno Valley to fund graffiti removal, which failed.

Community Facilities Districts. As authorized under the provisions of the Mello-Roos Act, there were two Community Facility District (CFD) measures on the ballot. Pacific Grove CFD No. 1 in Monterey County proposed a \$2.9 million bond issue for a library and Siskiyou County CFD No. 1 offered a measure authorizing a tax for library services. Both proposals failed.

On November 17, 1992, Riverside County's Perris Union High School District CFD No. 92-1 held a special election at which a \$40 million bond issue was approved. This measure was not part of the General Election, but is included in our report for statistical purposes.

Transit Occupancy Tax (TOT). A TOT measure for Pacific Grove is listed in the Special Tax section of this report because its revenue was to be dedicated to park and open space projects which necessitated a two-thirds rather than majority vote. The measure was defeated although it did receive a bare majority of votes cast in the city.

C. General Tax Measures

Only four of the 15 general tax measures on the November 1992 ballot were approved by voters. Voters approved two life support issues, one in Emeryville and the other in the Trinity County Post Mountain Public Utility District. An advisory vote in Pacifica approved retaining the existing utility tax, and voters in Oroville voted to increase their transient occupancy tax from six to nine percent. Six utility users' tax

measures were defeated, as well as a business tax proposal and several tax measures to provide life support services.

D. Other Tax Measures

Humboldt and Mendocino Counties passed measures that would allow a portion of their motor vehicle license fee revenues to be used for transit and guideway projects. Nevada Irrigation District voters approved the purchase of their outstanding revenue bonds at the market price instead of at par, and Gilroy Unified School District approved additional uses for an existing special tax. Voters in San Francisco defeated a proposal to allow the local Department of Health to lease up to \$22 million in equipment, and an advisory measure to create an assessment district for parks and open space in the City of Millbrae lost.

Summary tables for the Tax Measures are contained in Appendix B beginning on page B-4.

IX. COUNTIES REPORTING NO LOCAL BOND OR TAX MEASURES

Forty-one of the state's 58 county reported some activity in the area of bond or tax measures. Local bond and tax measures did not appear on ballots in the following seventeen counties: Alpine, Calaveras, Colusa, El Dorado, Kings, Lake, Mariposa, Merced, Modoc, San Joaquin, Shasta, Sierra, Tehama, Tuolumne, Ventura, Yolo, and Yuba,

TABLE A-1

SUMMARY

STATE GENERAL OBLIGATION BOND MEASURES

JUNE 2, 1992 PRIMARY ELECTION

AMOUNT

PROPOSITION TITLE	<u>YES</u>	<u>NO</u>	AUTHORIZED (\$)	<u>PURPOSE</u>
	2 MEAS	URES PASSE	ED.	
SCHOOL FACILITIES BOND ACT OF 1992 HIGHER EDUCATION FACILITIES BOND ACT OF 1992	52.9% 50.8%	47.1% 49.2%	1,900,000,000	K-12 SCHOOL FACILITIES COLLEGE/UNIVERSITY FACILITIES
		· TOTAL	2,800,000,000	

SOURCE: CALIFORNIA SECRETARY OF STATE

TABLE A-2 SUMMARY

LOCAL GOVERNMENT GENERAL OBLIGATION BOND MEASURES JUNE 2, 1992 PRIMARY ELECTION

COUNTY	<u>agency</u>	<u>YES</u>	NO.	AMOUNT AUTHORIZED (\$)	PURPOSE
		— _	— URES PASS		
	•	O REAS	UKES PASS	EU	
ALAMEDA	BERKELEY UNIFIED SCHOOL DISTRICT	70.2%	29.8%	158,000,000	SCHOOL EARTHQUAKE/SEISMIC SAFETY
ALAMEDA	OAKLAND	74.3%	25.7%	50,000,000	SEISMIC SAFETY/EMERGENCY RESPONSE
IMPERIAL	EL CENTRO SCHOOL DISTRICT	67.6%	32.4%	8,100,000	K-12 SCHOOL FACILITIES
SACRAMENTO	ROBLA SCHOOL DISTRICT	68.6%	31.4%	32,000,000	K-12 SCHOOL FACILITIES
SAN FRANCISCO	SAN FRANCISCO CITY AND COUNTY	72.8%	27.2%	76,300,000	PARKS/OPEN SPACE
TULARE	FARMERSVILLE UNION SCHOOL DISTRICT	72.4%	27.6%	4,000,000	K-12 SCHOOL FACILITIES
			TOTAL	328,400,000	
		15 MEA	SURES FAII	LED	
CONTRA COSTA	BYRON UNION SCHOOL DISTRICT	49.0%	51.0%	11,100,000	K-12 SCHOOL FACILITIES
FRESNO	CLOVIS UNIFIED SCHOOL DISTRICT	63.2%	36.8%	79,000,000	K-12 SCHOOL FACILITIES
INYO	OWENS VALLEY UNIFIED SCHOOL DISTRICT	44.2%	55.8%	1,400,000	K-12 SCHOOL FACILITIES
KERN	WASCO UNION SCHOOL DISTRICT	54.7%	45.3%	2,250,000	K-12 SCHOOL FACILITIES
KERN	LAKESIDE UNION SCHOOL DISTRICT	30.4%	69.6%	5,000,000	K-12 SCHOOL FACILITIES
LOS ANGELES	LOS ANGELES COUNTY	52.9%	47.1%	100,000,000	LIFE SUPPORT
ORANGE	ORANGE	54.3%	45.7%	25,000,000	PARKS/OPEN SPACE
PLACER	WESTERN PLACER UNIFIED SCHOOL DISTRICT	61.8%	38.2%	12,000,000	K-12 SCHOOL FACILITIES
SAN DIEGO	FALLBROOK UNION HIGH SCHOOL DISTRICT	55.4%	44.6%	20,000,000	K-12 SCHOOL FACILITIES
SAN FRANCISCO	SAN FRANCISCO CITY AND COUNTY	41.0%	59.0%	21,200,000	MULTIPLE CAP IMP/PUB WORKS
SAN FRANCISCO	SAN FRANCISCO CITY AND COUNTY	44.4%	55.6%	26,700,000	MULTIPLE CAP IMP/PUB WORKS
SAN FRANCISCO	SAN FRANCISCO CITY AND COUNTY	36.5%	63.5%	24,000,000	PARKING
SONOMA	SONOMA VALLEY UNIFIED SCHOOL DISTRICT	37.8%	62.2%	63,175,000	K-12 SCHOOL FACILITIES
SONOMA	WINDSOR UNION SCHOOL DISTRICT	63.8%	36.2%	30,000,000	K-12 SCHOOL FACILITIES
YOLO	WASHINGTON UNIFIED SCHOOL DISTRICT	54.0%	46.0%	92,000,000	K-12 SCHOOL FACILITIES
			TOTAL	512,825,000	
			TOTAL 2	841,225,000	

TABLE A-3

SUMMARY

LOCAL GOVERNMENT REVENUE BOND MEASURE JUNE 2, 1992 PRIMARY ELECTION

COUNTY	AGENCY	MEASURE	YES	<u>NO</u>	BOND AMOUNT (\$)	PURPOSE
			1 MEASURE	PASSED		
SISKIYOU	FORT JONES	G	91.4%	8.6%	100,000	WATER SUPPLY/STORAGE

TABLE A-4

SUMMARY

LOCAL GOVERNMENT USE/TRANSACTIONS TAX MEASURE

JUNE 2, 1992 PRIMARY ELECTION

COUNTY	<u>AGENCY</u>	MEASURE	<u>YES</u>	<u>NO</u>	TAX AMOUNT (\$)	<u>PURPOSE</u>
			1 MEASURI	E FAILED		
SHASTA	SHASTA COUNTY	С	23.6%	76.4%	.05% USE AND TRANSACTIONS TAX	GENERAL GOVERNMENT

TABLE A-5 SUMMARY

LOCAL GOVERNMENT SPECIAL TAX MEASURES JUNE 2, 1992 PRIMARY ELECTION

COUNTY	<u>AGENCY</u>	MEASURE	<u>YES</u>	. <u>NO</u>	SPECIAL TAX AHOUNTS	PURPOSE
• •			3 MEAS	URES PASS	ED .	
KERN	TEHACHAPI VALLEY HOSPITAL DISTRICT	L	73.2%	26.8%	\$25 PER PARCEL/4 YR	HOSPITAL
MARIN	COUNTY SERVICE AREA NO 28	D	73.4%	26.6%	\$25 PER LIVING UNIT/INCREASE TO \$40 BY 1995/6 YR	LIFE SUPPORT
SONOMA	CLOVERDALE HOSPITAL DISTRICT	H	84.0%	16.0%	\$18 PER PARCEL/PER YR	LIFE SUPPORT
•			12 MEA	SURES FAI	LED	
HUMBOLDT	EUREKA	N	39.5%	60.5%	\$20/SINGLE, MULTI \$145 ACRE COMM	LIFE SUPPORT
KERN	LAKESIDE UNION SCHOOL DISTRICT CFD NO 91-1	E	24.9%	75.1%	LEVY SPECIAL TAX /ISSUE BONDS FOR \$12,500,000	K-12 SCHOOL FAC
MARIN	MARIN COUNTY OPEN SPACE DISTRICT	A	61.9%	38.1%	\$25 PER PARCEL/4 YR	PARKS/OPEN SP
MENDOCINO	MENDOCINO COUNTY LIBRARY DISTRICT	A	57.8%	42.2%	\$24 PER OWNERSHIP UNIT/MAX \$30 1998	PUBLIC BLDG/LIBR
MONTEREY	DEL REY OAKS	A	39.6%	60.4%	\$200 SINGLE FAM/MULTIFAM,\$100 UNDEVEL 3 YR	LIFE SUPPORT
PLUMAS	PLUMAS COUNTY	С	30.7%	69.3%	\$15 PER PARCEL/PER YR TIMBER/AG LAND EXEMPT	LIFE SUPPORT
RIVERSIDE	BANNING	J	17.9%	82.1%	NOT TO EXCEED \$39 PER PARCEL/5 YR	LIFE SUPPORT
RIVERSIDE	PALO VERDE HOSPITAL DISTRICT	0	58.9%	41.1%	\$20 VACANT/\$40 RESI/\$80 MULITUSE, COMMER 5 YR	HOSPITAL
SAN DIEGO	CORONADO UNIFIED SCHOOL DISTRICT	M	45.5%	54.5%	\$100 PER PARCEL	OTHER ED PURP
SAN DIEGO	RINCON RANCH COMMUNITY SERVICES DISTRICT	P	63.6%	36.4%	\$5.25 PER PARCEL/\$150 PER ACRE 5 YR	STREET CONSTRUCT
SANTA BARBARA	SOLVANG ELEMENTARY SCHOOL DISTRICT CFD NO	1 н92	44.0%	56.0%	\$71.41 PER RES/\$35.70 VAC/\$36 PER ACRE	K-12 SCHOOL FAC
SONOMA	GRAVENSTEIN UNION SCHOOL DISTRICT	В	62.1%	37.9%	\$40 PER YR/PER PARCEL 5 YR	K-12 SCHOOL FAC

TABLE A-6 SUMMARY

LOCAL GOVERNMENT GENERAL TAX MEASURES

JUNE 2, 1992 PRIMARY ELECTION

COUNTY	AGENCY	MEASURE	<u>YES</u>	<u>NO</u>	<u>AMOUNT</u>	PURPOSE
			2 MEAS	URES PAS	SED	
ALAMEDA	PIEDMONT	J	75.5%	24.5%	\$149-\$252/SINGLE-FAMILY, MULTIFAMILY, COMMER	GENERAL GOVERNMENT
SAN DIEGO	DULZURA COMMUNITY RURAL FIRE PROTECT DISTRICT	R	65.0%	35.0%	INSTITUTE FIRE ZONE/\$50-100 PARCEL	LIFE SUPPORT
			2 MEASI	JRES FAII	LED	
SAN BERNARDINO	HESPERIA FIRE PROTECTION DISTRICT	н	47.2%	52.8%	\$8 VACANT/\$23 RESIDENTIAL/COMM/INDUS 10 YR	LIFE SUPPORT
SANTA CLARA	MORGAN HILL	F	37.4%	62.6%	CONTINUE 9% UTILITY USERS TAX	GENERAL GOVERNMENT

