THE CALIFORNIA DEBT LIMIT ALLOCATION COMMITTEE

February 21, 2007

CONSIDERATION AND ADOPTION OF THE APPORTIONMENT OF THE 2007 STATE CEILING AMONG THE STATE CEILING POOLS

(Agenda Item No. 5.)

ACTION:

Establish the amounts for each of the State Ceiling Pools for the 2007 program year in accordance with Section 4. II. of the Committee's Procedures Implementing the Allocation of the State or Committee Ceiling on Qualified Private Activity Bonds.

BACKGROUND:

California's population as recently reported by the U.S. Census Bureau is 36,457,549, resulting in a State Ceiling for 2007 of \$3,098,891,665.

In accordance with the Procedures, at the beginning of each calendar year the Committee must establish and announce the amounts the Committee expects to be apportioned to each of the State Ceiling Pools and the amounts that are expected to be available in each of the allocation rounds for the 2007 year. In establishing the amounts for the pools, the Committee may consider the past year's results and legislative priorities.

The Committee continues to have legislative priorities to:

- 1. Promote housing for lower income families and individuals;
- 2. Preserve and rehabilitate existing governmental assisted housing for lower income families and individuals; and
- 3. Provide mortgage tax credits or reduced interest rate mortgages to assist teachers, principals, vice principals, assistant principals, and classified employees who are willing to serve in high priority schools to purchase a home.

To see past year's results, please see attached Exhibit A, Comparison of 2006 Pool Reservations and Proposed 2007 Pool Reservations.

DISCUSSION:

In making recommendations for 2007 pool amounts, staff surveyed key issuers to determine their estimated demand. The following list estimates demand and recommends the amount for each program pool:

Although staff has spoken with the major issuers for **Rental Projects**, it is difficult to determine the pipeline of demand for multi-family projects. Large and repeat program applicants anticipate demand on par with last year.

Staff recommends for the General Pool \$1,305,891,665 in 2007. Based on last years activity, staff recommends \$300 million for the Mixed Income Pool, and \$135 million for the Rural Project Pool.

At the end of the year if Rental Pool bond authority remains unallocated, or the Committee elects to transfer additional allocation to the Rental Pool, the Committee may then consider **Redevelopment Agency Housing Set-Aside Program** applications. Therefore, no allocation is recommended for the Housing Set-Aside Pool at this time.

Staff recommends setting the **Single Family Program Pool** at \$640 million. The major addition to this Pool is the CALVet single family housing program. HR 6111 approved on December 8, 2006 allows California Veterans for calendar year 2007 and prior to January 1, 2008 expanded program eligibility. During this one year window California Veterans are not required to be first time homebuyers and program eligibility is expanded to include all California Veterans with war time service. Prior to this eligibility expansion California Veteran eligibility was limited to Veterans that had war time service prior to 1977. Due to the increased Veteran program demand staff recommends \$140 million in allocation for the CALVet single family program. To accommodate the new Veteran's allocation staff is recommending the following allocation distribution for the remainder of the Single Family Program Pool. CalHFA \$310 million a reduction of \$60 million from their 2006 allocation. The Locals Single Family Pool of \$140 million and a Single Family Pool Bonus Allocation of \$50 million. The Bonus Pool will be allocated by the Committee based on Single Family program demand. The Extra Credit Teacher Program (ECTP) will not receive a 2007 allocation because that program has \$96,807,305 remaining from 2005 allocation and \$110 million remaining from 2006 allocation for a total allocation available for the Extra Credit Teachers Program of \$206,807,305. CalHFA the ECTP program administrator will continue to actively market that program with the existing allocation.

In 2006, **Industrial Development Bond** (IDB) demand totaled just over \$26 million. CIDFAC and the California I-Bank indicate that for 2007 IDB demand totals approximately \$100 million due to the change in tax law increasing the capital expenditure limit from \$10 million to \$20 million. This estimated demand amount includes both the Small Business Program (\$8 million) and the core IDB program (\$60 million). Therefore, staff is recommending \$68 million in allocation for the IDB pool. This recommendation has been discussed with and agreed to by CIDFAC and the California I-Bank staff.

