

FOR IMMEDIATE RELEASE February 19, 2010 Contact: Joe DeAnda/916.653.2995

Treasurer Lockyer Announces Demand Exceeds \$1.7 Billion for Stimulus-Created Bond Financing to Spur Economic Development Projects to Create Jobs in Cities and Counties Throughout the State

SACRAMENTO – State Treasurer Bill Lockyer today announced California cities and counties have submitted plans to use more than \$1.7 billion of stimulus-created bonds to finance economic development projects.

"I'm pleased California local governments and businesses have shown a strong demand for this low-cost financing provided by the federal economic stimulus package," said Lockyer. "These projects will give our workers much-needed jobs and our economy a much-needed boost."

The American Recovery and Reinvestment Act (ARRA) of 2009 created two new types of local economic development bonds: Recovery Zone Economic Development Bonds (RZEDBs) and Recovery Zone Facility Bonds (RZFBs). RZEDBs are taxable bonds designed to finance public improvements that advance economic development. Eligible projects include public infrastructure improvements, job training and education, and capital expenditures on property. RZFBs directly benefit private businesses by providing them tax-exempt, low-cost financing to construct facilities or buy equipment. The program is set to expire at the end of 2010.

The federal government allocated \$806 million of RZEDBs and \$1.2 billion of RZFBs to 78 eligible cities and counties in California. The federal government allocated the financing to local entities based on the magnitude of their job losses from 2007 to 2008.

The California Debt Limit Allocation Committee (CDLAC), chaired by Lockyer as Treasurer, is charged with administering the recovery zone bond program for the State. CDLAC set a Jan. 31, 2010 deadline for cities and counties to submit plans for using their allocation. By the deadline, 49 cities and counties submitted plans to use more than \$1.7 billion worth of allocation on eligible RZEDB and RZFB projects.

Under CDLAC's rules, local entities that failed to submit plans effectively have waived their allocations. CDLAC will distribute the waived allocation to cities and counties that did not originally receive allocations from the federal government or to those that did receive an allocation but request more. The first deadline to apply for some of the waived allocation is Feb. 23, 2010. CDLAC will make initial allocations at its March 24, 2010 meeting. -MORE- Examples of proposed projects in the plans submitted to CDLAC include:

- The City of Los Angeles will use a portion of its \$269 million RZFB allocation to construct LA Center, a 1.5 million square-foot mixed-use project. The City plans to use part of its \$179 million in RZEDBs on its wastewater treatment system
- Pasadena will put its \$11 million RZFB allocation to retrofit and expand portions of the Rose Bowl
- Hayward will use its \$2.7 million to finance mixed-use redevelopment of the City Center
- Oakland plans to add a BART transit village
- Riverside County will use its funds to construct a Sketchers shoes distribution center
- Sacramento County will develop a solar module manufacturing facility at McClellan Park
- The City of Roseville will use \$3.1 million in RZFBs on a mixed use development at Pacific/Church Street

CDLAC administers the state's tax-exempt bond program and has overall responsibility for making allocation decisions. All states every year receive a maximum amount of tax-exempt bond financing they can allocate for private projects with a defined public benefit. The cap is based on each state's population and is set pursuant to federal law. Program areas include housing, industrial development, student loans and waste recycling. California received \$3.3 billion in tax-exempt bond allocation for 2010. Other entities chaired by the Treasurer review and approve projects seeking financing, and in some cases issue the bonds.

For more information on RZFBs and RZEDBs, visit treasurer.ca.gov.

###

CA Debt Limit Allocation Committee Plan of Issuance Status Sheet For QECB, RZEDB, and RZFB Direct Allocations (as of 2/16/10)

