
### **California Debt and Investment Advisory Commission**





# STATE AND LOCAL BOND AND TAX BALLOT MEASURES

## RESULTS OF THE JUNE 2006 PRIMARY ELECTION

#### CALIFORNIA DEBT AND INVESTMENT ADVISORY COMMISSION

The California Debt and Investment Advisory Commission (CDIAC) was created by the Legislature to assist state and local agencies with the issuance, monitoring, and management of public debt, and with the investment of public funds through its research and technical assistance programs. CDIAC also acts as the State's clearinghouse for California's public debt issuance information.

CDIAC members include:

Phil Angelides

California State Treasurer and Chair

Arnold Schwarzenegger

Governor

or

Michael C. Genest

Director

Department of Finance

**Steve Westly** 

State Controller

**Dave Cox** 

State Senator

**Michael Machado** 

State Senator

**Judy Chu** 

State Assemblymember

José Cisneros

Treasurer and Tax Collector City and County of San Francisco

**Donna Linton** 

Assistant County Administrator Alameda County

Jane W. Thompson Executive Director

Additional information concerning this report or CDIAC programs may be obtained by contacting the Commission directly via phone (916) 653-3269, fax (916) 654-7440, e-mail (cdiac@treasurer.ca.gov) or by visiting CDIAC's website: http://www.treasurer.ca.gov/cdiac.

All rights reserved. No part of the *Summary of State and Local Bond and Tax Ballot Measures of the June 2006 Primary Election* may be reproduced without written credit given to CDIAC. Permission to reprint with written credit given to CDIAC is hereby granted.

#### **ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS**

The California Debt and Investment Advisory Commission wishes to thank the county clerks, registrars of voters, and their staffs for their assistance in providing the information contained in this publication.

This report was prepared by Nova Edwards of the Commission staff.

#### **TABLE OF CONTENTS**

l.	Intro	duction	1
II.	Prim	ary Election Results	1
III.	Sum A.	mary of State and Local Bond and Tax Measures by Purpose	
	А. В.	Education	
	D. С.	General Government	
	D.	Public Health and Safety	
	E.	Miscellaneous Projects	
IV.	Sum	mary of State and Local Bond and Tax Measures by Type	6
	A.	Bonds	6
		State General Obligation Bond	6
		2. Local General Obligation Bonds	7
	B.	Tax Measures	7
		1. State Tax Measure	7
		2. Local Tax Measures	7
V.	Cour	nties Reporting No Local Bond or Tax Measures	8
		FIGURES	
Figur	e 1	Comparison of 2006 and 2004 Primary Elections Bond and Tax Measure Results	1
Figur	e 2	Results of Bond and Tax Measures, by Purpose	2
Figur	e 3	Proposed Local Bond and Tax Measures, by Purpose	2
Figur	e 4	Approved Local Bond and Tax Measures, by Purpose	3
Figur	e 5	Total Dollar Amounts of General Obligation Bond Measures, by Purpose	3
Figur	e 6	Results of Bond and Tax Measures, by Type	6
		SUMMARY TABLES	
		Appendix A	
Table	e A-1	Summary of State Bond and Tax Measures	A-1
Table	e A-2	Summary of Local Bond and Tax Measures	A-2

### STATE AND LOCAL BOND AND TAX BALLOT MEASURES Results of the June 6, 2006 Primary Election

#### I. INTRODUCTION

This report presents the results of state and local bond and tax measures that appeared on the June 6, 2006 Primary Election ballot in California (the 2006 Primary Election). The data used to develop the report was received from the California Secretary of State's office and the 58 county clerks' elections departments. Tables A-1 and A-2 provide a summary of the individual bond and tax measures on the 2006 Primary Election ballot.

The California Debt and Investment Advisory Commission (CDIAC) has reported on statewide elections since 1986 and publishes complete statistics on bond and tax measures after each election. This is the eighteenth report CDIAC has published summarizing bond and tax elections.

#### **II. PRIMARY ELECTION RESULTS**

CDIAC tracked a total of 109 state and local bond and tax measures in the 2006 Primary Election, a decrease of 31.4 percent from the March 2, 2004 Primary Election ballot (the 2004 Primary Election), which had 159 state and local bond and tax measures<sup>1</sup>. In the 2006 Primary Election, 50.5 percent of the measures were approved compared to a 56.6 percent approval rate for the 2004 Primary Election (down 10.8 percent). Bond measures received 58.1 percent voter approval, a decrease of 21.1 percent from the 73.6 percent approval rate in the 2004 Primary Election. As with the bond measures, voters approved fewer tax measures in the 2006 Primary than in the 2004 Primary (34.3 percent compared to 42.5 percent, respectively), a decrease of 19.3 percent. Figure 1 provides a comparison of the 2006 and 2004 Primary Election results.