In 2006, the **Exempt Facility Pool** amount was approximately \$396 million and allocations totaled over \$289 million in bond authority. CPCFA staff and the financial advisors working on Exempt Facility projects indicate that they expect high usage of bond authority in 2007. The 2007 pipeline for First Tier projects is \$500 million and Non-First Tier projects is approximately \$200 million. Staff anticipates that Exempt Facility demand for allocation will be greater than anticipated allocation. Therefore, staff recommends \$440 million bond authority for the Exempt Facility Pool and if usage of allocation is less than anticipated in other program areas, Exempt Facility projects may receive allocation later in the year. This recommendation has been discussed with and agreed to by CPCFA staff.

Staff is expecting the return of All Student Loan and Educational Financing Services, Inc. for allocation from the **Student Loan Program Pool** this year. This pool is competitive and it is anticipated that demand will exceed allocation. Staff recommends \$210,000,000 in the Student Loan Program Pool.

RECOMMENDATIONS:

Reservation amounts for the State Ceiling Pools:

Staff recommends reservation amounts that reflect the statutory emphasis on affordable housing. Staff recommends the following amounts for each of the State Ceiling Pools for 2007 (please see Exhibit A for more detailed information):

State Ceiling Pool	Reservation	Percent of Ceiling*	2006 Reservation
Qualified Residential Rental Project Pool	\$ 1,305,891,665	42.1%	\$1,200,000,000
Mixed Income Pool	300,000,000	9.7%	300,000,000
Rural Project Pool	135,000,000	4.4%	135,000,000
Sub-Total – Multifamily Projects	\$1,740,891,665	56.2 %	\$1,635,000,000
Single-Family Housing Program Pool	\$ 590,000,000	19.1%	\$500,000,000
Single-Family Housing Program Bonus Pool	\$50,000,000	1.6%	<u>0</u>
Sub-Total – Single-Family Programs	\$640,000,000	20.7%	\$500,000,000
Teacher Home Purchase Program Pool	(\$206,807,305)		\$110,000,000
Housing Total	\$2,380,891,665	<i>76.8%</i>	\$2,245,000,000
Small-Issue Industrial Development Project Pool	\$ 60,000,000	1.9%	\$25,000,000
IDB Small Business Program	8,000,000	0.3%	15,000,000
Sub-Total - Small-Issue Industrial Development	\$68,000,000	2.2%	\$40,000,000
Exempt Facility Project Pool	\$440,000,000	14.2%	\$395,571,760
Student Loan Program Pool	\$210,000,000	6.8%	210,000,000
Non-Housing Total	\$ 718,000,000	23.2%	605,571,760
GRAND TOTAL	\$3,098,891,665	100.0%	\$2,890,571,760

^{*}Percentages are rounded.