TABLE A-7
SUMMARY
OTHER LOCAL GOVERNMENT TAX MEASURES
JUNE 2, 1992 PRIMARY ELECTION

COUNTY	AGENCY	MEASURE	YES	<u>NO</u>	<u>AMOUNT</u>	PURPOSE
		. •	7 MEAS	URES PAS	SSED	
BUTTE	PARADISE IRRIGATION DISTRICT	N	65.0%	35.0%	APPROVE DWR LOAN \$5.250 MILLION CONTRACT	WATER SUPPLY/STORE
IMPERIAL	IMPERIAL COUNTY	I	65.4%	34.6%	AUTHORIZE CO TO SPEND MVF FOR PUBLIC MASS TRANSIT	PUBLIC TRANSIT
SAN BERNARDINO	RIM OF THE WORLD UNIFIED SCHOOL DISTRIC	t v	64.4%	35.6%	ALLOW ADDITIONAL USES FOR EXISTING TAX	K-12 SCHOOL FAC
SAN DIEGO **	SAN DIEGO	G	60.3%	39.7%	ALLOW PORTION OF SD RJF SALES TAX/POLICE PROTECTION	LIFE SUPPORT
SAN DIEGO	SAN DIEGO UNIFIED SCHOOL DISTRICT	0	56.3%	43.7%	ALLOW ADDITIONAL USES FOR EXISTING TAX/\$.0975 PER/\$100	K-12 SCHOOL FAC
SANTA BARBARA	SANTA BARBARA COUNTY	G92	70.1%	29.9%	AUTHORIZE CO TO SPEND MVF FOR PUBLIC MASS TRANSIT	PUBLIC TRANSIT
SANTA CLARA	SANTA CLARA COUNTY	A	61.1%	38.9%	ALLOW ADDITIONAL USES FOR EXISTING TAX	PARKS/OPEN SP
			4 MEASI	URES FAI	LED	•
EL DORADO	EL DORADO COUNTY	F	49.3%	50.7%	AUTHORIZE CO TO SPEND MVF FOR PUBLIC MASS TRANSIT	PUBLIC TRANSIT
LOS ANGELES	LANCASTER	E	26.6%	73.4%	ESTABLISH CITYWIDE BENEFIT AD/LEVY ANN ASSESS	FLOCO CTRL/DRAIN
SAN DIEGO **	CORONADO	L	21.9%	78.1%	USE \$500,000 TO RENOVATE BABCOCK CT	PUBLIC BLDG
SANTA CLARA **	SAN JOSE	G	45.9%	54.1%	ALLOW GENERAL FUND MONEY FOR STADIUM	REC/SPORTS FAC

** ADVISORY MEASURES

TABLE A-8
STATE AND LOCAL BOND AND TAX MEASURES
SUMMARY OF ELECTION RESULTS
JUNE 2, 1992 PRIMARY ELECTION

COUNTY	STATE BALLOT MEASURE/LOCAL AGENCY	MEASURE/ PROP		<u>YES</u>		<u>NO</u>	TOTAL <u>VOTE</u>	PASS/ FAIL	VOTE REQUIRED
STATE	SCHOOL FACILITIES BOND ACT OF 1992	152	3,119,411	52.9%	2,779,699	47.1%	5,899,110	PASS	MAJORITY
	HIGHER EDUCATION FACILITIES BOND ACT OF 1992	153	2,967,657	50.8%	2,869,403	49.2%	5,837,060	PASS	MAJORITY
ALAMEDA	BERKELEY UNIFIED SCHOOL DISTRICT	A	25,468	70.2%	10,827	29.8%	36,295	PASS	2/3RDS
	OAKLAND	I	51,444	74.3%	17,751	25.7%	69,195	PASS	2/3RDS
	PIEDMONT	J	3,184	75.5%	1,031	24.5%	4,215	PASS	MAJORITY
BUTTE	PARADISE IRRIGATION DISTRICT	N	5,996	65.0%	3,232	35.0%	9,228	PASS	MAJORITY
CONTRA COSTA	BYRON UNION SCHOOL DISTRICT	A	1,234	49.0%	1,286	51.0%	2,520	FAIL	2/3RDS
EL DORADO	EL DORADO COUNTY	F	18,800	49.3%	19,326	50.7%	38,126	FAIL	MAJORITY
FRESNO	CLOVIS UNIFIED SCHOOL DISTRICT	A	16,424	63.2%	9,580	36.8%	26,004	FAIL	2/3RDS
HUMBOLDT	EUREKA	N ·	3,017	39.5%	4,621	60.5%	7,638	FAIL	2/3RDS
IMPERIAL	EL CENTRO SCHOOL DISTRICT	, н	3,273	67.6%	1,570	32.4%	4,843	PASS	2/3RDS
	IMPERIAL COUNTY	1	9,158	65.4%	4,837	34.6%	13,995	PASS	MAJOR1TY
INYO	OWENS VALLEY UNIFIED SCHOOL DISTRICT	С	151	44.2%	191	55.8%	342	FAIL	2/3RDS
KERN	LAKESIDE UNION SCHOOL DISTRICT	D	218	30.4%	499	69.6%	717	FAIL	2/3RDS .
	LAKESIDE UNION SCHOOL DISTRICT CFD NO 91-1	E	177	24.9%	533	75.1%	710	FAIL	2/3RDS
	TEHACHAPI VALLEY HOSPITAL DISTRICT	L	3,998	73.2%	1,467	26.8%	5,465	PASS	2/3RDS
	WASCO UNION SCHOOL DISTRICT	F	814	54.7%	675	45.3%	1,489	FAIL	2/3RDS
LOS ANGELES	LANCASTER	E	4,137	26.6%	11,441	73.4%	15,578	FAIL	MAJORITY
	LOS ANGELES COUNTY	A	755,261	52.9%	672,765	47.1%	1,428,026	FAIL	2/3RDS

TABLE A-8

STATE AND LOCAL BOND AND TAX MEASURES (CONTINUED)

SUMMARY OF ELECTION RESULTS

JUNE 2, 1992 PRIMARY ELECTION

COUNTY	STATE BALLOT MEASURE/LOCAL AGENCY	MEASURE/ PROP	3	<u>res</u>		<u>NO</u>	TOTAL VOTE	PASS/ FAIL	VOTE REQUIRED
MARIN	COUNTY SERVICE AREA NO 28	Ð	3,075	73.4%	1,115	26.6%	4,190	PASS	2/3RDS
	MARIN COUNTY OPEN SPACE DISTRICT	A	47,244	61.9%	29,127	38.1%	76,371	FAIL	2/3RDS
MENDOCINO	MENDOCINO COUNTY LIBRARY DISTRICT	A	15,087	57.8%	11,021	42.2%	26,108	FAIL	2/3RDS
MONTEREY	DEL REY OAKS	A	290	39.6%	443	60.4%	733	FAIL	2/3RD\$
ORANGE	ORANGE .	Y	13,023	54.3%	10,962	45.7%	23,985	FAIL	2/3RDS
PLACER	WESTERN PLACER UNIFIED SCHOOL DISTRICT	С	2,285	61.8%	1,414	38.2%	3,699	FAIL	2/3RDS ·
PLUMAS	PLUMAS COUNTY	c	2,130	30.7%	4,805	69.3%	6,935	FAIL	2/3RDS
RIVERSIDE	BANNING	J	866	17.9%	3,978	82.1%	4,844	FAIL	2/3RDS
	PALO VERDE HOSPITAL DISTRICT	0	1,231	58.9%	858	41.1%	2,089	FAIL	2/3RDS
SACRAMENTO	ROBLA SCHOOL DISTRICT	F	1,681	68.6%	770	31.4%	2,451	PASS	2/3RDS
SAN BERNARDINO	HESPERIA FIRE PROTECTION DISTRICT	н	4,821	47.2%	5,393	52.8%	10,214	FAIL	MAJORITY
	RIM OF THE WORLD UNIFIED SCHOOL DISTRICT	v	4,476	64.4%	2,475	35.6%	6,951	PASS	MAJORITY
SAN DIEGO	CORONADO	L	1,220	21.9%	4,357	78.1%	5,577	FAIL	MAJORITY
	CORONADO UNIFIED SCHOOL DISTRICT	М	2,587	45.5%	3,098	54.5%	5,685	FAIL	2/3RDS
	DULZURA COMMUNITY RURAL FIRE PROTECTION DISTRICT	R	132	65.0%	71	35.0%	203	PASS	MAJORITY
	FALLBROOK UNION HIGH SCHOOL DISTRICT	N _	6,510	55.4%	5,246	44.6%	11,756	FAIL	2/3RD\$
	RINCON RANCH COMMUNITY SERVICES DISTRICT	P	28	63.6%	16	36.4%	44	FAIL	2/3RDS
*	* SAN DIEGO	G	133,270	60.3%	· 87, 632	39.7%	220,902	PASS	MAJORITY
	SAN DIEGO UNIFIED SCHOOL DISTRICT	0	95,769	56.3%	74,360	43.7%	170,129	PASS	MAJORITY

^{**} ADVISORY MEASURES

TABLE A-8

STATE AND LOCAL BOND AND TAX MEASURES (CONTINUED)

SUMMARY OF ELECTION RESULTS

JUNE 2, 1992 PRIMARY ELECTION

COUNTY	STATE BALLOT MEASURE/LOCAL AGENCY	MEASURE/ PROP	נ	<u>/ES</u>		<u>NO</u>	TOTAL <u>Vote</u>	PASS/ <u>Fail</u>	VOTE REQUIRED
SAN FRANCISCO	SAN FRANCISCO CITY AND COUNTY	A	120,197	72.8%	44,904	27.2%	165,101	PASS	2/3RDS
	SAN FRANCISCO CITY AND COUNTY	В	70,809	44.4%	88,664	55.6%	159,473	FAIL	2/3RDS
	SAN FRANCISCO CITY AND COUNTY	C	56 ,7 53	36.5%	98,865	63.5%	155,618	FAIL	2/3RDS
	SAN FRANCISCO CITY AND COUNTY	D	63,514	41.0%	91,551	59.0%	155,065	FAIL	2/3RDS
SANTA BARBARA	SANTA BARBARA COUNTY	G92	67,543	70.1%	28,825	29.9%	96,368	PASS	MAJORITY
	SOLVANG ELEMENTARY SCHOOL DISTRICT CFD NO 1	H92	103	44.0%	131	56.0%	234	FAIL	2/3RDS
SANTA CLARA	MORGAN HILL	F	2,360	37.4%	3,946	62.6%	6,306	FAIL	MAJORITY
1	** SAN JOSE	G	82,276	45.9%	97,002	54.1%	179,278	FAIL	MAJORITY
	SANTA CLARA COUNTY	A	197,817	61.1%	125,725	38.9%	323,542	PASS	MAJORITY
SHASTA	SHASTA COUNTY	С	9,723	23.6%	31,481	76.4%	41,204	FAIL	MAJORITY
SISKIYOU	FORT JONES	G	181	91.4%	17	8.6%	198	PASS	MAJORITY
SONOMA	CLOVERDALE HOSPITAL DISTRICT	Н	1,761	84.0%	335	16.0%	2,096	PASS	2/3RDS
	GRAVENSTEIN UNION SCHOOL DISTRICT	В	1,276	62.1%	780	37.9%	2,056	FAIL	2/3RDS
	SONOMA VALLEY UNIFIED SCHOOL DISTRICT	A	5,257	37.8%	8,653	62.2%	13,910	FAIL	2/3RDS
	WINDSOR UNION SCHOOL DISTRICT	C	3,204	63.8%	1,821	36.2%	5,025	FAIL	2/3RDS
TULARE	FARMERSVILLE UNION SCHOOL DISTRICT	A	508	72.4%	194	27.6%	702	PASS	2/3RDS
YOLO	WASHINGTON UNIFIED SCHOOL DISTRICT	ĸ	3,824	54.0%	3,263	46.0%	7,087	FAIL	2/3RDS