FIGURE 1
COMPARISON OF 2006 AND 2004 PRIMARY ELECTIONS
BOND AND TAX MEASURE RESULTS

		2006			2004			
	State	Local	Total		State	Local	Total	
Passed								
Bond Measures	0	43	43		2	51	53	
Tax Measures	0	12	12		0	37	37	
Subtotal	0	55	55		2	88	90	
Failed								
Bond Measures	1	30	31		0	19	19	
Tax Measures	1	22	23		0	50	50	
Subtotal	2	52	54		0	69	69	
Total	2	107	109		2	157	159	
% Passed	0.0%	51.4%	50.5%		100%	56.1%	56.6%	

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup> The lower number of measures on the 2006 Primary Election ballot may be due, in part, to the fact that just seven months prior, Californians were presented with 90 local bond and tax measures in the November 8, 2005 Special Election.

#### III. SUMMARY OF STATE AND LOCAL MEASURES BY PURPOSE

Figure 2 shows the results of the 109 bond and tax measures appearing on the 2006 Primary Election ballot classified by the type of projects to be financed: capital improvements, education, general government, public health and safety, and miscellaneous projects (e.g., libraries, city parks, public swimming pools, transportation, street improvements, and beach/coastal maintenance).

FIGURE 2
RESULTS OF BOND AND TAX MEASURES, BY PURPOSE 2006 PRIMARY ELECTION

	Capital Improvements	Education	General Government	Public Health and Safety	Miscellaneous	Total
State						
Passed	0	0	0	0	0	0
Failed	0	1	0	0	1	2
Subtotal	0	1	0	0	1	2
Local						
Passed	1	42	3	5	4	55
Failed	2	35	3	7	5	52
Subtotal	3	77	6	12	9	107
State and Lo	cal					
Passed	1	42	3	5	4	55
Failed	2	36	3	7	6	54
Total	3	78	6	12	10	109

Figure 3 shows a breakdown of the 107 local measures by purpose. The majority of the measures (72.0 percent) were for educational purposes.




Figure 4 further illustrates the breakdown, by purpose, of those measures that were approved.




Figure 5 shows the total dollar amounts of all general obligation (GO) bond measures by purpose. There were no GO bond measures for capital improvements or general government.

## FIGURE 5 TOTAL DOLLAR AMOUNTS OF GENERAL OBLIGATION BOND MEASURES, BY PURPOSE 2006 PRIMARY ELECTION (Dollars in Thousands)

	Capital Improvements	Education	General Government	Miscellaneous	Public Health and Safety	Total
Passed	\$0	\$4,409,270	\$0	\$0	\$247,000	\$4,656,270
Failed	0	2,733,300	0	600,000	596,000	3,929,300
Total	<b>\$</b> 0	\$7,142,570	\$0	\$600,000	\$843,000	\$8,585,570

#### A. Capital Improvements

There were three local capital improvement measures on the 2006 Primary Election ballot. Each of those measures was a special tax, which required two-thirds voter approval, and the funds were slated for street improvements. Only one measure passed, receiving 71.1 percent voter approval. This tax measure will impose a \$96.00 parcel tax per equivalent residential units in the City of Albany (Alameda County). Specifically, the funds will be used for city-wide street paving and storm drain facility repairs and improvements.

The two measures that did not receive voter approval are as follows:

- Lake County: A 1/2 cent sales tax for roads in the City of Clearlake.
- San Bernardino County: A 1/2 cent sales tax increase for ten years in the City of Big Bear Lake to repair/maintain residential roads, fix potholes to improve neighborhood traffic conditions and road safety, and to improve the drainage system to prevent flooding and damage.

#### B. Education

Voters were presented with 77 local government education tax and bond measures and one statewide measure in the 2006 Primary Election, which accounted for 71.6 percent of all measures offered. Education measures on the 2006 Primary Election ballot decreased 13.5 percent from the 89 education measures that were on the 2004 Primary Election.

Of the 78 education measures, 71 were for GO bonds, six were special tax (parcel tax) measures, and one was a statewide income tax measure for voluntary preschool for all children four years old. Forty-two out of 71 GO bond measures received voter approval (59.2 percent). The income tax and parcel tax measures failed; one of the failed parcel tax measures would have provided funds for teacher recruitment if the measure had passed.

GO bond measures accounted for 91.0 percent of the education measures on the 2006 Primary Election ballot. Three of the GO bond measures, which were for K-12 school facilities, required two-thirds voter approval and the remaining GO bond measures required 55 percent voter approval. Of the \$7.1 billion in education GO bond issuance proposed, voters approved \$4.4 billion. The approved GO bond measures amounts ranged from as little as \$850,000 for K-12 school facilities to as much as \$490.8 million for college facilities.

