MEMORANDUM

Date: October 22, 2009 Staff Summary No. 4

To: Members of the California School Finance Authority

From: Katrina M. Johantgen, Executive Director

Subject: Resolution 09-15 of the California School Finance Authority Awarding Qualified

School Construction Bond Borrowing Authority to Certain Applicants under the Charter School QSCB Program Parameters; and Authorizing the Taking of

Necessary Actions in Connection Therewith

The American Recovery and Reinvestment Act of 2009 (ARRA) provides funding for the new construction or renovation of school facilities through the use of Qualified School Construction Bonds (QSCBs). Of California's \$773 million in "volume cap" allocation for the issuance of QSCBs, \$73.525 million has been reserved for use by charter schools through the issuance of conduit revenue bonds by California School Finance Authority (CSFA) which will be designated as QSCBs.

On July 30, 2009, CSFA solicited requests for applications for an award of QSCB "borrowing authority" from interested charter schools. An online application, along with program parameters, was posted to CSFA's website with a preliminary due date of August 31, 2009 (the application and program parameters were further amended on August 25, 2009 and the deadline was extended to September 14, 2009). By the due date, CSFA had received 28 applications requesting total borrowing authority in the approximate amount of \$200 million. There were two applications that were received after the deadline, and are therefore not considered eligible for an award of bonding authority at this time. After a review of applications, staff recommends that the Board take the actions detailed below.

Staff recommends to the Board that the applicants identified in Table 1 below receive a preliminary award of Qualified School Construction Bond Borrowing Authority ("Borrowing Authority"), in the suggested amounts, at such time as CSFA's Executive Director determines that said applicants meet certain program parameters. All allocations are conditioned upon the applicants' willingness to covenant to pay any necessary, non-contingent rating agency fees

required by CSFA in advance of a an actual bond sale (provided, however, that payment in advance is required by the rating agencies engaged). Moreover, bond ratings must be received no later than February 1, 2010 in order to ensure a successful closing by March 31, 2010. An applicant may be denied a "final" award of Borrowing Authority and forfeit any preliminary award due to a failure to obtain such ratings by the deadline at CSFA's sole discretion.

Table 1
Recommendations for Borrowing Authority

Applicant	Project	QSCB Request	Suggested Amount	Preliminary Rationale
HTH Learning	High Tech High Chula			FHLB LOC
	Vista (K-8)	\$15,000,000	\$15,000,000	("AAA")
Oak Grove Union	Oak Grove Elementary/			
Elementary School	Willowside Middle			District
District	Charter	\$2,000,000	\$2,000,000	Credit
Perris Union High	California Military			District
School District	Institute	\$3,000,000	\$3,000,000	Credit
Rincon Valley Union	Rincon Valley Charter			District
High School District	School	\$3,700,000	\$3,700,000	Credit
Sanger Unified School	Sanger Hallmark Middle			District
District	School	\$2,000,000	\$2,000,000	Credit
Vaughn Next Century	Vaughn g3 Magnet			Up to 80%
Learning Center				Project
				Equity
		\$3,500,000	\$3,500,000	and/or LOC
		\$29,200,000	\$29,200,000	

Apart from the applications received from the six borrowers listed above, staff also received applications for Borrowing Authority from an additional 24 charter schools (see Table 2). Two applications were received after the application submission deadline, and two applications may be deemed ineligible based on the anticipated use of bond proceeds (we are awaiting bond counsel opinion on these two applications). Based on the information submitted in connection with such applications, however, staff does not believe that these borrowers will be able to market and sell QSCBs in the capital markets successfully without making material changes to the existing financing structures. Accordingly, staff recommends that all remaining applicants be afforded the opportunity to work in conjunction with staff and/or third parties to secure credit enhancement or devise alternative strategies for ensuring a marketable borrowing structure. From this pool of applications, staff will present future applications that appear likely to meet certain minimum credit criteria (i.e., investment grade transactions) on a first-come, first-served basis to the Board until such time as CSFA has awarded its entire 2009 QSCB allocation.

Table 2
Applicants Not Recommended for Borrowing Authority at this Time

	_	_	QSCB	Suggested
	Applicant	Project	Request	Amount
1	Bay Area Technology	Bay Area Technology		
	School	School	\$7,200,000	\$0
2	California Montessori	CMP- Shingle Springs		
	Project (CMP)	and CMP - Elk Grove	\$7,600,000	\$0
3	Celerity Educational	Celerity Dyad Charter		
	Group	School	\$15,000,000	\$0
4	Environmental Charter	Environmental Charter		
	High School	High School	\$2,000,000	
5	Granada Hills Charter	Granada Hills Charter	#44 000 000	¢ο
	High School	High School	\$11,000,000	\$0
7	KIPP LA Schools KIPP LA Schools	KIPP Raices Academy	\$7,500,000	\$0
'	KIPP LA SCHOOIS	KIPP Academy of Opportunity	\$4,300,000	\$0
8	Livermore Charter	Livermore Valley Charter	Φ4,300,000	ΦΟ
0	Learning Corporation	School and Livermore		
	Learning Corporation	Valley Charter		
		Preparatory High School	\$35,000,000	\$0
9	Magnolia Educational and	Magnolia Science	φοσ,σοσ,σοσ	Ψ
	Research Foundation	Academy - 3	\$7,000,000	\$0
10	Magnolia Educational and	Magnolia Science	. , ,	
	Research Foundation	Academy - 5	\$3,500,000	\$0
11	Magnolia Educational and	Pacific Technology		
	Research Foundation	School - San Juan	\$3,600,000	\$0
12	Magnolia Educational and	Magnolia Science		
	Research Foundation	Academy - San Diego	\$4,800,000	\$0
13	Magnolia Educational and	Magnolia Science		
	Research Foundation	Academy - 2	\$5,433,000	\$0
14	Magnolia Educational and	Magnolia Science		
4.5	Research Foundation	Academy - 4	\$3,600,000	\$0
15	Magnolia Educational and	Pacific Technology	¢2 500 000	ΦΩ.
16	Research Foundation	School - Santa Ana	\$3,500,000	\$0
10	Magnolia Educational and Research Foundation	Magnolia Science Academy - 6	\$3,600,000	\$0
17	Magnolia Educational and	Magnolia Science	\$3,000,000	ΨΟ
''	Research Foundation	Academy - San Fernando		
	1.cocaron i oundation	Valley	\$6,200,000	\$0
18	Natomas Charter School	Natomas Charter School	\$2,431,800	\$0
19	New Jerusalem Charter	Delta Charter School	+=, .5.,550	4 •
	Schools		\$4,459,000	\$0
20	Riverside Preparatory	Riverside Preparatory	, , , ,	
	School	School	\$20,083,655	\$0
21	The Camptonville	The Camptonville		
	Academy	Academy, Inc.	\$2,000,000	\$0

22	New City School	The New City School (2/3 of project); Colegio New City (1/3 of project)	\$6,000,000	\$0
			\$163,807,455	