CALIFORNIA TAX CREDIT ALLOCATION COMMITTEE

Project Staff Report 2012 Second Round November 14, 2012

Project Number CA-12-202

Project Name West San Carlos Senior Apartments

Site Address: 1523 and 1533 West San Carlos Street

San Jose, CA 95126 County: Santa Clara

Census Tract: 5005.000

 Tax Credit Amounts
 Federal/Annual
 State/Total

 Requested:
 \$1,168,891
 \$4,675,563

 Recommended:
 \$1,168,891
 \$4,675,563

Applicant Information

Applicant: Core Affordable Housing, LLC

Contact: Darci Palmer Address: 470 S. Market St.

San Jose, CA 95113

Phone: 408-292-7841 Fax: 408-292-0339

Email: dpalmer@thecorecompanies.com

General partner(s) or principal owner(s): Core Willard LLC

AOF / Pacific Affordable Housing Corporation

General Partner Type: Joint Venture

Developer: Core Affordable Housing, LLC

Investor/Consultant: Boston Financial Investment Management

Management Agent: EAH, Inc.

Project Information

Construction Type: New Construction

Total # Residential Buildings: 1 Total # of Units: 95

No. & % of Tax Credit Units: 94 100% Federal Set-Aside Elected: 40%/60%

Federal Subsidy: N/A

Affordability Breakdown by Units and % (Lowest Income Points):

30% AMI: 30 30 % 40% AMI: 30 30 % 50% AMI: 34 35 %

CA-12-202 1 November 14, 2012

Information

Set-Aside: N/A Housing Type: Seniors

Geographic Area: South and West Bay Region

TCAC Project Analyst: Benjamin Schwartz

Unit Mix

91 1-Bedroom Units
4 2-Bedroom Units
95 Total Units

Unit	t Type & Number	2012 Rents Targeted % of Area Median Income	2012 Rents Actual % of Area Median Income	Rent (including utilities)
32	1 Bedroom	50%	50%	\$984
29	1 Bedroom	40%	40%	\$787
29	1 Bedroom	30%	30%	\$590
2	2 Bedrooms	50%	50%	\$1,181
1	2 Bedrooms	40%	40%	\$945
1	2 Bedrooms	30%	30%	\$708
1	1 Bedroom	Manager's Unit	Manager's Unit	\$0

Proposed

\$28,482,677

Project Financing

Construction Financing Permanent Financing Amount Source Amount Source JP Morgan Chase \$15,500,000 JP Morgan Chase \$4,438,000 City of San Jose \$5,311,238 City of San Jose \$5,311,238 City of San Jose - Capitalized Interest \$897,172 City of San Jose - Capitalized Interest \$897,172 City of San Jose Fee Waiver City of San Jose Fee Waiver \$1,964,600 \$1,964,600 **Deferred Uses During Construction** Deferred Developer Fee \$1,534,667 \$559,198 Tax Credit Equity \$3,275,000 Tax Credit Equity \$15,312,469

TOTAL

Determination of Credit Amount(s)

Requested Eligible Basis:	\$15,585,210
130% High Cost Adjustment:	No
Applicable Fraction:	100.00%
Qualified Basis:	\$15,585,210
Applicable Rate:	7.50%
Total Maximum Annual Federal Credit:	\$1,168,891
Total State Credit:	\$4,675,563
Approved Developer Fee in Project Cost:	\$2,000,000
Approved Developer Fee in Eligible Basis:	\$1,400,000
Investor/Consultant: Boston Financial Investme	ent Management
Federal Tax Credit Factor:	\$1.03000
State Tax Credit Factor:	\$0.70000

Per Regulation Section 10322(i)(4)(A), The "as if vacant" land value and the existing improvement value established at application, as well as the eligible basis amount derived from those values, will be used during all subsequent reviews including the placed in service review, for the purpose of determining the final award of Tax Credits.

Per Regulation Section 10327(c)(2)(C), Once established at the initial funded application, the developer fee cannot be increased, but may be decreased, in the event of a modification in basis.

Eligible Basis and Basis Limit

Requested Unadjusted Eligible Basis: \$15,585,210 Actual Eligible Basis: \$19,722,847 Unadjusted Threshold Basis Limit: \$17,285,890 Total Adjusted Threshold Basis Limit: \$23,793,520

Adjustments to Basis Limit:

Required to Pay Prevailing Wages
Parking Beneath Residential Units
Local Development Impact Fees

95% of Upper Floor Units are Elevator-Serviced

Tie-Breaker Information

First: Seniors Second: 41.991%

Cost Analysis and Line Item Review

Staff analysis of project costs to determine reasonableness found all fees to be within TCAC's underwriting guidelines and TCAC limitations. Annual operating expenses exceed the minimum operating expenses established in the Regulations, and the project pro forma shows a positive cash flow from year one. Staff has calculated federal tax credits based on 7.50% of the qualified basis, or, in the case of acquisition credit or credit combined with federal subsidies, 3.20%. Applicants are cautioned to consider the expected federal rate when negotiating with investors. TCAC's financial evaluation at project completion will determine the final allocation.