CALIFORNIA DEBT LIMIT ALLOCATION COMMITTEE

COMPARISON OF 2006 RESERVATIONS BY PROGRAM POOL AND PROPOSED 2007 STATE CEILING AND POOLS

2007 PROPOSED RESERVATIONS BY PROGRAM POOL:

2006 RESERVATIONS BY PROGRAM POOL:

	Initial <u>Reservations</u>	Initial % of Cap*	Final <u>Reservations</u>	Final % of Cap*	_Ceiling	Proposed 2007 Pool Reservations	% of <u>Cap*</u>	Amount Difference from 2006 Final <u>Reservations</u>
HOUSING					HOUSING			
Multifamily-General	\$1,200,000,000	41.5%	\$1,206,746,540	41.7%	Multifamily-General	\$1,305,891,665	42.1%	\$99,145,125
Multifamily-Mixed	300,000,000	10.4%	\$295,280,000	10.2%	Multifamily-Mixed	300,000,000	9.7%	4,720,000
Multifamily-Rural	<u>135,000,000</u>	<u>4.7%</u>	<u>\$98,104,503</u>	<u>3.4%</u>	Multifamily-Rural	135,000,000	4.4%	36,895,497
Multifamily Projects Subtotal:	\$1,635,000,000	56.6%	\$1,600,131,043	55.4%	Multifamily Projects Subtotal:	\$1,740,891,665	56.2%	\$140,760,622
Reserve Amt RDA Set Aside	<u>\$0</u>	0.0%	<u>\$0</u>	0.0%	Reserve Amt RDA Set Aside	<u>\$0</u>	0.0%	<u>\$0</u>
Multifamily Subtotal:	\$1,635,000,000	56.6%	\$1,600,131,043	55.4%	Multifamily Subtotal:	\$1,740,891,665	56.2%	\$140,760,622
Single-Family-CalHFA	\$250,000,000	8.6%	\$250,000,000	8.6%	Single-Family-CalHFA	\$310,000,000	10.0%	\$60,000,000
Single-Family-Locals	250,000,000	8.6%	\$139,751,988	4.8%	Single-Family-Locals	140,000,000	4.5%	248,012
Single-Family-CalVET					Single-Family-CalVET	140,000,000	4.5%	\$140,000,000
Single-Family-Bonus	<u>0</u>	<u>0.0%</u>	<u>0</u>	<u>0.0%</u>	Single-Family-Bonus	50,000,000	<u>1.6%</u>	<u>0</u>
Single-Family Subt:*	\$500,000,000	17.3%	\$389,751,988	13.5%	Single-Family Subt:	<u>\$640,000,000</u>	<u>20.7%</u>	<u>\$200,248,012</u>
Extra Credit Program	<u>\$110,000,000</u>	3.8%	<u>\$110,000,000</u>	3.8%	Extra Credit Program *	•	0.0%	
Housing Total:	\$2,245,000,000	77.7%	\$2,099,883,031	72.6%	Housing Total:	\$2,380,891,665	76.8%	\$341,008,634
NON-HOUSING					NON-HOUSING			
Industrial Dvlpmnt	\$25,000,000	0.9%	\$26,463,000	0.9%	Industrial Dvlpmnt	\$60,000,000	1.9%	\$33,537,000
Small Business Program	\$15,000,000	0.5%	\$6,300,000	0.2%	Small Business Program	8,000,000	0.3%	\$1,700,000
Exempt Facility	395,571,760	13.7%	\$289,300,000	10.0%	Exempt Facility	440,000,000	14.2%	150,700,000
Student Loan	210,000,000	7.3%	<u>\$210,000,000</u>	<u>7.3%</u>	Student Loan	210,000,000	<u>6.8%</u>	<u>0</u>
Non-Housing Total:	\$645,571,760	22.3%	\$532,063,000	18.4%	Non-Housing Total:	\$718,000,000	23.2%	\$185,937,000
**Carryforward to CalHFA	\$0	0.0%	\$258,625,729	<u>8.9%</u>				
GRAND TOTAL	\$2,890,571,760	100.0%	\$2,890,571,760	100.0%	GRAND TOTAL:	\$3,098,891,665	100.0%	\$208,319,905

^{*} Percentages are rounded.

2005 \$96,807,305 2006 \$110,000,000 Total \$206,807,305

^{**} On December 13, 2006, the Committee approved the transfer and award, on a carryforward basis, of the allocation that is available on December 31, 2006 to the Single Family Housing Program Pool for the CA Housing Finance Agency.

^{*} Allocation available for Extra Credit Program=

CALIFORNIA DEBT LIMIT ALLOCATION COMMITTEE

PRELIMINARY 2007 COUNTY FAIR SHARE AMOUNTS FOR LOCAL ISSUERS OF SINGLE-FAMILY HOUSING PROGRAMS

COUNTY	2006 COUNTY POPULATION	COUNTY % OF STATE POPULATION	2007 COUNTY FAIR SHARE AMOUNTS	
CALIFORNIA	37,444,385	100.0000000%	\$140,000,000	
ALAMEDA	1,514,909	4.0457575%	\$5,664,060	
ALPINE	1,256	0.0033543%	\$4,696	
AMADOR	38,377	0.1024907%	\$143,487	
BUTTE	216,961	0.5794220%	\$811,191	
CALAVERAS	45,928	0.1226566%	\$171,719	
COLUSA	21,766	0.0581289%	\$81,380	
CONTRA COSTA	1,034,874	2.7637628%	\$3,869,268	
DEL NORTE	29,328	0.0783242%	\$109,654	
EL DORADO	177,909	0.4751286%	\$665,180	
FRESNO	909,399	2.4286659%	\$3,400,132	
GLENN	28,767	0.0768259%	\$107,556	
HUMBOLDT	131,361	0.3508163%	\$491,143	
IMPERIAL	169,888	0.4537075%	\$635,191	
INYO	18,327	0.0489446%	\$68,522	
KERN	796,331	2.1267034%	\$2,977,385	
KINGS	149,758	0.3999478%	\$559,927	
LAKE	64,018	0.1709682%	\$239,356	
LASSEN	36,515	0.0975180%	\$136,525	
LOS ANGELES	10,292,723	27.4880279%	\$38,483,239	
County of Los Angeles	[6,309,221]	[61.2978763%]	[\$23,589,408]	
City of Los Angeles	[3,983,502]	[38.7021236%]	[\$14,893,831]	
MADERA	147,201	0.3931190%	\$550,367	
MARIN	254,769	0.6803931%	\$952,550	
MARIPOSA	18,156	0.0484879%	\$67,883	
MENDOCINO	89,961	0.2402523%	\$336,353	
MERCED	249,116	0.6652960%	\$931,414	
MODOC	9,910	0.0264659%	\$37,052	
MONO	13,755	0.0367345%	\$51,428	
MONTEREY	423,478	1.1309519%	\$1,583,333	
NAPA	135,228	0.3611436%	\$505,601	
NEVADA	99,584	0.2659518%	\$372,332	
ORANGE	3,083,894	8.2359318%	\$11,530,304	
PLACER	322,428	0.8610850%	\$1,205,519	
PLUMAS	21,093	0.0563315%	\$78,864	
RIVERSIDE	2,004,608	5.3535610%	\$7,494,985	
SACRAMENTO	1,396,353	3.7291386%	\$5,220,794	
SAN BENITO	57,534	0.1536519%	\$215,113	
SAN BERNARDINO	2,016,277	5.3847246%	\$7,538,614	