There were 13 GO bond measures for community college facilities, of which voters approved seven (53.8 percent). The largest GO bond measure (\$490.8 million) was for the Foothill-De Anza Community College District in Santa Clara County. This measure required 55 percent approval and passed with 65.7 percent of the vote.

#### C. General Government

There were six general government measures on the 2006 Primary ballot (5.5 percent), a decrease of 64.7 percent from the 17 general government measures on the 2004 Primary Election ballot. Of the six general government measures, voters approved three. The types of proposals on the 2006 Primary Election ballot included transient occupancy taxes and sales taxes. The following are the measures that were approved by voters:

- An increase in the transient occupancy tax from 7 percent to 10 percent in the City of Calexico (Imperial County).
- A one percent sales tax for ten years in the City of National City (San Diego County).
- An extension of a 1/2 cent sales tax in the City of Woodland (Yolo County).

#### D. Public Health and Safety

Twelve measures for public health and safety appeared on the 2006 Primary Election ballot. Ten of the measures were special tax and two were for general obligation bonds; all 12 measures required two-thirds voter approval to pass. In the 2004 Primary, 11 of the 28 public health and safety measures (39.3 percent) were approved compared to 5 of the 12 measures (41.7 percent) approved by voters in the 2006 Primary Election, an increase in the approval rate of 6.1 percent. The following is a list of the measures that passed:

#### Hospital/Healthcare Facilities:

- A parcel tax of \$36 per parcel for the Cloverdale Health Care District (Sonoma County).
- An \$247 million GO Bond for the Grossmont Healthcare District (San Diego County).

#### Public Health:

 A parcel tax of \$225 per year, an increase of \$75 per year for the Surprise Valley Hospital District (Modoc County).

#### Public Safety:

- A parcel tax of \$75 per parcel for the Bear Valley Community Services District (Kern County).
- A parcel tax of \$100 per year per unimproved parcel not to exceed \$300 per year for the Hayfork Fire Protection District (Trinity County).

Four of the seven measures that were not approved received more than 60 percent of the vote and one measure received over 50 percent of the vote.

#### E. Miscellaneous Projects

There were nine local miscellaneous projects measures, which include transportation, street improvements, libraries, beach/coastal maintenance, city parks and swimming pools, and a \$600 million statewide GO bond measure for public libraries. Four of the 10 measures (40.0 percent) were approved by voters, an increase of 80.2 percent in the approval rate from the 2004 Primary Election ballot. Measures that receive voter approval include a general tax measure that required a majority vote and nine special tax measures that required two-thirds voter approval. The following measures were approved by voters:

- A parcel tax of \$24 per equivalent residential unit for a library in the City of Albany (Alameda County).
- A transient occupancy tax (general tax) increase from 10 percent to 13 percent for transportation in the City of Mammoth Lakes (Mono County).
- A transient occupancy tax increase from 10 percent to 13 percent over a three-year period in the City of Solana Beach (San Diego County). Two-thirds of the increased tax revenue will be allocated to Sand Replenishment/Retention and Coastal Access Capital Projects, and 1/3 of the increased tax revenue will be allocated to Coastal Area Business/Visitor Assistance and Enhancements.
- A parcel tax of \$49 per year extended for six years in the City of Davis (Yolo County).

Four of the six measures that did not gain approval received over 50 percent voter approval and two of those measures received over 60 percent voter approval.

#### IV. SUMMARY OF STATE AND LOCAL BOND AND TAX MEASURES BY TYPE

As shown in Figure 6, state and local bond and tax measures are divided into four categories: GO bonds, general tax, income tax, and special tax measures. Figure 6 summarizes the results of the measures by type.

FIGURE 6
RESULTS OF BOND AND TAX MEASURES, BY TYPE
2006 PRIMARY ELECTION

	General Obligation Bonds	General Tax	Income Tax	Special Tax	Total
Passed	43	4	0	8	55
Failed	31	3	1	19	54
Total	74	7	1	27	109
% Passing	58.1%	57.1%	0.0%	29.6%	50.5%

#### A. Bonds

#### 1. State General Obligation Bond

Voters failed to approve the California Reading and Literacy Improvement and Public Library Construction and Renovation bond measure, which received 47.2 percent of the vote. A majority of voters in 14 out of 58 counties (24.1 percent) voted to approve the bond, while a majority of voters in 44 counties (75.9 percent) voted against the measure. The bond measure, summarized below, needed a simple majority approval for passage.

Proposition 81: California Reading and Literacy Improvement and Public Library Construction and Renovation Bond Act of 2006. If this measure had been approved by voters, a bond in the amount of \$600 million would have been issued to fund grants for any city, county, city and county, or library district to construct and renovate public library facilities. Local agencies would have had to contribute approximately \$320 million of their own funds. This program would have expanded access to reading and literacy programs in the State's public education system and access to public library services for all residents of California. The funds could not have been used for books and other library materials, certain administrative project costs, interest costs or other charges for financing the project, or ongoing operating costs of the project.