** ADVISORY MEASURES

TABLE A-9 STATE AND LOCAL BOND AND TAX MEASURES SUMMARY OF TYPES AND PURPOSES JUNE 2, 1992 PRIMARY ELECTION

COUNTY	STATE BOND MEASURE/LOCAL AGENCY	TYPE OF DEBT	AMOUNT OF BOND OR TAX (\$)	PURPOSE						
STATE	SCHOOL FACILITIES BOND ACT OF 1992	GO BONDS	1,900,000,000	K-12 SCHOOL FAC						
	HIGHER EDUCATION FACILITIES BOND ACT OF 1992	GO BONDS	900,000,000	COLLEGE/UNIV FAC						
ALAMEDA	BERKELEY UNIFIED SCHOOL DISTRICT	GO BONDS	158,000,000	SCHOOL/SEISMIC SAFETY						
	CAKLAND	GO BONDS	50,000,000	SEISMIC SAFETY/EMERG						
	P I EDMONT	GENERAL TAX	\$149-\$252/SINGLE-FAMILY, MULTIFAMILY, COMMER	GENERAL GOVERNMENT						
BUTTE	PARADISE IRRIGATION DISTRICT	OTHER	DWR LOAN \$5.250 MILL/CONTRACT 10.250 MILL WATER REVS	WATER SUPPLY/STORE						
CONTRA COSTA	BYRON UNION SCHOOL DISTRICT	GO BONDS	11,100,000	K-12 SCHOOL FAC						
EL DORADO	EL DORADO COUNTY	OTHER		PUBLIC TRANSIT						
FRESNO	CLOVIS UNIFIED SCHOOL DISTRICT	GO BONDS	79,000,000	K-12 SCHOOL FAC						
HUMBOLDT	EUREKA	SPECIAL TAX	\$20/SINGLE, MULTI \$145 ACRE COMM	LIFE SUPPORT						
IMPERIAL	EL CENTRO SCHOOL DISTRICT IMPERIAL COUNTY	GO BONDS OTHER	8,100,000	K-12 SCHOOL FAC PUBLIC TRANSIT						
INYO	OWENS VALLEY UNIFIED SCHOOL DISTRICT	GO BONDS	1,400,000	K-12 SCHOOL FAC						
KERN	LAKESIDE UNION SCHOOL DISTRICT	GO BONDS	5,000,000	K-12 SCHOOL FAC						
	LAKESIDE UNION SCHOOL DISTRICT CFD NO 91-1	SPECIAL TAX	12,500,080	K-12 SCHOOL FAC						
	TEHACHAPI VALLEY HOSPITAL DISTRICT	SPECIAL TAX	\$25 PER PARCEL/4 YEARS	HOSPITAL						
	WASCO UNION SCHOOL DISTRICT	GO BONDS	2,250,000	K-12 SCHOOL FAC						
LOS ANGELES	LANCASTER	OTHER	ESTABLISH CITYWIDE BENEFIT AD/LEVY ANN ASSESS	FLOOD CTRL/DRAIN						
	LOS ANGELES COUNTY	GO BONDS	100,000,000	LIFE SUPPORT						
SOURCE: CALIFOR	SOURCE: CALIFORNIA SECRETARY OF STATE, COUNTY CLERKS' ELECTION DEPARTMENTS									

TABLE A-9 STATE AND LOCAL BOND AND TAX MEASURES (CONTINUED) SUMMARY OF TYPES AND PURPOSES JUNE 2, 1992 PRIMARY ELECTION

COUNTY	STATE BOND MEASURE/LOCAL AGENCY	TYPE OF DEBT	AMOUNT OF BOND OR TAX (\$)	PURPOSE
MARIN	COUNTY SERVICE AREA NO 28	SPECIAL TAX	\$25 PER LIVING UNIT/INCREASE TO \$40 BY 1995-96	LIFE SUPPORT
,	MARIN COUNTY OPEN SPACE DISTRICT	SPECIAL TAX	\$25 PER PARCEL/4 YEARS	PARKS/OPEN SPACE
MENDOCINO	MENDOCINO COUNTY LIBRARY DISTRICT	SPECIAL TAX	\$24 PER OWNERSHIP UNIT/MAX \$30 1998	PUBLIC BLDG/LIBR
MONTEREY	DEL REY OAKS	SPECIAL TAX	\$200 SINGLE FAM/MULTIFAM,\$100 UNDEVEL 3 YEARS	LIFE SUPPORT
ORANGE	ORANGE	GO BONDS	25,000,000	PARKS/OPEN SPACE
PLACER	WESTERN PLACER UNIFIED SCHOOL DISTRICT	GO BONDS	12,000,000	K-12 SCHOOL FAC
PLUMAS	PLUMAS COUNTY	SPECIAL TAX	\$15 PER PARCEL/PER YEAR TIMBER/AG LAND EXEMPT	LIFE SUPPORT
RIVERSIDE	BANNING	SPECIAL TAX	NOT TO EXCEED \$39 PER PARCEL/5 YEARS	LIFE SUPPORT
	PALO VERDE HOSPITAL DISTRICT	SPECIAL TAX	\$20 VACANT/\$40 RESI/\$80 MULITUSE, COMMER 5 YEARS	HOSPITAL
SACRAMENTO	ROBLA SCHOOL DISTRICT	GO BONDS	32,000,000	K-12 SCHOOL FAC
SAN BERNARDINO	HESPERIA FIRE PROTECTION DISTRICT	GENERAL TAX	\$8 VACANT/\$23 RESIDENTIAL/COMM/INDUS 10 YR	LIFE SUPPORT
	RIM OF THE WORLD UNIFIED SCHOOL DISTRICT	OTHER	ALLOW ADDITIONAL USES FOR EXISTING TAX	K-12 SCHOOL FAC
SAN DIEGO **	CORONADO	OTHER	ADVISORY USE \$500,000 TO RENOVATE BABCOCK CT	PUBLIC BLDG
	CORONADO UNIFIED SCHOOL DISTRICT	SPECIAL TAX	\$100 PER PARCEL	OTHER ED PURP
	DULZURA COMMUNITY RURAL FIRE PROTECTION DIST	GENERAL TAX	INSTITUTE FIRE ZONE/\$50-100 PARCEL	LIFE SUPPORT
	FALLBROOK UNION HIGH SCHOOL DISTRICT	GO BONDS	20,000,000	K-12 SCHOOL FAC
**	RINCON RANCH COMMUNITY SERVICES DISTRICT	SPECIAL TAX	the state of the s	STREET CONSTRUCT
**	SAN DIEGO	OTHER	PORTION OF SD RJF SALES TAX FOR POLICE PROTECTION	LIFE SUPPORT
	SAN DIEGO UNIFIED SCHOOL DISTRICT	OTHER	ADDITIONAL USES FOR EXISTING TAX/\$.0975 PER/\$100	K-12 SCHOOL FAC

** ADVISORY MEASURES

TABLE A-9

STATE AND LOCAL BOND AND TAX MEASURES (CONTINUED)

SUMMARY OF TYPES AND PURPOSES

JUNE 2, 1992 PRIMARY ELECTION

COUNTY	STATE BOND MEASURE/LOCAL AGENCY	TYPE OF <u>Debt</u>	AMOUNT OF BOND OR TAX (\$)	PURPOSE
SAN FRANCISCO	SAN FRANCISCO CITY AND COUNTY	GO BONDS	76,300,000	PARKS/OPEN SPACE
	SAN FRANCISCO CITY AND COUNTY	GO BONDS	26,700,000	MULTI CI/PW
	SAN FRANCISCO CITY AND COUNTY	GO BONDS	24,000,000	PARKING
	SAN FRANCISCO CITY AND COUNTY	GO BONDS	21,200,000	MULTI CI/PW
SANTA BARBARA	SANTA BARBARA COUNTY	OTHER		PUBLIC TRANSIT
	SOLVANG ELEMENTARY SCHOOL DISTRICT CFD NO 1	SPECIAL TAX	\$71.41 PER RES/\$35.70 VAC/\$36 PER ACRE	K-12 SCHOOL FAC
SANTA CLARA	MORGAN HILL	GENERAL TAX	CONTINUE 9% UTILITY USERS TAX	GENERAL GOVERNEMNT
· #1	* SAN JOSE	OTHER	TO ALLOW GENERAL FUND MONEY FOR STADIUM	REC/SPORTS FAC
	SANTA CLARA COUNTY	OTHER	ADDITIONAL USES FOR EXISTING TAX	PARKS/OPEN SPACE
SHASTA	SHASTA COUNTY	SALES TAX	.05% USE AND TRANSACTIONS TAX	GENERAL GOVERNMENT
SISKIYOU	FORT JONES	OTHER/REV BON	100,000	WATER SUPPLY/STORE
SONOMA	CLOVERDALE HOSPITAL DISTRICT	SPECIAL TAX	\$18 PER PARCEL/PER YR	LIFE SUPPORT
	GRAVENSTEIN UNION SCHOOL DISTRICT	SPECIAL TAX	\$40 PER YR/PER PARCEL 5 YR	K-12 SCHOOL FAC
	SONOMA VALLEY UNIFIED SCHOOL DISTRICT	GO BONDS	63,175,000	K-12 SCHOOL FAC
	WINDSOR UNION SCHOOL DISTRICT	GO BONDS	30,000,000	K-12 SCHOOL FAC
TULARE	FARMERSVILLE UNION SCHOOL DISTRICT	GO BONDS	4,000,000	K-12 SCHOOL FAC
YOLO	WASHINGTON UNIFIED SCHOOL DISTRICT	GO BONDS	92,000,000	K-12 SCHOOL FAC

** ADVISORY MEASURES

TABLE B-1 SUMMARY STATE GENERAL OBLIGATION BOND MEASURES NOVEMBER 3, 1992 GENERAL ELECTION

١

PROPOSITION TITLE	MEASURE	YES	NO	AMOUNT AUTHORIZED (\$)	PURPOSE					
	1 MEASURE PASSED									
1992 SCHOOL FACILITIES BOND ACT	155	51.8%	48.2%	900,000,000	K-12 SCHOOL FACILITIES					
1 MEASURE FAILED										
PASSENGER RAIL AND CLEAR AIR BOND ACT OF 1992	156	48.1%	51.9%	1,000,000,000	PUBLIC TRANSIT					
			TOTAL	1,900,000,000						