| | U.S. TREASURY DIRECT ALLOCATION STATUS OF PLAN O | ALLOCATION DEEMED | ALLOCATION VOLUNTARILY WAIVED | AMOUNT RETAINED BY LOCA |
|-----------------------|---|----------------------|-------------------------------------|---|
| LOCAL GOVERNMENT | | | | GOVERNIVIENT |
| RECOVERY ZONE ECONOMI | | t service services (| | and a state of the second s |
| DEVELOPMENT BONDS | | | | |
| | renative a | | | |
| ounties | | | | |
| Alameda | \$8,644,000 COMPLETE | | | \$8,644,000 |
| Amador | \$1,021,000 NO RESPONSE | \$1,021,000 | | |
| Butte | \$1,685,000 NO RESPONSE | \$1,685,000 | | |
| Calaveras | \$1,016,000 WAIVED | | \$1,016,000 | |
| Contra Costa | \$10,700,000 INCOMPLETE, PENDI | | | \$10,700,000 |
| El Dorado | \$3,471,000 NO RESPONSE | \$3,471,000 | | |
| Glenn | \$285,000 NO RESPONSE | \$285,000 | | |
| Humboldt | \$82,000 NO RESPONSE | \$82,000 | | |
| Kings | \$2,951,000 NO RESPONSE | \$2,951,000 | | |
| Lake ' | \$64,000 WAIVED | | \$64,000 | |
| Los Angeles | \$180,989,000 INCOMPLETE, PENDIN | NG REVIEW | | \$180,989,000 |
| Mendocino | \$443,000 NO RESPONSE | \$443,000 | | |
| Monp | \$329,000 NO RESPONSE | \$329,000 | | |
| Nevada | \$3,357,000 COMPLETE | | | \$3,357,000 |
| \ Orange | \$29,732,000 INCOMPLETE, PENDIN | NG REVIEW | | \$29,732,000 |
| Placer | \$4,561,000 COMPLETE | | | \$4,561,000 |
| Plumas | \$337,000 NO RESPONSE | \$337,000 | | |
| Riverside | \$49,801,000 INCOMPLETE, PENDIN | | | \$49,801,000 |
| Sacramento | \$16,467,000 INCOMPLETE, PENDIN | | | \$16,457,000 |
| San Bernardino | \$45,093,000 INCOMPLETE, PENDIN | | | \$46,093,000 |
| San Joaquin | \$5,121,000 WAIVED | | \$5,121,000 | \$45,655,666 |
| Shasta | \$3,890,000 NO RESPONSE | \$3,890,000 | | |
| Siskiyou | \$139,000 NO RESPONSE | \$139,000 | <u>_</u> | |

- MORE-

•

CA Debt Limit Allocation Committee Plan of Issuance Status Sheet For QECB, RZEDB, and RZFB Direct Allocations (as of 2/16/10)

| Solano | \$1,950,000 | COMPLETE | | | \$1,950,00 |
|--------------------------------------|---------------|----------------------------|----------------------|--------------|--------------|
| Sonoma | \$6,369,000 | COMPLETE | | | \$6,369,00 |
| Stanislaus | \$1,154,000 | NO RESPONSE | \$1,154,000 | | |
| Trinity | \$59,000 | NO RESPONSE | \$59,000 | | |
| Ventura | \$4,326,000 | WAIVED | | \$4,326,000 | |
| Yolo | \$3,724,000 | COMPLETE | | | \$3,724,00 |
| Cities | | | | | |
| Anaheim | \$6,573,000 | INCOMPLETE, PENDING REVIEW | | | \$6,573,00 |
| Berkeley | | NO RESPONSE | \$1,558,000 | | .,, |
| Burbank | | NO RESPONSE | \$5,832,000 | | |
| Concord | | NO RESPONSE | \$1,831,000 | | |
| Corona | | NO RESPONSE | \$7,482,000 | | |
| Costa Mesa | \$2,572,000 | | 1 / 2 /222 | | \$2,572,00 |
| Downey | . , , | NO RESPONSE | \$5,210,000 | | |
| Elk Grove | \$1,372,000 | | +=,===,=== | | \$1,372,00 |
| El Monte | | INCOMPLETE, PENDING REVIEW | | | \$4,791,00 |
| Fairfield | \$934,000 | | | \$934,000 | Ç-,, 51,00 |
| Fontana | \$5,421,000 | | | \$554,000 | \$5,421,00 |
| | \$2,970,000 | | | | \$2,970,00 |
| Fremont Fullerton | \$2,970,000 | | | | \$2,970,00 |
| Garden Grove | | | | | |
| | \$3,196,000 | | | ć10.042.000 | \$3,196,00 |
| Glendale | \$10,043,000 | | | \$10,043,000 | ¢4,022,00 |
| Hayward | \$1,833,000 | | | | \$1,833,00 |
| Huntington Beach | . , , | INCOMPLETE, PENDING REVIEW | | | \$4,752,00 |
| Inglewood | \$4,992,000 | | | | \$4,992,00 |
| Irvine | \$3,268,000 | | | | \$3,268,00 |
| Lancaster | | NO RESPONSE | \$5,076,000 | | |
| Long Beach | \$22,235,000 | | | | \$22,235,00 |
| Los Angeles | | INCOMPLETE, PENDING REVIEW | | | \$179,460,00 |
| Modesto | | NO RESPONSE | \$904,000 | | |
| Moreno Valley | \$7,360,000 | COMPLETE | | | \$7,360,00 |
| Norwalk | \$4,630,000 | NO RESPONSE | \$4,630,000 | | |
| Oakland | \$5,054,000 | COMPLETE | | | \$5,054,00 |
| Ontario | \$7,113,000 | COMPLETE | | | \$7,113,00 |
| Orange | \$2,817,000 | NO RESPONSE | \$2,817,000 | | |
| Oxnard | \$2,713,000 | COMPLETE | | | \$2,713,00 |
| Palmdale | \$5,136,000 | COMPLETE | | | \$5,136,00 |
| Pasadena | \$7,410,000 | COMPLETE | | | \$7,410,00 |
| Pomona | \$6,233,000 | COMPLETE | | | \$6,233,00 |
| Rancho Cucamonga | \$7,048,000 | NO RESPONSE | \$7,048,000 | | |
| Richmond | \$1,316,000 | INCOMPLETE, PENDING REVIEW | | | \$1,316,00 |
| Riverside | \$13,774,000 | | | | \$13,774,00 |
| Roseville | \$2,091,000 | | | | \$2,091,00 |
| Sacramento | | INCOMPLETE, PENDING REVIEW | | | \$8,042,00 |
| San Bernardino | | INCOMPLETE, PENDING REVIEW | | | \$7,068,00 |
| San Buenaventura (Ventura) | | NO RESPONSE | \$1,925,000 | | + . , , |
| Santa Ana | \$5,872,000 | | \$1,5 <u>2</u> 5,000 | | \$5,872,00 |
| Santa Clarita | \$8,847,000 | | | \$8,847,000 | \$3,672,00 |
| Santa Clarita Santa Rosa | \$2,965,000 | | | J0,047,000 | \$2,965,00 |
| Simi Valley | \$2,303,000 | | | | \$2,303,00 |
| | | NO RESPONSE | \$3,508,000 | | \$2,207,00 |
| Stockton Thousand Oaks | | | | | |
| Thousand Oaks | | NO RESPONSE | \$2,264,000 | ć7.000.000 | |
| Torrance | \$7,980,000 | | 64 334 666 | \$7,980,000 | |
| Vallejo | | NO RESPONSE | \$1,221,000 | | |
| Victorville | | NO RESPONSE | \$2,552,000 | | |
| West Covina | \$5,309,000 | NO RESPONSE | \$5,309,000 | | |
| | | | | | · · · · · · |
| | \$806,225,000 | | \$75,013,000 | \$38,331,000 | \$692,881,00 |
| | | | | | |
| llocation Available for Reallocation | | | \$113,344, | 000.00 | |