In 2000, voters approved Proposition 14, which provided \$350 million in general obligation bond funds for library projects. If Proposition 81 had been approved, first priority would have been given to eligible projects that were not funded under the 2000 Library Bond Act.

#### 2. Local General Obligation Bonds

Forty-three of the 73 local GO bonds were approved. This 58.9 percent approval rate is a 30.2 percent decrease from the 84.4 percent GO bond approval rate in the 2004 Primary Election. The 43 approved local GO bond measures totaled \$4.6 billion. Fifty-nine of the 73 local GO bond measures (80.8 percent) were for K-12 educational facilities and programs. Twelve measures were for community college facilities (16.4 percent), and the remaining two measures (2.7 percent) were for health care facilities.

Of the 59 local GO bond measures for K-12 school facilities, voters approved 35 (59.3 percent). Seven of the 12 community college GO bond measures were passed (58.3 percent). For the 2006 Primary Election, 59.2 percent of all education GO bonds passed, compared to the 89.6 percent approval rate in the 2004 Primary Election, a decrease of 33.9 percent.

One of the two proposed health care measures passed with 77.7 percent voter approval. The health care measure that was not approved received 65.9 percent approval, just 0.8 percent short of the two-thirds voter approval requirement.

#### B. Tax Measures

#### 1. State Tax Measure

There was one State tax measure that received 39.1 percent of the vote. This income tax measure, which did not receive voter approval, was for preschool education. The following is a summary of the tax measure, which required a simple majority approval for passage:

Proposition 82: Preschool Education. Tax on Incomes over \$400,000 for Individuals; \$800,000 for Couples. Initiative Constitutional Amendment and Statute. Proposition 82 would have established a right to voluntary preschool education for all four-year olds beginning in 2010. The program would have been offered for at least three hours per day for 180 days a year. Funds for this program would have been provided by a 1.7 percent tax on individual income over \$400,000 and couples' income over \$800,000. In addition to other requirements, this proposition would have required the state Superintendent of Public Instruction to develop a preschool teaching credential with financial aid.

#### 2. Local Tax Measures

A total of 34 local tax measures appeared on the 2006 Primary Election ballot compared to 87 local tax measures on the 2004 Primary Election ballot, a decrease of 60.9 percent. Twelve of the 34 local tax measures (35.3 percent) passed, which is lower than the 42.5 percent approval rate for the 2004 Primary Election.

Twenty-eight of the 34 local tax measures (82.4 percent) were for special taxes; 27 required two-thirds voter approval and one required a simple majority. Voters approved 8 of the 28 special tax measures (28.6 percent). The following are the

special tax measures that had the highest passage rate (78.1 percent, 72.3 percent, and 71.1 percent, respectively):

- A parcel tax of \$225 per year, for public health, for the Surprise Valley Hospital District (Modoc County).
- A parcel tax of \$24 per year per equivalent residential unit, for a public library, in the City of Albany (Alameda County).
- A parcel tax of \$96 per year per equivalent residential unit, for street/storm drain improvements, in the City of Albany (Alameda County).

Of the failed special tax measures, the measure that received the fewest votes (35.6 percent) was a parcel tax of \$11 per parcel in Amador County. The funds would have been used for West Nile Virus control.

Six of the 34 local tax measures (17.6 percent) were for general taxes; four of the six measures (66.7 percent) received voter approval. Each of the general tax measures required a simple majority for approval. All of the measures were for general government purposes except one, which was for transportation. The transportation measure passed with 59.0 percent of the votes and the funds will be acquired through a transient occupancy tax of 13 percent.

#### V. COUNTIES REPORTING NO LOCAL BOND OR TAX MEASURES

Twelve out of 58 counties did not report any local bond or tax measures. Those counties are Alpine, Calaveras, Colusa, Humboldt, Lassen, Mendocino, Nevada, Plumas, San Francisco, Sierra, Siskiyou, and Tehama.

TABLE A-1 SUMMARY OF STATE BOND AND TAX MEASURES PRIMARY ELECTION JUNE 6, 2006

Type of Tax/Debt	Amount of Tax/Debt	Purpose	Proposition Number	% Yes	% No	Result
GO Bond	\$600,000,000	Public Libraries	81	47.2	52.8	Fail
Personal Income Tax	1.7% of taxable income over \$400,000 for an individual and \$800,000 for a couple.	Preschool	82	39.1	60.9	Fail

Source: California Secretary of State's Office

Table A-2 Summary of Local Bond and Tax Measures Primary Election June 6, 2006<sup>1</sup>