EXHIBIT B Agenda Item No. 5. February 21, 2007

PRELIMINARY 2007 COUNTY FAIR SHARE AMOUNTS FOR LOCAL ISSUERS OF SINGLE-FAMILY HOUSING PROGRAMS

	2006	COUNTY %	2007	
	COUNTY	OF STATE	COUNTY FAIR	
COUNTY	POPULATION	POPULATION	SHARE AMOUNTS	
CALIFORNIA	37,444,385	100.0000000%	\$140,000,000	
SAN DIEGO	3,084,634	8.2379080%	\$11,533,071	
City of Oceanside	[176,999]	[5.7380836%]	[\$661,777]	
City of San Diego	[1,320,009]	[42.7930573%]	[\$4,935,354]	
County of San Diego	[1,587,626]	[51.4688589%]	[\$5,935,940]	
SAN FRANCISCO	802,651	2.1435817%	\$3,001,014	
SAN JOAQUIN	674,323	1.8008655%	\$2,521,212	
SAN LUIS OBISPO	263,824	0.7045756%	\$986,406	
SAN MATEO	729,366	1.9478648%	\$2,727,011	
SANTA BARBARA	421,656	1.1260861%	\$1,576,520	
SANTA CLARA	1,791,869	4.7854144%	\$6,699,580	
SANTA CRUZ	263,385	0.7034032%	\$984,764	
SHASTA	180,757	0.4827346%	\$675,828	
County of Shasta	[91,027]	[50.3588724%]	[\$340,339]	
City of Redding	[89,730]	[49.6411275%]	[\$335,489]	
SIERRA	3,495	0.0093338%	\$13,067	
SISKIYOU	45,941	0.1226913%	\$171,768	
SOLANO	423,033	1.1297635%	\$1,581,669	
County of Solano	[325,982]	[77.0583673%]	[\$1,218,808]	
City of Vacaville	[97,051]	[22.9416326%]	[\$362,861]	
SONOMA	480,805	1.2840510%	\$1,797,671	
STANISLAUS	519,276	1.3867927%	\$1,941,510	
SUTTER	93,142	0.2487476%	\$348,247	
TEHAMA	61,424	0.1640406%	\$229,657	
TRINITY	14,196	0.0379122%	\$53,077	
TULARE	425,600	1.1366190%	\$1,591,267	
TUOLUMNE	57,347	0.1531525%	\$214,413	
VENTURA	821,698	2.1944492%	\$3,072,229	
YOLO	192,285	0.5135216%	\$718,930	
YUBA	71,938	0.1921196%	\$268,967	
TOTALS:	37,444,385	100.000000%	\$140,000,000	

For the Single-Family Housing Program, the Committee relies upon population estimates released by the Demographic Research Unit in the Department of Finance, which is designated as the single official source of demographic data for State planning and budgeting. This number differs from the population estimate released by the U.S. Census Bureau which the Committee uses to determine the annual State Ceiling as required by federal statute. The Department of Finance data is used to determine local issuers' fair share because it includes a complete breakdown of California's population by city and county, which the U.S. Census Bureau data does not include.