SOURCE: CALIFORNIA SECRETARY OF STATE

TABLE B-2 SUMMARY

LOCAL GOVERNMENT GENERAL OBLIGATION BONDS NOVEMBER 3, 1992 GENERAL ELECTION

COUNTY	AGENCY	MEASURE	YES	<u>NO</u>	AMOUNT AUTHORIZED (\$)	PURPOSE
			13 MEASUI	RES PASSED		
ALAMEDA	BERKELEY	G	78.6%	21.4%	55,000,000	LIFE SUPPORT/SEISMIC SAFETY
ALAMEDA	PERALTA COMMUNITY COLLEGE DISTRICT	В	68.6%	31.4%	50,000,000	COLLEGE/UNIVERSITY FAC
FRESNO	CENTRAL UNIFIED SCHOOL DISTRICT	C	66.8%	33.2%	20,000,000	K-12 SCHOOL FACILITIES
FRESNO	WEST SIDE ELEMENTARY SCHOOL DISTRICT	В	84.4%	15.6%	1,400,000	K-12 SCHOOL FACILITIES
KERN	MCFARLAND SCHOOL DISTRICT	s	67.7%	32.3%	9,800,000	K-12 SCHOOL FACILITIES
LOS ANGELES	SANTA MONICA COMMUNITY COLLEGE DISTRICT	T	66.8%	33.2%	23,000,000	COLLEGE/UNIVERSITY FACILITIES
RIVERSIDE	PALM SPRINGS UNIFIED SCHOOL DISTRICT	₽	72.8%	27.2%	70,000,000	K-12 SCHOOL FACILITIES
SACRAMENTO	FOLSOM	7	74.7%	25.3%	42,616,000	K-12 SCHOOL FACILITIES
SAN DIEGO	VALLEY CENTER UNION SCHOOL DISTRICT	x	69.5%	30.5%	6,200,000	K-12 SCHOOL FACILITIES
SAN FRANCISCO	SAN FRANCISCO CITY AND COUNTY	A	69.9%	30.1%	350,000,000	SEISMIC SAFETY
SAN FRANCISCO	SAN FRANCISCO CITY AND COUNTY	С	68.4%	31.6%	40,800,000	PUBLIC BUILDING
SONOMA	WRIGHT SCHOOL DISTRICT	A	67.1%	32.9%	6,600,000	K-12 SCHOOL FACILITIES
TULARE	RICHGROVE SCHOOL DISTRICT	C	72.8%	27.2%	1,000,000	K-12 SCHOOL FACILITIES
				TOTAL	676,416,000	
			17 MEASUR	ES FAILED		
ALAMEDA	NEW HAVEN UNIFIED SCHOOL DISTRICT	A	65.2%	34.8%	55,000,000	K-12 SCHOOL FACILITIES
FRESNO	KERMAN UNIFIED SCHOOL DISTRICT	D	53.9%	46.1%	6,000,000	K-12 SCHOOL FACILITIES
IMPERIAL	WESTMORLAND UNION ELEMENTARY SCHOOL DISTRICT	J	63.9%	36.1%	1,500,000	K-12 SCHOOL FACILITIES
KERN	TEHACHAPI UNIFIED SCHOOL DISTRICT	τ	53.4%	46.6%	19,640,000	K-12 SCHOOL FACILITIES
MONO	MAMMOTH LAKES	A	49.4%	50.6%	3,250,000	PARKS/OPEN SPACE
ORANGE	MISSION VIEJO	I	56.5%	43.5%	5,100,000	LIBRARY
ORANGE	MISSION VIEJO	J	37.3%	62.7%	2,000,000	PARKS/OPEN SPACE
·PLACER	AUBURN	F	53.8%	46.2%	900,000	PARKS/OPEN SPACE
RIVERSIDE	HEMET	FF	55.4%	44.6%	3,800,000	LIBRARY
RIVERSIDE	HEMET UNIFIED SCHOOL DISTRICT	Q	57.4%	42.6%	49,500,000	K-12 SCHOOL FACILITIES
SAN BERNARDINO	APPLE VALLEY UNIFIED SCHOOL DISTRICT	J	65.9%	34.1%	37,070,000	K-12 SCHOOL FACILITIES
SAN DIEGO	FALLBROOK UNION HIGH SCHOOL DISTRICT	, AA	62.3%	37.7%	11,800,000	K-12 SCHOOL FACILITIES

TABLE B-2
SUMMARY

LOCAL GOVERNMENT GENERAL OBLIGATION BONDS (CONTINUED)
NOVEMBER 3, 1992 GENERAL ELECTION

COUNTY	AGENCY	MEASURE	YES	<u>NO</u>	AMOUNT <u>AUTHORIZED (\$)</u>	PURPOSE
SAN FRANCISCO	SAN FRANCISCO CITY AND COUNTY.	В	57.3%	42. 7%	158,100,000	PRISONS/JAILS
SAN LUIS OBISPO	SAN LUIS OBISPO COUNTY	F	49.3%	50.7%	50,000,000	HEALTH CARE FACILITIES
SANTA BARBARA	SANTA MARIA-BONITA SCHOOL DISTRICT	K92	58.6%	41.4%	33,290,000	K-12 SCHOOL FACILITIES
SANTA CLARA	SANTA CLARA	Đ	45.9%	54.1%	10,500,000	PUBLIC BUILDING
TULARE	BURTON SCHOOL DISTRICT	A	38.1%	61.9%	1,000,000	K-12 SCHOOL FACILITIES
				TOTAL	448,450,000	
				TOTAL 30	1,124,866,000	

TABLE B-3 SUMMARY

LOCAL GOVERNMENT REVENUE BOND MEASURES NOVEMBER 3, 1992 GENERAL ELECTION

2 MEASURES PASSED

COUNTY	AGENCY	MEASURE	YES	<u>NO</u>	BOND AMOUNT (\$)	PURPOSE
LOS ANGELES	LOS ANGELES	L	58.2%	41. 8%	1,500,000,000	WASTEWATER TREATMENT
MARIN	MARIN MUNICIPAL WATER DISTRICT	V	50.6%	49.4%	37,500,000	WATER SUPPLY/STORAGE

TABLE B-4 SUMMARY

LOCAL GOVERNMENT USE/TRANSACTION TAX MEASURES NOVEMBER 3, 1992 GENERAL ELECTION

COUNTY	<u>AGENCY</u>	MEASURE	YES	<u>NO</u>	AMOUNT	PURPOSE
			2 MEAS	URES PAS	SED	
DEL NORTE	DEL NORTE COUNTY	A	58.6%	41.4%	1/2 OF 1% 5 YR	GENERAL GOVERNMENT
SANTA CLARA	SANTA CLARA COUNTY	A	54.2%	45.8%	EXTENDS 1/2 CENT EXPIRING IN 1994/20 YRS	PUBLIC TRANSIT
			3 MEASI	URES FAII	LED	
IMPERIAL	IMPERIAL COUNTY	K	28.6%	71.4%	1/2 OF 1%	GENERAL GOVERNMENT
NAPA	NAPA COUNTY REGIONAL OPEN-SPACE DISTRICT	0	29.4%	70.6%	.25% DEPENDENT ON PASSAGE OF MEASURE N (MEASURE N DEFEATED 17,358 - 33,539)	PARKS/OPEN SPACE
SAN DIEGO	SAN DIEGO COUNTY	A	41.4%	58.6%	1/2 OF 1%	PRISONS/JAILS

TABLE B-5
SUMMARY
LOCAL GOVERNMENT-SPECIAL TAX MEASURES
NOVEMBER 3, 1992 GENERAL ELECTION

COUNTY	AGENCY	MEASURE	YES	<u>NO</u>	SPECIAL TAX AMOUNTS	PURPOSE
			8 MEASUR	ES PASSED		-
AMADOR	AMADOR COUNTY	A	67.6 %	32.4%	REPEAL FEE/ABOLISH CO SERVICE ARA #7	SOLID WASTE DIS
CONTRA COSTA	MORAGA FIRE PROTECTION DISTRICT	C	68.9%	31.1%	INCREASE FROM \$.06 TO \$.30	LIFE SUPPORT
HUMBOLDT	FIELDBROOK COMMUNITY SERVICES DISTRICT	Q	80.3%	19.7%	\$30 PER YR	LIFE SUPPORT
LOS ANGELES	LOS ANGELES	H	77.2%	22.8%	\$1.75 PER 100 SQ FT/ISSUE \$235 IN BONDS	LIFE SUPPORT
MARIN	COUNTY SERVICE AREA NO 13	Ł	78.2%	21.8%	\$.12 PER FT LIVING SPACE-\$20 PER ACRE/4 YR	LIFE SUPPORT
PLUMAS	SENECA HOSPITAL DISTRICT	A	66.7%	33.3%	\$75 IMPROVE/\$50 UNIMPROVE/8 YR	HOSPITAL
SAN DIEGO	RINCON RANCH COMMUNITY SERVICES DISTRICT	W	71.7%	28.3%	\$5.20 PER AC/\$150 PER PARCEL 5 YR	STREET CONSTRUCT
MULTI (MARIN)	SHORELINE UNIFIED SCHOOL DISTRICT	C	74.5%	25.5%	\$96 PER PARCEL/PER YR	ED PROGRAMS
MULTI (SONOMA)	SHORELINE UNIFIED SCHOOL DISTRICT	С	61.2%	38.8%	\$96 PER PARCEL/PER YR	ED PROGRAMS
	TOTAL SHORELINE UNIFIED SCHOOL DISTRICT VOTE		69.4%	30.6%		
			47 MEASU	RES FAILE		
ALAMEDA	OAKLAND	N	66.0%	34.0%	\$30 PER SINGLE FAM/\$20 PER MULTI PARCEL/ 15 YR	LIBRARY
BUTTE	BUTTE COUNTY	P	47.8%	52.2%	FORM ASSESSMENT DISTRICT/\$4.97 PER PARCEL	ANIMAL CNTRL
CONTRA COSTA	CONTRA COSTA COUNTY	В	64.5%	35.5%	\$20 PER PARCEL/PER YR ASSESSMENT	LIFE SUPPORT/LIB
CONTRA COSTA	COUNTY SERVICE AREA NO EM-1 ZONE E KENSINGTON	E	61.7%	38.3%	\$100 PER PARCEL PER YR/4 YR	LIBRARY
CONTRA COSTA	COUNTY SERVICE AREA NO P-1 CROCKETT	F	55.2%	44.8%	\$37 PER PARCEL PER YR	LIBRARY
CONTRA COSTA	KENSINGTON COMMUNITY SERVICES DISTRICT	L	54.4%	45.6%	\$350 SINGLE FAM/\$525 MULTI COMM/\$350 MISC	LIFE SUPPORT
CONTRA COSTA	KENSINGTON FIRE PROTECTION DISTRICT	M	57.2%	42.8%	\$400 SINGLE FAM/\$600-800 MULTI/\$100 UNIMP	LIFE SUPPORT
CONTRA COSTA	ORINDA FIRE PROTECTION DISTRICT	D	64.7%	35.3%	INCREASE UP TO \$.30 PER PARCEL	LIFE SUPPORT
FRESNO	NORTH CENTRAL FIRE PROTECTION DISTRICT	K	41.6%	58.4%	\$120 PER PARCEL/PER YR	LIFE SUPPORT
FRESNO	WASHINGTON COLONY CEMETERY DISTRICT	J	54.0%	46.0%	\$.015 PER \$100 AV	MULTI CI/PW .
GLENN	GLENN COUNTY	Α	30.8%	69.2%	\$33 PER YR/5 YR	PRISONS/JAILS
HUMBOLDT	HUMBOLDT NO 1 FIRE PROTECTION DISTRICT	0	17.4%	82.6%	\$40 VAC/\$150 SINGLE/\$400 COMM	LIFE SUPPORT
INYO	SOUTHERN INYO COUNTY HOSPITAL DISTRICT	A	57.9%	42.1%	\$80 PER PARCEL TO \$350 PER COMM PARCEL 4 YR	HOSPITAL/HEALTH F
KERN	STALLION SPRINGS COMMUNITY SERVICES DISTRICT	Z	45.8%	54.2%	\$75 PER PARCEL 1ST YR/\$55 PER PARCEL 2ND YR	LIFE SUPPORT
LASSEN	COUNTY SERVICE AREA NO 3	A	57.9%	42.1%	\$18 PER YR/PER DWELLING	LIBRARY