County	Agency	Type of Tax/Deb	t Amount of Bond/Tax	Purpose	Measure	% Yes	% No	Result
Alameda	City of Albany	Special Tax	Parcel Tax: \$24 per equivalent residential unit.	Public Library	G	72.34	27.66	Pass
Alameda	City of Albany	Special Tax	Parcel Tax: \$96 per equivalent residential unit.	Street/Storm Drain Improvements	F	71.13	28.87	Pass
Alameda	Oakland Unified School District	GO Bond	\$435,000,000	K-12 School Facilities	В	77.97	22.03	Pass
Alameda	Peralta Community College	GO Bond	\$390,000,000	College Facilities	Α	75.66	24.34	Pass
Alameda	Tracy Joint Unified School District	GO Bond	\$51,000,000	K-12 School Facilities	Е	72.22	27.78	Pass
Amador	Amador County Vector Control District	Special Tax	Parcel Tax: \$11 per parcel beginning fiscal year 2007-08. This parcel tax is subject to an inflation adjustment not to exceed 5 percent annually.	West Nile Virus Control	J	35.56	64.44	Fail
Butte	Oroville City Elementary School District	GO Bond	\$10,000,000	K-12 School Facilities	Α	45.47	54.53	Fail
Butte/Yuba	Marysville Joint Unified School District	GO Bond	\$37,000,000	K-12 School Facilities	Н	57.33	42.67	Pass
Contra Costa	Byron Union School District	GO Bond	\$19,700,000	K-12 School Facilities	С	58.44	41.56	Pass
Contra Costa	Contra Costa Community College District	GO Bond	\$286,500,000	College Facilities	Α	57.18	42.82	Pass
Contra Costa	John Swett Unified School District	Special Tax	Parcel Tax: \$70 per year on each parcel of taxable real property in the District; \$170 per acre of heavy industrial property for five years.	K-12 School Programs	В	64.46	35.54	Fail
Del Norte	Del Norte County	Special Tax	Sales Tax: Increase 1/4 of 1 percent, to expire after eight years.	Public Library	Α	65.98	34.02	Fail
El Dorado	Mother Lode Union Elementary School District	GO Bond	\$11,400,000	K-12 School Facilities	Α	53.34	46.66	Fail
El Dorado	Sierra Joint Community College School Facilities Improvement District 3	GO Bond	\$78,200,000	College Facilities	В	42.18	57.82	Fail
Fresno	Kings Canyon Unified School District	GO Bond	\$32,000,000	K-12 School Facilities	С	54.06	45.94	Fail
Fresno	Sanger Unified School District	GO Bond	\$30,800,000	K-12 School Facilities	S	64.70	35.30	Pass
Fresno/Kings/ Madera/ Monterey/ San Benito	West Hills Community College District	GO Bond	\$49,400,000	College Facilities	B/E <sup>2</sup>	49.54	50.46	Fail

Table A-2 Summary of Local Bond and Tax Measures Primary Election June 6, 2006<sup>1</sup>

County	Agency	Type of Tax/Debt	Amount of Bond/Tax	Purpose	Measure	% Yes	% No	Result
Fresno/Kings/ Tulare	Kingsburg Joint Union High School District	GO Bond	\$9,900,000	K-12 School Facilities	K	58.27	41.73	Pass
Glenn	Glenn County	General Tax	Transient Occupancy Tax: Increase from 5 percent to 10 percent.	General Government	E	41.70	58.30	Fail
Imperial	City of Calexico	General Tax	Transient Occupancy Tax: Increase from 7 percent to 10 percent.	General Government	R	52.29	47.71	Pass
Inyo	Inyo County	Special Tax	Transient Occupancy Tax: Increase 3 percent.	Public Safety	Α	51.60	48.40	Fail
Kern	Antelope Valley High School District	GO Bond	\$177,500,000	K-12 School Facilities	E-1	52.40	47.60	Fail
Kern	Bear Valley Community Services District	Special Tax	Parcel Tax: \$75 on each parcel of land; with future increases subject to approval by two-thirds of voters in the District.	Public Safety	F	70.43	29.57	Pass
Kern	Beardsley School District	GO Bond	\$10,300,000	K-12 School Facilities	В	60.60	39.40	Pass
Kern	Fruitvale School District	GO Bond	\$29,800,000	K-12 School Facilities	С	56.30	43.70	Pass
Kern	Sierra Sands Unified School District	GO Bond	\$50,500,000	K-12 School Facilities	А	61.16	38.84	Pass
Kern	Standard School District	GO Bond	\$28,000,000	K-12 School Facilities	D	64.81	35.19	Pass
Lake	City of Clearlake	Special Tax	Sales Tax: 1/2 cent sales tax for roads.	Street Improvements	L	57.10	42.90	Fail
Los Angeles	Antelope Valley Joint Union High School District	GO Bond	\$177,500,000	K-12 School Facilities	E	52.43	47.57	Fail
Los Angeles	City of El Monte	Special Tax	Parcel Tax: \$120 per year for each residential dwelling unit; \$132 per parcel contained within their non-residential property plus 6 cents (\$0.06) per each square foot of building square footage not to exceed \$15,000 per year. Tax will expire at the close of FY 2012-2013.	Public Safety	Р	60.68	39.32	Fail
Los Angeles	Covina-Valley Unified School District	GO Bond	\$66,000,000	K-12 School Facilities	С	69.00	31.00	Pass
Los Angeles	Hermosa Beach City School District	GO Bond	\$13,100,000	K-12 School Facilities	А	50.37	49.63	Fail
Los Angeles	Las Virgenes Unified School District	GO Bond	\$128,000,000	K-12 School Facilities	G	64.24	35.76	Pass
Los Angeles	Monrovia Unified School District	GO Bond	\$45,000,000	K-12 School Facilities	М	67.11	32.89	Pass
Los Angeles	Torrance Unified School District	GO Bond	\$280,000,000	K-12 School Facilities	Т	53.57	46.43	Fail
Los Angeles	Westside Union School District	GO Bond	\$67,500,000	K-12 School Facilities	К	52.51	47.49	Fail
Los Angeles/ Orange	Rowland Unified School District	GO Bond	\$118,000,000	K-12 School Facilities	R	70.40	29.60	Pass

