California Debt Limit Allocation Committee

Final Staff Recommendations to be Considered December 16, 2015

QUALIFIED RESIDENTIAL RENTAL PROJECT POOL APPLICATIONS

RURAL PROJECT POOL																					
KUKALTI	COLETTOOL				HOPE										SUST		TOTAL				RECOMD
					VI/AT-		RENT	GROSS	LRG						BLDG		PTS				CARRY
			PROJECT	MFH	RISK	AFFORD	COMP	RENT	_		CRA	SITE	SERV	NC	MTHD	PNLTY	EARNE		REQUSTD	RECOMD	FRWD
APPL.#	APPLICANT	PROJECT NAME	COUNTY	TYPE	PTS	PTS	PTS	PTS	PTS	PTS		PTS		PTS		PTS	D	TIE-BRKR		AMOUNT	AMOUNT
	HA of the County of Monterey	Salinas Family RAD	Monterey	Family	0	35	10	5	2.2	10	0	5	0	0	0	0	67.2		\$19,434,000	\$0	\$19,434,000
	HA of the County of Monterey	Gonzales Family RAD	Monterey	Family	0	35	10	5	5	10	0	0	0	0	0	0	65	\$163,964	\$4.591.000	\$0	\$4,591,000
	HA of the County of Monterey	East Salinas Family RAD	Monterey	Family	0	35	0	5	1.98		0	7.5	0	0	0	0	59.48	\$102.021	\$20,308,000	\$0	\$20,308,000
Tentative Total Rural Pool:												\$44,333,000	\$0	\$44,333,000							
MIXED IN	COME PROJECT POOL													10.	itutive rotu	i Rurui i ooi.	ψ11,555,000	ΨΟ	ψ11,555,000		
WINED IIV	COMETROLETTOOL				HOPE										SUST		TOTAL				RECOMD
					VI/ AT-		RENT	GROSS	LRG						BLDG		PTS				CARRY
			PROJECT	MFH	RISK	AFFORD	COMP	RENT	FAM	LVRG	CRA	SITE	SERV	NC	MTHD	PNLTY	EARNE		REQUSTD	RECOMD	FRWD
APPL.#	APPLICANT	PROJECT NAME	COUNTY	TYPE	PTS	PTS	PTS	PTS	PTS	PTS		PTS				PTS	D	TIE-BRKR	~	AMOUNT	AMOUNT
15-456 (Ci and Co of San Francisco	Transbay Block 8 - 80/20 Apts.	San Francisco	Family	0	6	10	5	0	0.8	0	7.5	0	10	8	0	47.3	\$3,758,120	\$263,068,394	\$0	\$263,068,394
13-430	er and co or pair Francisco	Transbay Brock 6 - 60/20 Tipts.	Ban Francisco	1 anniy		U	10	3	U	0.0	U	7.5		10		v		Income Pool:	\$263,068,394	\$0	\$263,068,394
GENER AI	PROJECT POOL														10	intative it	nai mixeu i	income 1 ooi.	Ψ203,000,37∓	ΨΟ	Ψ203,000,374
GEIVERAL	TROJECTTOOL				HOPE										SUST		TOTAL				RECOMD
					VI/ AT-		RENT	GROSS	LRG						BLDG		PTS				CARRY
			PROJECT	MFH	RISK	AFFORD	COMP	RENT	FAM	LVRG	CRA	SITE	SERV	NC	MTHD	PNLTY	EARNE		REQUSTD	RECOMD	FRWD
APPL.#	APPLICANT	PROJECT NAME	COUNTY	TYPE	PTS	PTS	PTS	PTS	PTS	PTS	PTS			PTS		PTS	D	TIE-BRKR	AMOUNT	AMOUNT	AMOUNT
15-455	Ci and Co of San Francisco	Transbay Block 8 - Affordable Apts.	San Francisco	Family	0	35	10	5	5	10	0	7.5	0	10	8	0	90.5	\$244,188	\$19,290,833	\$0	\$19.290.833
	Ci and Co of San Francisco	Transbay Block 7 Affordable Apts.	San Francisco		0	35	10	5	0	10	0	2.5	0	10	10	0	82.5	\$294,118	\$35,000,000	\$0	\$35,000,000
	CalHFA	Ortiz Plaza Apts.	Sonoma	Family	0	35	10	5	0	5.5	0	2.5	5	10	0	0	73	\$243,448	\$7,060,000	\$0	\$7,060,000
	HA of the City of San Buenaventura	Buena Vida Apts.	Ventura	Family/SN	0	35	10	5	0	10	0	7.5	0	0	3	0	70.5	\$212,766	\$20,000,000	\$0	\$20,000,000
	City of Los Angeles	127th Street Apts.	Los Angeles	Family	0	35	10	5	0	10	0	0	0	10	0	0	70	, , , , , ,	\$18,500,000	\$0	\$18,500,000
	HA of the City of Chula Vista	Duetta Apartment Homes	San Diego	Family	0	25	10	5	5	10	0	5	0	10	0	0	70		\$19,400,000	\$0	\$19,400,000
	Ci & Co of San Francisco	Columbia Park Apts.	San Francisco	Family	0	35	10	5	5	10	0	2.5	0	0	0	0	67.5		\$13,779,028	\$0	\$13,779,028
15-442	County of Contra Costa	East Bluff Apts.	Contra Costa	Family	0	35	10	5	0	4.5	0	5	0	0	6	0	65.5	\$207,577	\$29,476,000	\$0	\$29,476,000