TABLE B-5 SUMMARY

LOCAL GOVERNMENT SPECIAL TAX MEASURES (CONTINUED) NOVEMBER 3, 1992 GENERAL ELECTION

COUNTY	AGENCY	MEASURE	YES	NO	SPECIAL TAX AMOUNTS	PURPOSE
LOS ANGELES	LONG BEACH	н	49.6%	51.0%	\$38-60 SINGLE FAM/\$31-38 MULTI/.00446 SQ FT	LIFE SUPPORT
LOS ANGELES	LOS ANGELES	N	62.5%	37.5%	\$4.89 PER SQ FT IMPROVED PROP	LIFE SUPPORT
MARIN	BEL MARIN KEYS CSD	F	47.3%	52.7%	\$1-\$305 PER PARCEL/PER YR	MULTI CI/PW
MARIN	BELVEDERE	E	54.4%	45.6%	\$35-\$90 PER YR	LIFE SUPPORT
MARIN	BOLINAS FIRE PROTECTION DISTRICT	Q	38.5%	61.5%	\$20 RESI/\$15 BUSINESS/\$10 UNIMPROVE	LIFE SUPPORT
MARIN	CORTE MADERA	D	52.0%	48.0%	\$32 PER YR/4 YR	LIBRARY SER
MARIN	COUNTY SERVICE AREA NO 19	N	52.9%	47.1%	\$.11 SQ FT LIVING SPACE/\$20 PER ACRE	LIFE SUPPORT
MARIN	MARIN CITY COMMUNITY SERVICES DISTRICT	G	38.0%	62.0%	\$100 PER LIVING UNIT/PER YR	REC/SPORTS FAC
MARIN	MARIN COUNTY FIRE PROTECTION DISTRICT	P	51.2%	48.8%	\$123 PER YR/4 YR	LIFE SUPPORT
MARIN	MARIN COUNTY LIBRARY DISTRICT	Ŧ	52.1%	47.9%	\$32 PER YR	LIBRARY SER
MARIN	MARIN COUNTY TRANSIT DISTRICT	U	45.8%	54.2%	\$4.52 PER PARCEL/PER YR/4 YR	PUBLIC TRANSIT
MARIN	MARINWOOD COMMUNITY SERVICES DISTRICT	H	59.1%	40.9%	\$.08-\$.12 PER FT/\$25 PER ACRE	LIFE: SUPPORT
MARIN	MUIR BEACH CSD	K	32.0%	68.0%	\$86-\$255 PER PARCEL/PER YR	MULTI CI/PW
MARIN	STINSON BEACH FIRE PROTECTION DISTRICT	s	57.5%	42.5%	\$15-\$50 PER YR/PER PARCEL	LIFE SUPPORT
MONTEREY	PACIFIC GROVE	Ð	50.1%	49.9%	RAISE TOT FROM 10% TO 11% FOR 10 YR	PARKS/OPEN SPACE
MONTEREY	PACIFIC GROVE CFD NO 1	Ε	49.4%	50.6%	2,900,000	LIBRARY
NEVADA **	NEVADA COUNTY	J `	39.0%	61.0%	CREATE CFD/LEVY \$27 PER YR TAX LONA RICA RANCH	PARKS/OPEN SPACE
NEVADA	NEVADA JOINT UNION HIGH SCHOOL DISTRICT	G	37.4%	62.6%	INCREASE EXIST TAX \$.0375 PER \$1,000 AV	K-12 SCHOOL FAC
PLACER **	LOOMIS	N	24.4%	75.6%	ADVISORY ON ASSESSMENTS/FEES	GENERAL GOVERN
RIVERSIDE	COACHELLA	1	31.3%	68.7%	CONTINUE ASSESSMENT \$60 PER YR/5 YR	LIFE SUPPORT
RIVERSIDE	COACHELLA FIRE PROTECTION DISTRICT	U	36.5%	63.5%	CONTINUE ASSESSMENT \$40 PER DU/5 YR	LIFE SUPPORT
RIVERSIDE	MORENO VALLEY	R	51.8%	48.2%	\$.10 PER AEROSOL CAN/\$.05 PER MARKER/5 YR	OTHER (GRAFFITI)
SAN BERNARDINO**	LOMA LINDA	N	28.9%	71.1%	CREATE AD/ASSESS \$180 PER PARCEL/PER YR	REC/PARK FAC
SAN BERNARDINO**	LOMA LINDA	0	11.9%	88.1%	CREATE AD/ASSESS \$360 PER PARCEL/PER YR	REC/PARK FAC
SAN BERNARDINO	YUCAIPA	R	44.4%	55.6%	FREEZE MOBILHOME RENTS/ASSESS FEES TO IMPLEMENT	MULTIFAM (MOBILEH
SANTA BARBARA	COUNTY SERVICE AREA NO 45	L92	54.9%	45.1%	\$24 PER SINGLE FAM TO MAX \$144	LIBRARY
SANTA BARBARA	ISLA VISTA RECREATION AND PARK DISTRICT	092	19.2%	80.8%	\$30 PER BEDROOM/PER YR	REC/SPORTS FAC
SANTA CRUZ	SAN LORENZO VALLEY UNIFIED SCHOOL DISTRICT	В	57.8%	42.2%	\$39 PER PARCEL/3 YR	ED PROGRAMS

^{**} ADVISORY MEASURES

TABLE B-5
SUMMARY
LOCAL GOVERNMENT SPECIAL TAX MEASURES (CONTINUED)
NOVEMBER 3, 1992 GENERAL ELECTION

COUNTY	AGENCY	MEASURE	YES	NO	SPECIAL TAX AMOUNTS	<u>PURPOSE</u>
SISKIYOU	MOUNT SHASTA	I	65.0%	35.0%	\$8 UNIMP/\$15 IMPROVE/\$35 COMM/ 5 YRS	LIFE SUPPORT
SISKIYOU	NORTH COUNTY FIRE/EMERGENCY RESPONSE ZONE CSA #4	K	51.4%	48.6%	\$25 IMPROVE/\$15 OPEN	LIFE SUPPORT
SISKIYOU	SISKIYOU COUNTY CFD NO 1	H	39.9%	60.1%	AUTHORIZE SPECIAL TAX	LIBRARY SER
SOLANO	VALLEJO	A	41.9%	58.1%	\$50 PER RESIDEN/\$175 PER COMMERC/INDUS	LIFE SUPPORT
ELECTION HELD NOVEMBER 17, 1992						
RIVERSIDE	PERRIS UNION HIGH SCHOOL DISTRICT CFD NO 92-1	11	72.5 %	27.5%	40,000,000	K-12 SCHOOL FAC

TABLE B-6
SUMMARY
LOCAL GOVERNMENT GENERAL TAX MEASURES
NOVEMBER 3, 1992 GENERAL ELECTION

COUNTY	AGENCY	MEASURE	YES	<u>NO</u>	AMOUNT	PURPOSE
			4 MEASUR	ES PASSED		
ALAMEDA	EMERYVILLE	κ	67.0%	33.0%	\$12 PER YR/SUPPLEMENT ANNUAL ASSESSMENT	LIFE SUPPORT
BUTTE -	OROVILLE	Ţ	53.7%	46.3%	INCREASE TOT FROM 6% TO 9%	GENERAL GOVERN
SAN MATEO **	PACIFICA	A	78.9%	21.1%	RETAIN EXISTING UTILITY USERS TAX 6.5%	GENERAL GOVERN
TRINITY	POST MOUNTAIN PUBLIC UTILITY DISTRICT	A	61.1%	38.9%	\$24 PER YR/PER PARCEL	LIFE SUPPORT
			11 MEASU	RES FAILE	D	
LOS ANGELES	HAWTHORNE	Q	12.7%	87.3%	INCREASE UTILITY USERS TAX TO 7%	GENERAL GOVERN
MADERA **	MADERA	***	9.0%	91.0%	UTILITY USERS TAX 5%	LIFE SUPPORT
PLACER	LINCOLN	L ·	35.6%	64.4%	RAISE BUS TAX \$10-50 PER YR	GENERAL GOVERN
RIVERSIDE	INDIO	CC	40.2%	59.8%	\$52 PER BENEFIT UNIT/5 YR	LIFE SUPPORT
SAN BENITO	HOLLISTER	Р	25.8%	74.2%	UTILITY USERS TAX \$5 PER MO/4 YR	GENERAL GOVERN
SAN DIEGO	LAKE MORENA COMMUN RURAL FIRE PROTECTION DIST CSZ	. v	35.1%	64.9%	\$50 SINGLE-FAM/\$75 APART/\$100 COMMER	LIFE SUPPORT
SAN DIEGO	RURAL FIRE PROTECTION DISTRICT	U	34.2%	65.8%	\$17.50 PER PAR/\$30 COMMERCIAL	LIFE SUPPORT
SANTA BARBARA	CARPENTERIA	J92	17.6%	82.4%	UTILITY USERS TAX 5%	GENERAL GOVERN
SANTA CLARA	MORGAN HILL	I	47.2%	52.8%	UTILITY USERS TAX 7.5%/4 YR	GENERAL GOVERN
STANISLAUS	OAKDALE	Ε	44.0%	56.0%	INCREASE TOT FROM 7% TO 10%	GENERAL GOVERN
SUTTER **	YUBA CITY	K	28.3%	71.7%	UTILITY USERS TAX 2 1/2%	REC/SPORTS FAC

**** NO MEASURE LETTER GIVEN

SOURCE: COUNTY CLERKS' ELECTION DEPARTMENTS

TABLE B-7
SUMMARY
OTHER LOCAL GOVERNMENT TAX MEASURES
NOVEMBER 3, 1992 GENERAL ELECTION

COUNTY	AGENCY	<u>MEASURE</u>	<u>YES</u>	<u>NO</u>	<u>AMOUNT</u>	PURPOSE
			4 MEASUR	ES PASSED		•
HUMBOLDT	HUMBOLDT COUNTY	P	60.1%	39.9%	ALLOW MLV FUEL TAX FOR COUNTY TRANSIT PROGRAMS	PUBLIC TRANSIT
MENDOCINO	MENDOCINO COUNTY	A	71.5%	28.5%	ALLOW MLV FUEL TAX FOR COUNTY TRANSIT PROGRAMS	PUBLIC TRANSIT
NEVADA	NEVADA IRRIGATION DISTRICT	E	76.8%	23.2%	ALLOW PURCHASE OF RB AT MARKET PRICE/NOT PAR	WATER SUPPLY/STORE
SANTA CLARA	GILROY UNIFIED SCHOOL DISTRICT	J	62.3%	37.7%	APPROVE ADDITIONAL USES FOR EXISTING TAX	K-12 SCHOOL FAC
			2 MEASUR	ES FAILED		
SAN FRANCISCO	SAN FRANCISCO CITY AND COUNTY	D	49.8%	50.2%	AUTHORIZE DON TO LEASE FINANCE UP TO \$22 MILLION	EQUIPMENT
SAN MATEO	** MILLBRAE	Н	45.8%	54.2%	ANNUAL ASSESSMENT \$72 PER PAR/5 YR	PARKS/OPEN SPACE

SOURCE: COUNTY CLERKS' ELECTION DEPARTMENTS

TABLE B-8 SUMMARY OF ELECTION RESULTS STATE AND LOCAL BOND AND TAX MEASURES NOVEMBER 3, 1992 GENERAL ELECTION

COUNTY	STATE BALLOT MEASURE/LOCAL AGENCY	MEASURE/ PROP	7	<u>res</u>	. !	<u>40</u>	TOTAL VOTE	PASS, FAIL	
STATE	1992 SCHOOL FACILITIES BOND ACT	155	5,440,084	51.8%	5,061,978	48.2%	10,502,062	PASS	MAJORITY
	PASSENGER RAIL AND CLEAR AIR BOND ACT OF 1992	156	4,910,982	48.1%	5,296,753	51.9%	10,207,735		MAJORITY
ALAMEDA	BERKELEY	G	39,472	78.6%	10,743	21.4%	50,215	PASS	2/3RDS
	EMERYVILLE	K	1,547	67.0%	762	33.0%	2,309	PASS	
	NEW HAVEN UNIFIED SCHOOL DISTRICT	A	11,077	65.2%	5,911	34.8%	16,988	FAIL	2/3RDS
	OAKLAND	N	79,755	66.0%	41,132	34.0%	120,887		2/3RDS
	PERALTA COMMUNITY COLLEGE DISTRICT	В	141,663	68.6%	64,857	31.4%	206,520		2/3RDS
AMADOR	AMADOR COUNTY	A	9,754	67.6%	4,666	32.4%	14,420	PASS	MAJORITY
BUTTE	BUTTE COUNTY	P	21,897	47.8%	23,916	52.2%	45,813	FAIL	2/3RDS
	OROVILLE	Ţ	1,880	53.7%	1,624	46.3%	3,504	PASS	MAJORITY
CONTRA COSTA	CONTRA COSTA COUNTY	В	221,872	64.5%	121,871	35.5%	343,743	FAIL	2/3RDS
	COUNTY SERVICE AREA NO EM-1 ZONE E KENSINGTON	E	1,986	61.7%	1,231	38.3%	3,217		2/3RDS
	COUNTY SERVICE AREA NO P-1 CROCKETT	F	890	55.2%	721	44.8%	1,611	FAIL	2/3RDS
	KENSINGTON COMMUNITY SERVICES DISTRICT	ι	1,737	54.4%	1,457	45.6%	3,194	FAIL	2/3RDS
	KENSINGTON FIRE PROTECTION DISTRICT	M	1,830	57.2%	1,369	42.8%	3,199	FAIL	2/3RDS
	MORAGA FIRE PROTECTION DISTRICT	С	7,050	68.9%	3,188	31.1%	10,238		2/3RDS
	ORINDA FIRE PROTECTION DISTRICT	Đ	6,067	64.7%	3,311	35.3%	9,378	FAIL	2/3RDS
DEL NORTE	DEL NORTE COUNTY	A	5,235	58.6%	3,696	41.4 x	8,931	PASS	MAJORITY
FRESNO	CENTRAL UNIFIED SCHOOL DISTRICT	С	6,605	66.8%	3,288	33.2%	9,893	PASS	2/3RDS
	KERMAN UNIFIED SCHOOL DISTRICT	D	1,437	53.9%	1,230	46.1%	2,667		2/3RDS
•	NORTH CENTRAL FIRE PROTECTION DISTRICT	ĸ	6,053	41.6%	8,493	58.4%	14,546		2/3RDS
	WASHINGTON COLONY CEMETERY DISTRICT	J	1,808	54.0%	1,543	46.0%	3,351		2/3RDS
	WEST SIDE ELEMENTARY SCHOOL DISTRICT	8	103	84.4%	19	15.6%	122		2/3RDS
SOURCE: CALIFO	RNIA SECRETARY OF STATE, COUNTY CLERKS' ELECTION DEPA	ARTMENTS							