Table A-2 Summary of Local Bond and Tax Measures Primary Election June 6, 2006<sup>1</sup>

County	Agency	Type of Tax/Deb	Amount of Bond/Tax	Purpose	Measure	% Yes	% No	Result
Los Angeles/ San Bernardino	Snowline Joint Unified School District	GO Bond	\$108,000,000	K-12 School Facilities	1	53.74	46.26	Fail
Madera	Golden Valley Unified School District	GO Bond	\$70,000,000	K-12 School Facilities	S	58.20	41.80	Pass
Madera/ Mariposa	Bass Lake Joint Union Elementary School District	GO Bond	\$15,000,000	K-12 School Facilities	R	62.36	37.64	Pass
Marin	Ross School District	GO Bond	\$15,000,000	K-12 School Facilities	В	78.90	21.10	Pass
Marin	Tamalpais Union High School District	GO Bond	\$79,920,000	K-12 School Facilities	Α	66.88	33.12	Pass
Mariposa	Mariposa Unified School District	GO Bond	\$16,000,000	K-12 School Facilities	1	49.30	50.70	Fail
Merced	Merced County	Special Tax	Sales Tax: 1/2 cent increase for 30 years.	Transportation	Α	62.81	37.19	Fail
Merced	Weaver School District	GO Bond	\$9,800,000	K-12 School Facilities	В	62.71	37.29	Pass
Modoc	City of Alturas	Special Tax	Water Meter Rate: Supplemental charge on water rates of \$1 for mosquito abatement.	Public Health	1	60.10	39.90	Fail
Modoc	Surprise Valley Hospital District	Special Tax	Parcel Tax: \$225 per year, an increase of \$75 per year.	Public Health	J	78.13	21.87	Pass
Mono	City of Mammoth Lakes	General Tax	Sales Tax: 1/2 cent sales tax increase.	General Government	S	44.00	56.00	Fail
Mono	City of Mammoth Lakes	General Tax	Transient Occupancy Tax: Increase from 10 percent to 13 percent.	Transportation	Т	59.00	41.00	Pass
Monterey	Monterey County	Special Tax	Sales Tax: 1/2 of one percent for 14 years.	Transportation	Α	57.13	42.87	Fail
Monterey	Pacific Grove Unified School District	GO Bond	\$42,000,000	K-12 School Facilities	D	65.60	34.40	Pass
Monterey	Paso Robles Unified School	GO Bond	\$20,000,000	K-12 School Facilities	Н	60.00	40.00	Pass
Monterey	San Luis Obispo County Community College District	GO Bond	\$310,000,000	College Facilities	G	60.31	39.69	Pass
Monterey/ Santa Cruz/ San Benito	Aromas - San Juan Unified School District	GO Bond	\$23,500,000	K-12 School Facilities	N	49.89	50.11	Fail
Napa	Napa County	Special Tax	Sales Tax: 1/2 percent sales tax for thirty years beginning Jan. 1, 2007.	Transportation	Н	52.65	47.35	Fail
Placer	Alta-Dutch Flat Union School District	Special Tax	Parcel Tax: \$52.00 per year per parcel for four years beginning July 1, 2006.	K-12 School Programs/Facilities	Е	63.31	36.69	Fail
Placer	Grant School	GO Bond	\$230,000,000	K-12 School Facilities	G	70.91	29.09	Pass

Table A-2 Summary of Local Bond and Tax Measures Primary Election June 6, 2006<sup>1</sup>