15-433 I	HA of the City of Santa Barbara	Pearl / Sycamore Apts.	Santa Barbara	Family	0	35	0	5	5	10	0	10	0	0	0	0	65	\$338,710	\$10,500,000	\$0	\$10,500,000
15-445	CalHFA	Oak Center I Apts.	Alameda	Family	0	35	10	5	5	0	0	10	0	0	0	0	65	\$385,000	\$29,260,000	\$0	\$29,260,000
15-460	CMFA	Sycamore Village Apts.	Los Angeles	Family	0	35	10	5	5	0	0	7.5	0	0	0	0	62.5	\$176,651	\$5,122,872	\$0	\$5,122,872
15-447	CalHFA	Morh I Housing Apts.	Alameda	Family	0	35	10	5	5	0	0	7.5	0	0	0	0	62.5	\$492,800	\$61,600,000	\$0	\$61,600,000
	GSFA	Sycamore Walk Apts.	Kern	Family	0	35	10	5	5	0	0	5	0	0	0	0	60	\$49,518	\$5,447,000	\$0	\$5,447,000
	CMFA	Rancho California Apts.	Riverside	Family	0	30	10	5	5	0	0	10	0	0	0	0	60	\$217,130	\$11,725,000	\$0	\$11,725,000
	CMFA	Schillo Gardens Apts.	Ventura	Family	0	32.5	10	5	0	10	0	2.5	0	0	0	0	60	\$239,255	\$6,700,000	\$0	\$6,700,000
	HA of the City of Chula Vista	Volta Apartment Homes	San Diego	Senior	0	25	10	5	0	6.98	0	2.5	0	10	0	0	59.48	\$177,869	\$21,700,000	\$0	\$21,700,000
	HA of the County of Sacramento	Ethan Terrace Apts.	Sacramento	Family	0	31	0	5	0	10	0	0	10	0	3	0	59	\$81,319	\$7,400,000	\$0	\$7,400,000
	CalHFA	The Verandas Apts.	Santa Clara	Family	0	30	10	5	0	0	0	7.5	0	0	5	0	57.5	\$147,582	1 - 7 7	\$0	\$13,430,000
	CPFA	E Boyd Esters Manor Apts.	Los Angeles	Senior	0	35	10	5	0	0	0	7.5	0	0	0	0	57.5	\$153,061	\$7,500,000	\$0	\$7,500,000
	AHA of the County of Ventura	Bradford Apts.	Ventura	Family	0	26	10	5	0	10	0	0.0	0	0	6	0	57	\$163,462	\$4,250,000	\$0	\$4,250,000
	CMFA	Ventaliso II Apts.	San Diego	Family	0	35	0	5	5	6.1	0	5	0	0	0	0	56.1	\$106,937	\$5,026,045	\$0	\$5,026,045
	CalHFA	Arbor Terraces Apts.	Santa Clara	Senior	0	35	8.5	5	0	0	0	7.5	0	0	0	0	56	\$124,132		\$0	\$10,551,259
	City of Los Angeles	St. James Park Apts.	Los Angeles	Family CN	0	35	10	5	0	0	0	5	0	0	0	0	55	1 /	\$18,439,838	\$0	\$18,439,838
	CPFA	Pacific Rim Apts.	Los Angeles	Family/SN	0	35 25	10	5	0	0	U	5 10	0	0	0	0	55 55	\$115,384 \$126,422	\$4,500,000	\$0 \$0	\$4,500,000 \$25,663,644
	CSCDA City of Los Angeles	Delta View Apts.	Contra Costa	Family	, ,	35	0	5	0	0	0	10	10	0	5		55	\$126,422	\$25,663,644	\$0 \$0	1 - 1 - 1 - 1 -
15-463	City of Los Angeles	E. Victor Villa Apts.	Los Angeles	Senior	0	33	U	5	0	U	0	10	0	U)	0 Tento		1 ,,	\$7,000,000	\$0 \$0	\$7,000,000 \$418.321.519
The follows	ing General Pool Projects were with	drawn by the Applicant														renta	uive rotal (Jeneral Pool:	\$418,321,519	\$0	\$418,321,319
	ing General Pool Projects were witho	Watts-Athens Apts.	Los Angeles	Family	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0					
	CMFA	Jardin de Las Rosas	Santa Barbara	Family	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0					
	CMFA	Corona Ranch - Washington Creek	Sonoma	Family	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0					
15-454	UNII A	Corona Kanch - washington Creek	BUIIOIIIA	1 annly	U	U	U	U	U	U	U	U	U	U	U	U					

The staff recommendations presented here are made available for informational purposes only. The recommendations do not represent any final decision of the Committee and should not be relied upon as such. Interested parties are cautioned that any action taken in reliance on the recommendations is taken at the parties' own risk as the information presented is subject to change at any time until formally adopted by the Committee at a duly noticed meeting.