RECOVERY ZONE FACILITY BONDS

<u>Cities</u>

| | | | | | <u>es</u> |
|---------------|--------------|-------------|----------------------------|---------------|--------------|
| \$12,966,000 | | | COMPLETE | \$12,966,000 | Alameda |
| | | \$1,531,000 | NO RESPONSE | \$1,531,000 | Amador |
| | | \$2,527,000 | NO RESPONSE | \$2,527,000 | Butte |
| | \$1,524,000 | | WAVIED | \$1,524,000 | Calaveras |
| | \$16,050,000 | | WAIVIED | \$16,050,000 | Contra Costa |
| | | \$5,206,000 | NO RESPONSE | \$5,206,000 | El Dorado |
| | | \$427,000 | NO RESPONSE | \$427,000 | Glenn |
| | | \$123,000 | NO RESPONSE | \$123,000 | Humboldt |
| \$4,426,000 | | | COMPLETE | \$4,426,000 | Kings |
| | \$97,000 | | WAIVED | \$97,000 | Lake |
| \$271,484,000 | | | INCOMPLETE, PENDING REVIEW | \$271,484,000 | Los Angeles |
| | | \$665,000 | NO RESPONSE | \$665,000 | Mendocino |
| | | \$494,000 | NO RESPONSE | \$494,000 | Mono |
| \$5,035,000 | | | COMPLETE | \$5,035,000 | Nevada |
| \$44,598,000 | | | INCOMPLETE, PENDING REVIEW | \$44,598,000 | Orange |
| \$6,841,000 | | | COMPLETE | \$6,841,000 | Placer |

CA Debt Limit Allocation Committee Plan of Issuance Status Sheet For QECB, RZEDB, and RZFB Direct Allocations (as of 2/16/10)