County	Agency	Type of Tax/Debt	Amount of Bond/Tax	Purpose	Measure	% Yes	% No	Result
Placer	Sierra College School Facilities Improvement District No. 3	GO Bond	\$78,200,000	College Facilities	В	56.33	43.67	Pass
Riverside	Banning Unified School District	GO Bond	\$63,000,000	K-12 School Facilities	Н	52.98	47.02	Fail
Riverside	Hemet Unified School District	GO Bond	\$149,000,000	K-12 School Facilities	С	53.12	46.88	Fail
Riverside	Mt. San Jacinto Community College District	GO Bond	\$720,000,000	College Facilities	G	54.60	45.40	Fail
Riverside	Murrieta Valley Unified School District	GO Bond	\$120,000,000	College Facilities	Е	57.38	42.62	Pass
Riverside	Nuview Union School District	GO Bond	\$39,600,000	K-12 School Facilities	D	56.39	43.61	Pass
Sacramento	Grant Joint Union High School District	GO Bond	\$230,000,000	K-12 School Facilities	G	62.65	37.35	Pass
Sacramento	Natomas Unified School District	GO Bond	\$145,500,000	K-12 School Facilities	D	62.00	38.00	Pass
Sacramento	Natomas Unified School District	Special Tax	Parcel Tax: \$96 per parcel per year.	K-12 School Programs	Е	56.99	43.01	Fail
Sacramento	North Sacramento School District	GO Bond	\$17,500,000	K-12 School Facilities	С	69.29	30.71	Pass
Sacramento	Sierra Joint Community College District	GO Bond	\$78,200,000	College Facilities	В	54.22	45.78	Fail
San Benito/ Santa Clara	North County Joint Union School District	GO Bond	\$3,350,000	K-12 School Facilities	М	57.00	43.00	Pass
San Bernardino	City of Big Bear Lake	Special Tax	Sales Tax: Increase by 1/2 cent for ten years.	Street Improvements/ Traffic	G	60.08	39.92	Fail
San Bernardino	Fontana School District	GO Bond	\$275,000,000	K-12 School Facilities	С	65.50	34.50	Pass
San Bernardino	Needles Unified School District	GO Bond	\$7,500,000	K-12 School Facilities	J	53.49	46.51	Fail
San Bernardino	Sierra Sands School	GO Bond	\$50,500,000	K-12 School Facilities	Α	51.06	48.94	Fail
San Diego	City of National City	General Tax	Sales Tax: Impose a 1 percent tax for up to ten years.	General Government	D	59.01	40.99	Pass
San Diego	City of Solana Beach	Special Tax	Transient Occupancy Tax: Increase from 10 percent to 13 percent over a three-year period; 2/3 of the increased tax revenue to be allocated to Sand Replenishment/Retention and Coastal Access Capital Projects, and 1/3 of the increased tax revenue to be allocated to Coastal Area Business/Visitor Assistance and Enhancements.	Beach/Coastal Maintenance	E	68.38	31.62	Pass

Table A-2 Summary of Local Bond and Tax Measures Primary Election June 6, 2006<sup>1</sup>

County	Agency	Type of Tax/Debt	Amount of Bond/Tax	Purpose	Measure	% Yes	% No	Result
San Diego	Grossmont Healthcare District	GO Bond	\$247,000,000	Hospital/Health Care Facilities	G	77.68	22.32	Pass
San Diego	Rancho Santa Fe Elementary School District	GO Bond	\$44,500,000	K-12 School Facilities	Н	51.49	48.51	Fail
San Diego	Tri-City Healthcare District	GO Bond	\$596,000,000	Hospital/Health Care Facilities	F	65.91	34.09	Fail
San Joaquin	Tracy Joint Unified School District	GO Bond	\$51,000,000	K-12 School Facilities	Е	67.91	32.09	Pass
San Joaquin/ Stanislaus	Oakdale Joint Unified School District	GO Bond	\$37,000,000	K-12 School Facilities	R	45.57	54.43	Fail
San Luis Obispo	Cambria Community Healthcare District	Special Tax	Parcel Tax: Assessment increase from \$7 to \$25 per unimproved parcel per year and from \$20 to \$85 per improved parcel per year, on all real property (except that of federal, state, or local governmental agency) within the boundaries of the Tri-City Healthcare District.	Hospital/Health Care Facilities	F06	64.19	35.81	Fail
San Luis Obispo/ Monterey	Paso Robles Joint Unified School District	GO Bond	\$20,000,000	K-12 School Facilities	H06	54.71	45.29	Fail
San Luis Obispo/ Monterey	San Luis Obispo County Community College District	GO Bond	\$310,000,000	College Facilities	G06	46.21	53.79	Fail
San Luis Obispo/ Santa Barbara/ Ventura	Allan Hancock Community College District	GO Bond	\$180,000,000	College Facilities	106	57.51	42.49	Pass
San Mateo	Cabrillo Unified School District	Special Tax	Parcel Tax: \$175 on improved parcels, \$30 a year on unimproved parcels for five years.	K-12 School Teacher Recruitment	S	62.61	37.39	Fail
San Mateo	Menlo Park City Elementary School District	GO Bond	\$91,100,000	K-12 School Facilities	U	70.58	29.42	Pass
Santa Barbara	Solvang School District	GO Bond	\$11,650,000	K-12 School Facilities	J2006	61.62	38.38	Pass
Santa Clara	County of Santa Clara	Special Tax	Sales Tax: A one-half cent sales tax for 30 years.	General Government	Α	42.87	57.13	Fail
Santa Clara	Foothill-De Anza Community College District	GO Bond	\$490,800,000	College Facilities	С	65.69	34.31	Pass
Santa Clara	Morgan Hill Unified School District	Special Tax	Parcel Tax: \$96 per parcel per year for five years beginning July 1, 2006.	K-12 School Programs/Facilities	Е	55.50	44.50	Fail
Santa Cruz	Scotts Valley Fire Protection District	GO Bond	\$8,000,000	K-12 School Facilities	F	65.20	34.80	Fail