TABLE B-8
SUMMARY OF ELECTION RESULTS (CONTINUED)
STATE AND LOCAL BOND AND TAX MEASURES
NOVEMBER 3, 1992 GENERAL ELECTION

COUNTY	STATE BALLOT MEASURE/LOCAL AGENCY	MEASURE/ PROP	ĭ	<u>res</u>	<u>!</u>	<u>10</u>	TOTAL <u>VOTE</u>	PASS, <u>Fail</u>	
GLENN	GLENN COUNTY	A	2,607	30.8%	5,847	69.2%	8,454	FAIL	2/3RDS
HUMBOLDT	FIELDBROOK COMMUNITY SERVICES DISTRICT	Q	484	80.3%	119	19.7%	603	PASS	2/3RDS
	HUMBOLDT COUNTY	P	34,135	60.1%	22,679	39.9%	56,814	PASS	MAJORITY
	HUMBOLDT NO 1 FIRE PROTECTION DISTRICT	o	1,661	17.4%	7,865	82.6%	9,526	FAIL	2/3RDS
IMPERIAL	IMPERIAL COUNTY	K	7,007	28.6%	17,473	71.4%	24,480	FAIL	MAJORITY
	WESTMORLAND UNION ELEMENTARY SCHOOL DISTRICT	J	315	63.9%	178	36.1%	493	FAIL	2/3RDS
INYO	SOUTHERN INYO COUNTY HOSPITAL DISTRICT	A	919	57.9%	668	42.1%	1,587	FAIL	2/3RDS
KERN .	MCFARLAND SCHOOL DISTRICT	s	821	67.7%	391	32.3%	1,212	PASS	2/3RDS
	STALLION SPRINGS COMMUNITY SERVICES DISTRICT	2	278	45.8%	329	54.2%	607		2/3RDS
	TEHACHAPI UNIFIED SCHOOL DISTRICT	T	4,705	53.4%	4,104	46.6%	8,809	FAIL	2/3RDS
LASSEN	COUNTY SERVICE AREA NO 3	A	5,941	57.9%	4,320	42.1%	10,261	FAIL	2/3RDS
LOS ANGELES	HAWTHORNE	Q	2,184	12.7%	15,052	87.3%	17,236	FAIL	MAJORITY
	LONG BEACH	н	63,005	49.0%	65,553	51.0%	128,558	FAIL	
	LOS ANGELES	L	518,175	58.2%	371,815	41.8%	889,990		MAJORITY
	LOS ANGELES	М	715,301	77.2%	210,679	22.8%	925,980	PASS	2/3RDS
	LOS ANGELES	N	583,907	62.5%	349,814	37.5%	933,721	FAIL	2/3RDS
	SANTA MONICA COMMUNITY COLLEGE DISTRICT	T	32,008	66.8%	15,906	33.2%	47,914		2/3RDS
MADERA	MADERA	***	676	9.0%	6,856	91.0%	7,532	FAIL	MAJORITY

**** NO MEASURE LETTER GIVEN

TABLE B-8
SUMMARY OF ELECTION RESULTS (CONTINUED)
STATE AND LOCAL BOND AND TAX MEASURES
NOVEMBER 3, 1992 GENERAL ELECTION

COUNTY	STATE BALLOT MEASURE/LOCAL AGENCY	MEASURE/ PROP	:	<u>res</u>	<u>.</u>	<u>40</u>	TOTAL VOTE	PASS, <u>Fail</u>	
MARIN	BEL MARIN KEYS CSD	F	450	47.3%	502	52.7%	952	FAIL	2/3RDS
	BELVEDERE	E	732	54.4%	614	45.6%	1,346		2/3RDS
	BOLINAS FIRE PROTECTION DISTRICT	q	281	38.5%	448	61.5%	729		2/3RDS
	CORTE MADERA	D	2,342	52.0%	2,164	48.0%	4,506	FAIL	
	COUNTY SERVICE AREA NO 13	·L	712	78.2%	198	21.8%	910	PASS	
	COUNTY SERVICE AREA NO 19	N	1,444	52.9%	1,284	47.1%	2,728		2/3RDS
	MARIN CITY COMMUNITY SERVICES DISTRICT	G	263	38.0%	429	62.0%	692		2/3RDS
	MARIN COUNTY FIRE PROTECTION DISTRICT	P	3,274	51.2%	3,116	48.8%	6,390	FAIL	2/3RDS
	MARIN COUNTY LIBRARY DISTRICT	Ť	31,636	52.1%	29,139	47.9%	60,775	FAIL	2/3RDS
	MARIN COUNTY TRANSIT DISTRICT	U	48,946	45.8%	57,974	54.2%	106,920	FAIL	2/3RDS
	MARIN MUNICIPAL WATER DISTRICT	V	42,101	50.6%	41,122	49.4%	83,223	PASS	MAJORITY
	MARINWOOD COMMUNITY SERVICES DISTRICT	H	1,384	59.1%	958	40.9%	2,342	FAIL	2/3RDS
	MUIR BEACH CSD	K	66	32.0%	140	68.0%	206	FAIL	2/3RDS
	SHORELINE UNIFIED SCHOOL DISTRICT	C	1,606	74.5%	550	25.5%	2,156	PASS	2/3RDS
	STINSON BEACH FIRE PROTECTION DISTRICT	S	286	57.5%	211	42.5%	497	FAIL	2/3RDS
MENDOCINO	MENDOCINO COUNTY	Α .	25,026	71.5%	9,966	28.5%	34,992	PASS	MAJORITY
MONO	MAMMOTH LAKES	A	972	49.4%	995	50.6%	1,967	FAIL	2/3RDS
MONTEREY	PACIFIC GROVE	D	4,379	50.1%	4,357	49.9%	8,736	FAII	2/3RDS
	PACIFIC GROVE CFD NO 1	E	4,256	49.4%	4,352	50.6%	8,608		2/3RDS
NAPA	NAPA COUNTY REGIONAL OPEN-SPACE DISTRICT	0	14,930	29.4%	35,893	70.6%	50,823	FAIL	MAJORITY
NEVADA	** NEVADA COUNTY	J	14,746	39.0%	23,029	61.0%	37,775	FAIL	MAJORITY
	NEVADA IRRIGATION DISTRICT	E	22,712	76.8%	6,873	23.2%	29,585		MAJORITY
	NEVADA JOINT UNION HIGH SCHOOL DISTRICT	G	13,694	37.4%	22,954	62.6%	36,648		MAJORITY

TABLE B-8
SUMMARY OF ELECTION RESULTS (CONTINUED)
STATE AND LOCAL BOND AND TAX MEASURES
NOVEMBER 3, 1992 GENERAL ELECTION

COUNTY	STATE BALLOT MEASURE/LOCAL AGENCY	MEASURE/ PROP	1	<u>res</u>	<u>!</u>	<u>10</u>	TOTAL VOTE	PASS, <u>FAIL</u>	VOTE REQUIRED
ORANGE	MISSION VIEJO	I	18,681	56.5%	14,406	43.5%	33,087	FAIL	2/3RDS
	MISSION VIEJO	J	12,081	37.3%	20,340	62.7%	32,421	FAIL	2/3RDS
PLACER	AUBURN	F	3,130	53.8%	2,688	46.2%	5,818	FATI	2/3RDS
	LINCOLN	L	946	35.6%	1,714	64.4%	2,660	FAIL	•
*	* LOOMIS	N	661	24.4%	2,048	75.6%	2,709		MAJORITY
PLUMAS	SENECA HOSPITAL DISTRICT	A	1,654	66.7%	826	33.3%	2,480	PASS	2/3RDS
RIVERSIDE	COACHELLA	Ť	593	31.3%	1,304	68.7%	1,897	FAIL	2/3RDS
	COACHELLA FIRE PROTECTION DISTRICT	U	691	36.5%	1,204	63.5%	1,895	FAIL	2/3RDS
	HEMET	FF	9,925	55.4%	7,977	44.6%	17,902	FAIL	2/3RDS
	HEMET UNIFIED SCHOOL DISTRICT	Q	22,245	57.4%	16,498	42.6%	38,743	FAIL	2/3RDS
	INDIO	CC	2,853	40.2%	4,242	59.8%	7,095	FAIL	MAJORITY
	MORENO VALLEY	R	18,120	51.8%	16,881	48.2%	35,001	FAIL	2/3RDS
	PALM SPRINGS UNIFIED SCHOOL DISTRICT	P	28,783	72.8%	10,747	27.2%	39,530	PASS	2/3RDS
	PERRIS UNION HIGH SCHOOL DISTRICT CFD NO 92-1	JJ	1,657	72.5%	627	27.5%	2,284	PASS	2/3RDS
SACRAMENTO	FOLSOM	. J	10,436	74.7%	3,529	25.3%	13,965	PASS	2/3RDS
SAN BENITO	HOLLISTER	P	1,517	25.8%	4,371	74.2%	5,888	FAIL	MAJORITY
SAN BERNARDINO	APPLE VALLEY UNIFIED SCHOOL DISTRICT	J	13,390	65.9%	6,938	34.1%	20,328	FAIL	2/3RDS
* 1	* LOMA LINDA	0	575	11.9%	4,248	88.1%	4,823	FAIL	MAJORITY
. *1	* LOMA LINDA	,N	1,434	28.9%	3,527	71.1%	4,961	FAIL	MAJORITY
	YUCAIPA .	R	6,479	44.4%	8,110	55.6%	14,589	FAIL	2/3RDS

TABLE B-8
SUMMARY OF ELECTION RESULTS (CONTINUED)
STATE AND LOCAL BOND AND TAX MEASURES
NOVEMBER 3, 1992 GENERAL ELECTION