| Victorville West Covina | \$3,828,000 \$7,964,000 | NO RESPONSE | \$3,828,000 | | \$7,964,00 |
|--|-----------------------------|---|----------------------------|--------------|-------------------------|
| Vallejo | | NO RESPONSE | \$1,832,000 | | ÷==,=: 0,00 |
| Torrance | \$3,397,000 | | 000,186,6¢ | | \$11,970,00 |
| Stockton Thousand Oaks | | NO RESPONSE NO RESPONSE | \$5,262,000 \$3,397,000 | | |
| Simi Valley | \$3,311,000 | | 65 000 000 | | \$3,311,00 |
| Santa Rosa | \$4,448,000 | COMPLETE | | | \$4,448,0 |
| Santa Clarita | \$13,270,000 | | | | \$13,270,0 |
| San Buenaventura (ventura) Santa Ana | \$2,887,000 \$8,807,000 | | <i></i> ,000 γ2,887,000 | | \$8,807,0 |
| San Bernardino San Buenaventura (Ventura) | | INCOMPLETE, PENDING REVIEW NO RESPONSE | \$2,887,000 | | \$10,602,0 |
| Sacramento | 1 ,, | INCOMPLETE, PENDING REVIEW | | | \$12,063,0 |
| Roseville | \$3,136,000 | | | | \$3,136,0 |
| Riverside | \$20,662,000 | | | | \$20,662,0 |
| Richmond | | INCOMPLETE, PENDING REVIEW | ¢10,372,000 | | \$1,973,0 |
| Pomona Rancho Cucamonga | \$9,350,000 | COMPLETE NO RESPONSE | \$10,572,000 | | \$9,350,0 |
| Pasadena | \$11,115,000 | | | | \$11,115,0 |
| Palmdale | \$7,704,000 | | | | \$7,704,0 |
| Oxnard | \$4,069,000 | | ,===,=== | | \$4,069,0 |
| Orange | | NO RESPONSE | \$4,225,000 | | \$10,009,0 |
| Oakland Ontario | \$7,581,000 \$10,669,000 | | | | \$7,581,0 \$10,669,0 |
| Norwalk | | NO RESPONSE | \$6,945,000 | | 67 F04 0 |
| Moreno Valley | \$11,041,000 | | | | \$11,041,0 |
| Modesto | | NO RESPONSE | \$1,356,000 | | |
| Los Angeles | \$269,191,000 | | | | \$269,191,0 |
| Long Beach | \$33,353,000 | | | | \$33,353,0 |
| Irvine Lancaster | \$4,902,000 | COMPLETE INCOMPLETE, PENDING REVIEW | | | \$4,902,0 \$7,614,0 |
| Inglewood | \$7,488,000 | | | | \$7,488,0 |
| Huntington Beach | \$7,128,000 | | | | \$7,128,0 |
| Hayward | \$2,750,000 | | | | \$2,750,0 |
| Glendale | \$15,065,000 | | | \$15,065,000 | . ,,- |
| Garden Grove | \$4,794,000 | | ÷,030,000 | | \$4,794,0 |
| Fremont Fullerton | \$4,456,000 \$4,058,000 | NO RESPONSE | \$4,058,000 | | \$4,456,U |
| Fontana Fremont | \$8,131,000 | | | | \$8,131,0 \$4,456,0 |
| Fairfield | \$1,401,000 | | | | \$1,401,0 |
| El Monte | | INCOMPLETE, PENDING REVIEW | | | \$7,187,0 |
| Elk Grove | | INCOMPLETE, PENDING REVIEW | ÷,,010,000 | | \$2,059,0 |
| Downey | | NO RESPONSE | \$7,815,000 | | ş3,657,U |
| Corona Costa Mesa | \$11,223,000 \$3,857,000 | | | | \$11,223,0 \$3,857,0 |
| Concord | | NO RESPONSE | \$2,746,000 | | Å., ac |
| Burbank | | NO RESPONSE | \$8,748,000 | | |
| Berkeley | | NO RESPONSE | \$2,337,000 | | . ,,- |
| Anaheim | \$9,859,000 | INCOMPLETE, PENDING REVIEW | | | \$9,859,0 |
| Yolo | \$5,585,000 | COMPLETE | | | \$5,585,0 |
| Ventura | \$6,488,000 | | | \$6,488,000 | ć5 505 0 |
| Trinity | . , | NO RESPONSE | \$89,000 | | |
| Stanislaus | | NO RESPONSE | \$1,732,000 | | |
| Sonoma | \$9,554,000 | | | | \$9,554,0 |
| Solano | \$2,925,000 | | \$208,000 | | \$2,925,0 |
| Shasta Siskiyou | | NO RESPONSE NO RESPONSE | \$5,834,000 \$208,000 | | |
| San Joaquin | \$7,681,000 | | ĆE 024 000 | \$7,681,000 | |
| San Bernardino | | INCOMPLETE, PENDING REVIEW | | | \$69,139,0 |
| Sacramento | \$24,701,000 | INCOMPLETE, PENDING REVIEW | | | \$24,701,0 |
| Riverside | | NO RESPONSE INCOMPLETE, PENDING REVIEW | \$505,000 | | \$74,702,0 |