Table A-2 **Summary of Local Bond and Tax Measures** Primary Election
June 6, 2006<sup>1</sup>

County	Agency	Type of Tax/Deb	t Amount of Bond/Tax	Purpose	Measure	% Yes	% No	Result
Shasta	Anderson Union High School District	GO Bond	\$25,000,000	K-12 School Facilities	Α	45.95	54.05	Fail
Shasta	Gateway Unified School District	GO Bond	\$14,500,000	K-12 School Facilities	В	51.83	48.17	Fail
Solano	Benicia Unified School District	Special Tax	Parcel Tax: \$254 per parcel per year for five years.	K-12 School Programs	1	54.26	45.74	Fail
Solano	Solano Transportation Authority	Special Tax	Sales Tax: 1/2 cent sales tax for 30 years.	Transportation/Street Improvements	Н	45.57	54.43	Fail
Sonoma	Cloverdale Health Care District	Special Tax	Parcel Tax: Increase the levy of a special tax on each assessor's parcel of real property within the district to \$36 per parcel per year from the current \$18 beginning July 1, 2006.	Hospital/Health Care Facilities	D	67.50	32.50	Pass
Sutter	Franklin School District	GO Bond	\$2,000,000	K-12 School Facilities	Р	62.43	37.57	Pass
Trinity	Hayfork Fire Protection District	Special Tax	Parcel Tax: \$100.00 per year per unimproved parcel not to exceed \$300 per year.	Public Safety	F	70.77	29.23	Pass
Tulare	Kings Canyon Joint Unified School District	GO Bond	\$32,000,000	K-12 School Facilities	С	46.94	53.06	Fail
Tulare	Kings River Union Elementary School District	GO Bond	\$850,000	K-12 School Facilities	N	56.40	43.60	Pass
Tulare	Visalia Unified School	GO Bond	\$41,000,000	K-12 School Facilities	M	51.61	48.39	Fail
Tuolumne	Tuolumne County	Special Tax	Sales Tax: Increase tax $1/2$ of 1 percent (from 7.75 percent to 8.25 percent).	Public Safety	Р	45.40	54.60	Fail
Ventura	Las Virgenes Unified School District	GO Bond	\$128,000,000	K-12 School Facilities	G6	60.99	39.01	Pass
Ventura	Oak Park Unified School District	GO Bond	\$89,000,000	K-12 School Facilities	В6	59.96	40.04	Fail
Ventura	Oak Park Unified School District	GO Bond	\$17,500,000	K-12 School Facilities	C6	60.09	39.91	Pass
Yolo	City of Davis	Special Tax	Parcel Tax: Extend \$49/year parcel tax for six years.	City Parks and Swimming Pools	G	70.41	29.59	Pass
Yolo	City of Woodland	General Tax	Sales Tax: Extend 1/2 cent sales tax.	General Government	Е	63.08	36.92	Pass

Source: County election official internet sites supplemented by telephone inquiries. Information is accurate as of July 14, 2006.

<sup>1</sup> Bold figures indicate school bonds requiring 2/3 voter approval. All other school bonds require 55 percent voter approval.

<sup>2</sup> The West Hills Community College District was listed as Measure E for Monterey County, but Measure B for Fresno, Kings, Madera, and San Benito Counties.

CALIFORNIA DEBT AND INVESTMENT ADVISORY COMMISSION

915 Capitol Mall, Room 400 Sacramento, CA 95814 Phone: (916) 653-3269 Fax: (916) 654-7440

Fax: (916) 654-7440
E-mail: cdiac@treasurer.ca.gov
Website: www.treasurer.ca.gov/cdiac