


## 

## Establishes the rationale for a comprehensive debt structure and periodic

## transactions

The cornerstone for future debt transactions and current hedging strategies

```
Long Term Financial Plan
```

- Driven by project readiness
- Transparent equity across programs
- Pay-go used first, then borrowing if needed


## Debt Structure

Optimization

- Optimizes program flexibility
- Minimizes borrowing costs
- Preserves cash for pay-go capital requirements

Transaction
Execution

Bond documents designed for future flexibility

- Coverage land reserves levels to meet market requirements
- Bond financing executed in 12 weeks
- Accelerate project construction as needed
- Maintain equity across programs

The Financial Plan introduces a broad range of analytic services

## FINANCING RECCOMENDATION

Planning Model/Alternatives Analysis


PFM's Suite of Analytical Tools and Models

## * $7^{2}$

$>$ Governing Bodies

* Long-term road map establishes a sense of "ownership"
* Oversight - stakeholders
$>$ Taxpayers/Ratepayers
* How will taxes/fees be used?
* Any tax/fee increases needed to complete program?
$>$ Investors want to know:
\& How much debt issued now?
* Additional debt to complete program? When?
- Ability to maintain credit quality


## 

> Rating Agencies want you to...

* Demonstrate debt capacity and affordability to meet planned borrowings
* Demonstrate a rational plan to meet upcoming capital needs and the role that additional borrowing will play
"Fitch believes that debt affordability is best viewed in the context of a comprehensive assessment of capital needs. Although a government may not have the financial or operational means to fund all desired projects, identifying those projects creates a basis for prioritizing and seeking possible funding sources for them. Quantifying the amount of debt the tax base can support enables an entity to determine the scope and limits of immediate, medium-term, and long-term capital plans."
- FitchRatings To Bond or Not To Bond: Debt Affordability

Guidelines and Their Impact on Credit 6/21/2005
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## *

$>$ Total CIP revenues through $2039=\$ 3.11$ billion

* Sales tax revenues $=\$ 1.76$ billion
$\nless 100 \%$ DIF revenues $=\$ 1.35$ billion
Revenues Available for CIP Program



## ค

$>$ Capital expenditures are estimated to total $\$ 4.5$ billion through 2025
*2007-2015 = $\$ 2.9$ billion

Projected Capital Expenditures: 2007-2025


Fiscal Year

## 

$>$ Local funding requirements are front loaded
$>$ "Other" unidentified funds $=\$ 1.5$ billion of total program revenues


## 

Local funding requirements total $\$ 1.67$ billion through 2025
\& $2007-2015=\$ 1.03$ billion
$>$ Local funding requirements outpace available revenues and requires bonding
Local Funding Requirements vs.
Available Revenues
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Fiscal Year

## 

$>$ Bonding strategy is introduced to meeting construction schedule

* $\$ 1.25$ billion in bonds are required through 2025

Capital Financing Plan


Detailed forward-looking borrowing needs provides context for hedging and structuring strategies

| Bond Issuance Overview |  |
| :---: | ---: |
| $\underline{\text { Year }}$ | Issuance Amount |
| 2007 | $\$ 104,545,000$ |
| 2008 | $\$ 68,530,000$ |
| 2009 | $\$ 318,200,000$ |
| 2011 | $\$ 389,930,000$ |
| 2013 | $\$ 87,985,000$ |
| 2015 | $\$ 79,750,000$ |
| 2017 | $\$ 104,225,000$ |
| 2019 | $\$ 146,120,000$ |
| 2022 | $\$ 126,705,000$ |
|  | $\$ 1,425,990,000$ |
| TOTAL |  |
| TOTAL Net of <br> refinancing |  |

## 

$>$ Policy consideration: accelerate projects vs. pay more interest costs

Total Program Debt Service


## 

$>$ Policy consideration: Sacrifice highest ratings and price competitiveness vs. accelerate projects

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \text { Total Program Debt Service Coverage } \\
& \text { Pledged Revenues }=65.5 \% \text { Sales Tax } \\
&=100 \% \text { DIF Fees }
\end{aligned}
$$




Annual Debt Service Coverage

## 

$>$ Bond financing costs $\$ 1.05$ billion in interest costs but allows the program to be accelerated with 75\% delivered by 2017 and 90\% delivered by 2021

Timing and Amount of Program Delivery
\$4.5 Billion Capital Program

Percent
Delivered


## 

$>100 \%$ pay-go financing delays program implementation and related transportation benefits and results in $\$ 500$ million in increased construction inflation costs

Timing and Amount of Program Delivery - No Bonds \$5.0 Billion Capital Program






$>$ The Tax Code and Treasury Regulations provide for several exceptions to the
arbitrage rebate requirements including:

Timing of Future Bond Issues

* The spending exceptions:
- 6-month spending exception
- 18-month spending exception
- 2-year spending exception

$>$ DSRF strategies can maximize available funds with the use of surety policies and can be used to offset negative arbitrage in construction fund
$>$ Borrowing costs can be lowered and risk effectively managed with long-term assetLiability strategy (i.e. balancing short-term assets with short-term liabilities)


## 

> Good long-range financial plan allows for . . . .
$\checkmark$ Proactive vs. reactive management
$\checkmark$ Methodology for capital replacement or capital maintenance
$\checkmark$ Continual dialogue with stakeholders
$\checkmark$ Responsiveness to market opportunities
$\checkmark$ Financial flexibility