COUNTY	STATE BALLOT MEASURE/LOCAL AGENCY	MEASURE/ PROP	:	YES	. !	<u>40</u>	TOTAL VOTE	PASS, FAIL	
SAN DIEGO	FALLBROOK UNION HIGH SCHOOL DISTRICT	AA	10,376	62.3%	6,273	37.7%	16,649	FAIL	2/3RDS
	LAKE MORENA COMMUNITY RURAL FIRE PROTECTION DIST CSZ	V	175	35.1%	324	64.9%	499	FAIL	-
	RINCON RANCH COMMUNITY SERVICES DISTRICT	u	43	71.7%	17	28.3%	60	PASS	
	RURAL FIRE PROTECTION DISTRICT	U	2,229	34.2%	4,288	65.8%	6,517	FAIL	-
	SAN DIEGO COUNTY	A	355,354	41.4%	502,889	58.6%	858,243		2/3RDS
	VALLEY CENTER UNION SCHOOL DISTRICT	x	4,226	69.5%	1,855	30.5%	6,081		2/3RDS
SAN FRANCISCO	SAN FRANCISCO CITY AND COUNTY	A	197,128	69.9%	84,735	30.1%	281,863	PASS	2/3RDS
	SAN FRANCISCO CITY AND COUNTY	В	156,851	57.3%	116,872	42.7%	273,723		2/3RDS
	SAN FRANCISCO CITY AND COUNTY	C	188,680	68.4%	87,229	31.6%	275,909	PASS	2/3RDS
	SAN FRANCISCO CITY AND COUNTY	, D	132,154	49.8%	132,998	50.2%	265,152	FAIL	MAJORITY
SAN LUIS OBISPO	SAN LUIS OBISPO COUNTY	F	49,213	49.3%	50,679	50.7%	99,892	FAIL	2/3RDS
SAN MATEO **	MILLBRAE	н	4,068	45.8%	4,806	54.2%	8,874	FAIL	MAJORITY
**	PACIFICA	A	186,393	78.9%	49,973	21.1%	236,366	PASS	MAJORITY
SANTA BARBARA	CARPENTERIA	J92	941	17.6%	4,413	82.4%	5,354	FAIL	MAJORITY
	COUNTY SERVICE AREA NO 45	L92	10,346	54.9%	8,503	45.1%	18,849		2/3RDS
*1	ISLA VISTA RECREATION AND PARK DISTRICT	092	1,186	19.2%	4,983	80.8%	6,169		2/3RDS
	SANTA MARIA-BONITA SCHOOL DISTRICT	K92	10,198	58.6%	7,200	41.4%	17,398	FAIL	2/3RDS
SANTA CLARA	GILROY UNIFIED SCHOOL DISTRICT	J	7,971	62.3%	4,820	37.7%	12,791	PASS	MAJORITY
	MORGAN HILL	I	5,043	47.2%	5,642	52.8%	10,685	FAIL	MAJORITY
	SANTA CLARA	D	16,310	45.9%	19,206	54.1%	35,516		2/3RDS
	SANTA CLARA COUNTY	A	305,567	54.2%	257,997	45.8%	563,564		MAJORITY
SANTA CRUZ	SAN LORENZO VALLEY UNIFIED SCHOOL DISTRICT	В	7,530	57.8%	5,503	42.2%	13,033	FAIL	2/3RD\$

TABLE B-8
SUMMARY OF ELECTION RESULTS (CONTINUED)
STATE AND LOCAL BOND AND TAX MEASURES
NOVEMBER 3, 1992 GENERAL ELECTION

COUNTY	STATE BALLOT MEASURE/LOCAL AGENCY	MEASURE/ PROP	Y	<u>ES</u>	<u> </u>	<u>10</u>	TOTAL VOTE	PASS/ Fail	VOTE REQUIRED
SISKIYOU	MOUNT SHASTA	1	1,075	65.0%	580	35.0%	1,655	FAIL	2/3RD\$
	NORTH COUNTY FIRE/EMERGENCY RESPONSE ZONE CSA #4	K	252	51.4%	238	48.6%	490	FAIL	2/3RDS
	SISKIYOU COUNTY CFD NO 1	H	7,810	39.9%	11,769	60.1%	19,579	FAIL	2/3RDS
SOLANO	VALLEJO	A	14,744	41.9%	20,461	58.1%	35,205	FAIL	2/3RDS
SONOMA	SHORELINE UNIFIED SCHOOL DISTRICT (MARIN)	С	824	61.2%	523	38.8%	1,347	F*	2/3RDS
	WRIGHT SCHOOL DISTRICT	A	3,153	67.1%	1,547	32.9%	4,700	PASS	2/3RD\$
STANISLAUS	DAKDALE	E	1,869	44.0%	2,383	56.0%	4,252	FAIL	MAJORITY
SUTTER *	* YUBA CITY	K	2,731	28.3%	6,906	71.7%	9,637	FAIL	MAJORITY
TRINITY .	POST MOUNTAIN PUBLIC UTILITY DISTRICT	A	55	61.1%	35	38.9%	90	PASS	MAJORITY
TULARE	BURTON SCHOOL DISTRICT	A	1,015	38.1%	1,651	61.9%	2,666	FAIL	2/3RDS
	RICHGROVE SCHOOL DISTRICT	С	142	72.8%	53	27.2%	195	PASS	2/3RDS

TABLE B-9 SUMMARY OF TYPES AND PURPOSES STATE AND LOCAL BOND AND TAX MEASURES NOVEMBER 3, 1992 GENERAL ELECTION

COUNTY	STATE BALLOT MEASURE/LOCAL AGENCY	TYPE OF DEBT	AMOUNT OF BOND OR TAX (\$)	PURPOSE
STATE	1992 SCHOOL FACILITIES BOND ACT	GO BOND	900,000,000	K-12 SCHOOL FAC
	PASSENGER RAIL AND CLEAR AIR BOND ACT OF 1992	GO BOND	1,000,000,000	PUBLIC TRANSIT
ALAMEDA	BERKELEY	GO BOND	55,000,000	SEISMIC SAFETY
	EMERYVILLE	GENERAL TAX	\$12 PER YR/SUPPLEMENT ANNUAL ASSESSMENT	LIFE SUPPORT
	NEW HAVEN UNIFIED SCHOOL DISTRICT	GO BOND	55,000,000	K-12 SCHOOL FAC
	OAKLAND	SPECIAL TAX	\$30 PER SINGLE FAM/\$20 PER MULTI PARCEL/ 15 YR	LIBRARY
	PERALTA COMMUNITY COLLEGE DISTRICT	GO BOND	50,000,000	COLLEGE/UNIV FAC
AMADOR	AMADOR COUNTY	SPECIAL TAX	REPEAL FEE/ABOLISH CO SERVICE ARA #7	SOLID WASTE DIS
BUTTE	BUTTE COUNTY	SPECIAL TAX	FORM ASSESSMENT DISTRICT/\$4.97 PER PARCEL	ANIMAL CNTL
	OROVILLE	GENERAL TAX	INCREASE TOT FROM 6% TO 9%	GENERAL GOVERN
CONTRA COSTA	CONTRA COSTA COUNTY	SPECIAL TAX	\$20 PER PARCEL/PER YR ASSESSMENT	LIFE SUPPORT/LIBRARY
	COUNTY SERVICE AREA NO EM-1 ZONE E KENSINGTON	SPECIAL TAX	\$100 PER PARCEL PER YR/4 YR	LIBRARY
	COUNTY SERVICE AREA NO P-1 CROCKETT	SPECIAL TAX	\$37 PER PARCEL PER YR	LIBRARY
	KENSINGTON COMMUNITY SERVICES DISTRICT	SPECIAL TAX	\$350 SINGLE/\$525 MULTI COMM/\$350 MISC/\$87.50 UN	LIFE SUPPORT
	KENSINGTON FIRE PROTECTION DISTRICT	SPECIAL TAX	\$400 SINGLE FAM/\$600-800 MULTI/\$100 UNIMP	LIFE SUPPORT
•	MORAGA FIRE PROTECTION DISTRICT	SPECIAL TAX	INCREASE FROM \$.06 TO \$.30	LIFE SUPPORT
	ORINDA FIRE PROTECTION DISTRICT	SPECIAL TAX	INCREASE UP TO \$.30 PER PARCEL	LIFE SUPPORT
DEL NORTE	DEL NORTE COUNTY	USE/TRANS TA	C 1/2 OF 1%/5 YR	GENERAL GOVERN
FRESNO	CENTRAL UNIFIED SCHOOL DISTRICT	GO BOND	20,000,000	K-12 SCHOOL FAC
	KERMAN UNIFIED SCHOOL DISTRICT	GO BOND	6,000,000	K-12 SCHOOL FAC
	NORTH CENTRAL FIRE PROTECTION DISTRICT	SPECIAL TAX	\$120 PER PARCEL/PER YR	LIFE SUPPORT
	WASHINGTON COLONY CEMETERY DISTRICT	SPECIAL TAX	\$.015 PER \$100 AV	MULTI CI/PW
	WEST SIDE ELEMENTARY SCHOOL DISTRICT	GO BOND	1,400,000	K-12 SCHOOL FAC

TABLE B-9

SUMMARY OF TYPES AND PURPOSES (CONTINUED)

STATE AND LOCAL BOND AND TAX MEASURES

NOVEMBER 3, 1992 GENERAL ELECTION

		TYPE OF		
COUNTY	STATE BALLOT MEASURE/LOCAL AGENCY	DEBT	AMOUNT OF BOND OR TAX (\$)	PURPOSE
GLENN	GLENN COUNTY	SPECIAL TAX	\$33 PER YR/5 YR	PRISONS/JAILS
HUMBOLDT	FIELDBROOK COMMUNITY SERVICES DISTRICT	SPECIAL TAX	\$30 PER YR	LIFE SUPPORT
	. HUMBOLDT COUNTY	OTHER .	ALLOW MLV FUEL TAX FOR COUNTY TRANSIT PROGRAMS	PUBLIC TRANSIT
	HUMBOLDT NO 1 FIRE PROTECTION DISTRICT	SPECIAL TAX	\$40 VAC/\$150 SINGLE/\$400 COMM	LIFE SUPPORT
IMPERIAL	IMPERIAL COUNTY	USE/TRANS TAX	.5%	GENERAL GOVERN
	WESTMORLAND UNION ELEMENTARY SCHOOL DISTRICT	GO BOND	, 1,500,000	K-12 SCHOOL FAC
INYO	SOUTHERN INYO COUNTY HOSPITAL DISTRICT	SPECIAL TAX	\$80 PER PARCEL TO \$350 PER COMM PARCEL 4 YR	HOSPITAL/HEALTH FAC
KERN	MCFARLAND SCHOOL DISTRICT	GO BOND	9,800,000	K-12 SCHOOL FAC
	STALLION SPRINGS COMMUNITY SERVICES DISTRICT	SPECIAL TAX	\$75 PER PARCEL 1ST YR/\$55 PER PARCEL 2ND YR	LIFE SUPPORT
	TEHACHAPI UNIFIED SCHOOL DISTRICT	GO BOND	19,640,000	K-12 SCHOOL FAC
LASSEN	COUNTY SERVICE AREA NO 3	SPECIAL TAX	\$18 PER YR/PER DWELLING	LIBRARY
LOS ANGELES	HAWTHORNE	GENERAL TAX	INCREASE UTILITY USERS TAX TO 7%	GENERAL GOVERN
	LONG BEACH	SPECIAL TAX	\$38-60 SINGLE FAM/\$31-38 MULTI/.00446 SQ FT	LIFE SUPPORT
	LOS ANGELES	OTHER	AUTHORIZE \$1.5 BILLION IN REVENUE BONDS	WASTEWATER TREATMENT
	LOS ANGELES	SPECIAL TAX	\$1.75 PER 100 SQ FT/ISSUE \$235 MILLION IN BONDS	LIFE SUPPORT
	LOS ANGELES		\$4.89 PER SQ FT IMPROVED PROP	LIFE SUPPORT
	SANTA MONICA COMMUNITY COLLEGE DISTRICT	GO BOND	23,000,000	COLLEGE/UNIV FAC
MADERA	MADERA	GENERAL TAX	UTILITY USERS TAX 5%	LIFE SUPPORT