Special Issues/Other Significant Information: None

Legal Status: Staff has reviewed the Applicant's responses to the questions contained in the Legal Status portion of the Application. No information was disclosed that raised any question regarding the financial viability or legal integrity of the applicant.

Local Reviewing Agency:

The Local Reviewing Agency, City of San Jose Housing Department, has completed a site review of this project and strongly supports this project.

Recommendation: Staff recommends that the Committee make a preliminary reservation of tax credits in the following amount(s) contingent upon standard conditions and any additional conditions imposed by the Committee:

Federal Tax Credits/Annual \$1,168,891 State Tax Credits/Total \$4,675,563

Standard Conditions

The applicant must submit all documentation required for a Carryover Allocation, any Readiness to Proceed Requirements elected, and a Final Reservation. Failure to provide the documentation at the time required may result in rescission of the Credit reservation and cancellation of a carryover allocation.

TCAC makes the preliminary reservation only for the project specified above in the form presented, and involving the parties referred to in the application. No changes in the development team or the project as presented will be permitted without the express approval of TCAC.

The applicant must pay TCAC a performance deposit and allocation fee calculated in accordance with regulation. Additionally, TCAC requires the project owner to pay a monitoring fee before issuance of tax forms.

As project costs are preliminary estimates only, staff recommends that a reservation be made in the amount of federal credit and state credit shown above on condition that the final project costs be supported by itemized lender approved costs and certified costs after the buildings are placed in service.

All unexpended funds in reserve accounts established for the project must remain with the project to be used for the benefit of the property and/or its residents, except for the portion of any accounts funded with deferred developer fees.

All fees charged to the project must be within TCAC limitations. Fees in excess of these limitations will not be considered when determining the amount of credit when the project is placed-in-service.

The applicant/owner shall be subject to underwriting criteria set forth in Section 10327 of the regulations through the final feasibility analysis performed by TCAC at placed-in-service.

Credit awards are contingent upon applicant's acceptance of any revised total project cost, qualified basis and tax credit amount determined by TCAC in its final feasibility analysis.

The applicant must ensure the project meets all Additional Threshold Requirements of the proposed project. If points were awarded for service amenities, the applicant will be required to provide such amenity or amenities identified in the application, for a minimum period of ten years and at no cost to the tenants. Applicants that received points for sustainable building methods (energy efficiency) must submit the certification required by Section 10325(c)(6) at project completion. Applicants that received increases (exceptions to limits) in the threshold basis limit under Section 10327(c)(5) must submit the certification required by Section 10322(i)(2) at project completion.

Additional Conditions: None

Points System	Max. Possible	Requested	Points
Points System	Points	Points	Awarded
Cost Efficiency / Credit Reduction / Public Funds	20	20	20
Public Funds	20	20	20
Owner / Management Characteristics	9	9	9
General Partner Experience	6	6	6
Management Experience	3	3	3
Housing Needs	10	10	10
Site Amenities	15	15	15
Within ¼ mile of transit stop, service every 30 min, 25 units/acre density	7	7	7
Within ½ mile of public park or community center open to general public	2	2	2
Within ½ mile of a full-scale grocery/supermarket of at least 25,000 sf	4	4	4
Within 1 mile of medical clinic or hospital	2	2	2
Within ¼ mile of a pharmacy	2	2	2
Service Amenities	10	10	10
LARGE FAMILY, SENIOR, AT-RISK HOUSING TYPES			
Service Coordinator, minimum ratio of 1 FTE to 600 bedrooms	5	5	5
Adult ed/health & wellness/skill bldg classes, minimum 60 hrs/yr instruction	5	5	5
Sustainable Building Methods	10	10	10
NEW CONSTRUCTION/ADAPTIVE REUSE			
Develop project in accordance w/ requirements of: GreenPoint Rated Multifar	5	5	5
Energy efficiency beyond CA Building Code Title 24 requirements: 20%	5	5	5
Lowest Income	52	52	52
Basic Targeting	50	50	50
Deeper Targeting – at least 10% of units @ 30% AMI or less	2	2	2
Readiness to Proceed	20	20	20
Miscellaneous Federal and State Policies	2	2	2
State Credit Substitution	2	2	2
Total Points	148	148	148

<u>Please Note:</u> If more than the maximum Site Amenity points were requested, not all amenities may have been scored and/or verified.

DO NOT RELY ON SCORING IN THIS COMPETITIVE CYCLE FOR FUTURE APPLICATIONS. ALL RE-APPLICATIONS ARE REVIEWED WITHOUT RELIANCE ON PAST SCORING.