TABLE B-9 SUMMARY OF TYPES AND POSES (CONTINUED) STATE AND LOCAL BOND AND MEASURES

NOVEMBER 3, 1992 GENERELECTION

		TYPE OF		
COUNTY	STATE BALLOT MEASURE/LOCAL AGENCY	<u>DEBT</u>	AMOUNT OF BOND OR TAX (\$)	PURPOSE
MARIN	BEL MARIN KEYS CSD	SPECIAL TAX	\$1-\$305 PER PARCEL/PER YR	MULTI CI/PW
	BELVEDERE	SPECIAL TAX	\$35-\$90 PER YR	LIFE SUPPORT
	BOLINAS FIRE PROTECTION DISTRICT	SPECIAL TAX	\$20 RESI/\$15 BUSINESS/\$10 UNIMPROVE	LIFE SUPPORT
	CORTE MADERA		\$32 PER YR/4 YR	LIBRARY SERVICES
	COUNTY SERVICE AREA NO 13	SPECIAL TAX	\$.12 PER FT LIVING SPACE-\$20 PER ACRE/4 YR	LIFE SUPPORT
	COUNTY SERVICE AREA NO 19		\$.11 SQ FT LIVING SPACE/\$20 PER ACRE	LIFE SUPPORT
	MARIN CITY COMMUNITY SERVICES DISTRICT		\$100 PER LIVING UNIT/PER YR	REC/SPORTS FAC
	MARIN COUNTY FIRE PROTECTION DISTRICT		\$123 PER YR/4 YR	LIFE SUPPORT
	MARIN COUNTY LIBRARY DISTRICT	. SPECIAL TAX	\$32 PER YR	LIBRARY SERVICES
	MARIN COUNTY TRANSIT DISTRICT	SPECIAL TAX	\$4.52 PER PARCEL/PER YR/4 YR	PUBLIC TRANSIT
	MARIN MUNICIPAL WATER DISTRICT	REV BOND	37,500,000	WATER SUPPLY/STORE
	MARINWOOD COMMUNITY SERVICES DISTRICT	SPECIAL TAX	\$.08-\$.12 PER FT/\$25 PER ACRE	LIFE SUPPORT
	MUIR BEACH CSD	SPECIAL TAX	\$86-\$255 PER PARCEL/PER YR	MULTI CI/PW
	SHORELINE UNIFIED SCHOOL DISTRICT	SPECIAL TAX	\$96 PER PARCEL/PER YR	ED PROGRAMS
	STINSON BEACH FIRE PROTECTION DISTRICT	SPECIAL TAX	\$15-\$50 PER YR/PER PARCEL	LIFE SUPPORT
MENDOCINO	MENDOCINO COUNTY	OTHER	ALLOW MLV FUEL TAX FOR COUNTY TRANSIT PROGRAMS	PUBLIC TRANSIT
MONO	MAMMOTH LAKES	GO BOND	3,250,000	PARKS/OPEN SPACE
MONTEREY	PACIFIC GROVE	SPECIAL TAX	RAISE TOT FROM 10% TO 11% FOR 10 YR	PARKS/OPEN SPACE
	PACIFIC GROVE CFD NO 1	SPECIAL TAX	2,900,000	LIBRARY
NAPA	NAPA COUNTY REGIONAL OPEN-SPACE DISTRICT	SALES TAX	.25% DEPENDENT ON MEASURE N PASS (M DEFEATED)	PARKS/OPEN SPACE
NEVADA	**NEVADA COUNTY	SPECIAL TAX	CREATE CFD/LEVY \$27 PER YR TAX LOMA RICA RANCH	PARKS/OPEN SPACE
	NEVADA IRRIGATION DISTRICT	OTHER	ALLOW PURCHASE OF RB AT MARKET PRICE/NOT PAR	WATER SUPPLY/STORAGE
	NEVADA JOINT UNION HIGH SCHOOL DISTRICT		INCREASE EXIST TAX \$.0375 PER \$1,000 AV	K-12 SCHOOL FAC

**ADVISORY MEASURE

TABLE B-9

SUMMARY OF TYPES AND PURPOSES (CONTINUED)

STATE AND LOCAL BOND AND TAX MEASURES

NOVEMBER 3, 1992 GENERAL ELECTION

		TYPE OF		
COUNTY	STATE BALLOT MEASURE/LOCAL AGENCY	<u>DEBT</u>	AMOUNT OF BOND OR TAX (\$)	PURPOSE
ORANGE	MISSION VIEJO	GO BOND	5,100,000	LIBRARY
	MISSION VIEJO	GO BOND	2,000,000	PARKS/OPEN SPACE
PLACER	AUBURN	GO BOND	900,000	Parks/open space
	LINCOLN	GENERAL TAX	BUS TAX RAISE \$10-50 PER YR	GENERAL GOVERN
	**LOOMIS	SPECIAL TAX	ADVISORY ON ASSESSMENTS/FEES	GENERAL GOVERN
PLUMAS	SENECA HOSPITAL DISTRICT	SPECIAL TAX	\$75 IMPROVE/\$50 UNIMPROVE/8 YR	HOSPITAL
RIVERSIDE	COACHELLA	SPECIAL TAX	CONTINUE ASSESSMENT \$60 PER YR/5 YR	LIFE SUPPORT
	COACHELLA FIRE PROTECTION DISTRICT	SPECIAL TAX	CONTINUE ASSESSMENT \$40 PER DU/5 YR	LIFE SUPPORT
	HEMET	GO BOND	3,800,000	LIBRARY
	HEMET UNIFIED SCHOOL DISTRICT	GO BOND	49,500,000	K-12 SCHOOL FAC
	INDIO	GENERAL TAX	\$52 PER BENEFIT UNIT/5 YR	LIFE SUPPORT
	MORENO VALLEY	SPECIAL TAX	\$.10 PER AEROSOL CAN/\$.05 PER MARKER/5 YR	OTHER
	PALM SPRINGS UNIFIED SCHOOL DISTRICT	GO BOND	70,000,000	K-12 SCHOOL FAC
	PERRIS UNION HIGH SCHOOL DISTRICT CFD NO 92-1	SPECIAL TAX	40,000,000	K-12 SCHOOL FAC
SACRAMENTO	FOLSOM	GO BOND	42,616,000	K-12 SCHOOL FAC
SAN BENITO	HOLLISTER	GENERAL TAX	UTILITY TAX \$5 PER MO/4 YR	GENERAL GOVERN
SAN BERNARDINO	APPLE VALLEY UNIFIED SCHOOL DISTRICT	GO BOND	37,070,000	K-12 SCHOOL FAC
	**LOMA LINDA	SPECIAL TAX	CREATE AD/ASSESS \$360 PER PAR/PER YR	REC/PARKS FAC
	**LOMA LINDA	SPECIAL TAX	CREATE AD/ASSESS \$180 PER PAR/PER YR	REC/PARKS FAC
	YUCAIPA	SPECIAL TAX	FREEZE MOBILHOME RENTS/ASSESS FEES TO IMPLEMENT	MULTIFAM HOUSING

**ADVISORY MEASURE

TABLE B-9 SUMMARY OF TYPES AND PURPOSES (CONTINUED)

STATE AND LOCAL BOND AND TAX MEASURES NOVEMBER 3, 1992 GENERAL ELECTION

COUNTY	STATE BALLOT MEASURE/LOCAL AGENCY	TYPE OF DEBT	AMOUNT OF BOND OR TAX (\$)	<u>Purpose</u>
SAN DIEGO	FALLBROOK UNION HIGH SCHOOL DISTRICT	GO BOND	11,800,000	F-13 ccupor 540
	LAKE MORENA COMMUNITY RURAL FIRE PROTECTION DIST CSZ	GENERAL TAX	• •	K-12 SCHOOL FAC
	RINCON RANCH COMMUNITY SERVICES DISTRICT	SPECIAL TAX	\$5.20 PER AC/\$150 PER PARCEL 5 YR	STREET CONSTRUCT
	RURAL FIRE PROTECTION DISTRICT		\$17.50 PER PARCEL/\$30 COMMERCIAL	LIFE SUPPORT
	SAN DIEGO COUNTY	USE/TRANS TAX		
	VALLEY CENTER UNION SCHOOL DISTRICT	GO BOND	6,200,000	PRISONS/JAILS K-12 SCHOOL FAC
SAN FRANCISCO	SAN FRANCISCO CITY AND COUNTY	GO BOND	350.000.000	SEISHIC SAFETY
	SAN FRANCISCO CITY AND COUNTY	GO BOND	158,100,000	PRISONS/JAILS
	SAN FRANCISCO CITY AND COUNTY	GO BOND	40,800,000	PUBLIC BLDG
	SAN FRANCISCO CITY AND COUNTY	OTHER	AUTHORIZE DOH TO LEASE FINANCE UP TO \$22 MILLION	EQUIPMENT
SAN LUIS OBISPO	SAN LUIS OBISPO COUNTY	GO BOND	50,000,000	HEALTH CARE FAC
SAN MATEO	**MILLBRAE	OTHER	ANNUAL ASSESSMENT \$72 PER PAR/5 YR	PARKS/OPEN SPACE
	**PACIFICA	GENERAL TAX	RETAIN EXISTING UTILITY USERS TAX 6.5%	GENERAL GOVERN
SANTA BARBARA	CARPENTERIA	GENERAL TAX	UTILITY USERS TAX 5%	GENERAL GOVERN
	COUNTY SERVICE AREA NO 45	SPECIAL TAX	\$24 PER SINGLE FAM TO MAX \$144	LIBRARY
	**ISLA VISTA RECREATION AND PARK DISTRICT	SPECIAL TAX	\$30 PER BEDROOM/PER YR	REC/SPORTS FAC
	SANTA MARIA-BONITA SCHOOL DISTRICT	GO BOND	33,290,000	K-12 SCHOOL FAC
SANTA CLARA	GILROY UNIFIED SCHOOL DISTRICT	OTHER	APPROVE ADDITIONAL USES FOR EXISTING TAX	K-12 SCHOOL FAC
	MORGAN HILL	GENERAL TAX	UTILITY USERS TAX 7.5%/4 YR	GENERAL GOVERN
	SANTA CLARA	GO BOND	10,500,000	PUBLIC BLDG
	SANTA CLARA COUNTY	SALES TAX	EXTENDS 1/2 CENT EXPIRING IN 1994 TO 20 YRS	PUBLIC TRANSIT
SANTA CRUZ	SAN LORENZO VALLEY UNIFIED SCHOOL DISTRICT	SPECIAL TAX	\$39 PER PARCEL/3 YR	ED PROGRAMS

**ADVISORY MEASURE

TABLE B-9

SUMMARY OF TYPES AND PURPOSES (CONTINUED)

STATE AND LOCAL BOND AND TAX MEASURES

NOVEMBER 3, 1992 GENERAL ELECTION

COUNTY	STATE BALLOT MEASURE/LOCAL AGENCY	TYPE OF DEST	AMOUNT OF BOND OR TAX (\$)	PURPOSE
SISKIYOU	MOUNT SHASTA	SPECIAL TAX	\$8 UNIMP/\$15 IMPROVE/\$35 COMM/ 5 YRS	LIFE SUPPORT
	NORTH COUNTY FIRE/EMERGENCY RESPONSE ZONE CSA #4	SPECIAL TAX	\$25 IMPROVE/\$15 OPEN	LIFE SUPPORT
	SISKIYOU COUNTY CFD NO 1	SPECIAL TAX	AUTHORIZE SPECIAL TAX	LIBRARY SERVICES
SOLANO	VALLEJO	SPECIAL TAX	\$50 PER RESIDEN/\$175 PER COMMERC/INDUSTRIAL	LIFE SUPPORT
SONOMA	SHORELINE UNIFIED SCHOOL DISTRICT (MARIN)	SPECIAL TAX	\$96 PER YR/4 YR	ED PROGRAMS
	WRIGHT SCHOOL DISTRICT	GO BOND	6,600,000	K-12 SCHOOL FAC
STANISLAUS	OAKDALE	GENERAL TAX	INCREASE TOT FROM 7% TO 10%	GENERAL GOVERN
SUTTER	**YUBA CITY	GENERAL' TAX	UTILITY USERS TAX 2 1/2%	REC/SPORTS FAC
TRINITY	POST MOUNTAIN PUBLIC UTILITY DISTRICT	GENERAL TAX	'\$24 PER YR/PER PARCEL	LIFE SUPPORT
TULARE	BURTON SCHOOL DISTRICT	GO BOND	1,000,000	K-12 SCHOOL FAC
	RICHGROVE SCHOOL DISTRICT	GO BOND	1,000,000	K-12 SCHOOL FAC

**ADVISORY MEASURES